

**From:** Rewired Rewired <re\_wired@ymail.com>  
**Sent time:** 25/02/2016 10:41:09 AM  
**To:** Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>  
**Subject:** Re: Re: R v Simon Cordell Appeal Letter

Will you take this back to court so i can get my life back i have been locked in my house for two years because i listened to your guidance and if the documents i sent you are right i am being held against my rights.  
Please contact me in regards to my last emails to your self as you leave me worried.

On Wednesday, 24 February 2016, 19:54, Rewired Rewired <re\_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Please can you reply as what you have said has left me with concerns.  
All i want is a fair trial and a professional good relationship with your self. i ask for legal guidance off your self. i have created a bundle with the relevant back bone points of law, relevant to my case and a shorter up dated statement, i have also made a skeleton argument out of the docs you have provided me with up to date. at this time i would like you to apply to the court so that i can have the ASBO conditions defined as trespass was not present for section 63 conditions to be imposed, neither was there any breach of the licencing act 2003 as amended Jan 7th 2013 and 2016, also proofing the fact that the applicants case of illegal raves, could not be correct in law. please see a copy of the licencing act 2003 attached that clearly states that all house partys or private partys are not regulated and do not need a licence and there for not illegal. I would also like the right under article 6 of my human rights to be called as i do not feel that it is possible with the only evidence the police rely on not only to be incorrect in law but that of a fabricated evidence by way of the time stamps and other relevant errors such as PC Elesmore stating under oath to the district judge that any location blocked out or within the applicants bundle, are that off progress way, when we have clearly proved otherwise. Please see a draft copy of my back bone points of law attached. The folder i have attached proves that illegal raves was not possible to be present, as the police have no power on private partys, until the local authority applies at the local court to give the police such powers such as a section 80 noise abatement notice, which has not been served within any of the dates in question contained within the applicants bundle, i believe this is why the local council never turns up to any of the court hearings which they are bound by law to do so, as i would like them to attend and answer such questions. please can you reply to my points in the last 3 emails in bullion points so i can understand your legal guidance.

On Wednesday, 24 February 2016, 18:09, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Dear Josey

You have not attached any paperwork so we can not see what has been said by the judge or the barrister that was there can this be forwarded please.

Josey at court the judge would not hear what the barrister for Simon was saying or anyone else this case went in and out of court. I asked if I could speak to the judge myself which he took nonotice off when a note was written by a person in the court and handed to the clerk to pass to the judge.

For Appeal against Conviction - Case Started - 10:19  
For Appeal against Conviction - Respondent Case Opened - 10:50  
For Appeal against Conviction - Case adjourned until 11:20 - 11:08  
For Appeal against Conviction - Resume - 11:29  
For Appeal against Conviction - Case adjourned until 11:50 - 11:41  
For Appeal against Conviction - Resume - 11:43  
For Appeal against Conviction - Hearing finished for SIMON PAUL CORDELL - 11:58

And there was one more time that the judge went out in fact it could have been 2.

And as even the barrister said to us the judge was not hearing what we wanted to say or he would have understood all the judge wanted to do was make this case go ahead even when Simon Barrister was saying it could not, at this point it was not due to Simon heath. it was other reasons that the barrister felt uncomfortable going ahead.

If the judge had heard what was being said and was being fair then at that point it should have been put off as the barrister had very good reason for it not to go ahead.

It was at this point i asked the lady to write a note to the judge to ask if i could speak which she did and it waspasted to the judge, and the judge took no notice of it.

Simon has had an assessment from the mental heath team on I believe 03/02/2016 as you are well aware as Simon told you himself in the office. the warrant was granted on the 25/01/2016 but they never used it until the 03/02/2016

He agreed that he would work with them and have meetings with Goody. The judge does not know any of this because he would not let me speak in court.

Also have you heard yet from Superintendent Adrian Coombs i believe from what you said to me on the phone he was meant to be getting a reply from him on Monday

Regards

Lorraine

**From:** Josephine Ward [mailto:josie@michaelcarrollandco.com]  
**Sent:** 24 February 2016 16:19  
**To:** re\_wired@ymail.com  
**Cc:** lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk  
**Subject:** Fwd: Re: R v Simon Cordell Appeal Letter

Dear Simon / Lorraine

I am forwarding across to you a letter that I have received from HHJ Pawlak, who will be adjudicating at the appeal hearing on 26th September 2016. He will also be presiding over the mention hearing on 4th April 2016.

The first point that must be addressed in question 3 and this concerns your mental health Simon and your fitness to follow proceedings, instruct solicitors and consider advice. I am therefore going to apply for funding so that you can be assessed so that this area can be clarified. This is important. Your behaviour in court on Monday raised a number of concerns surrounding this point.

The second point is answering and responding to question 2. This question can only be properly addressed once we receive confirmation from a Psychiatrist that you are able to follow proceedings etc

Paragraph 4 makes it clear that the Court will not allow Michael Carroll & Co to come off the record unless the Senior Partner attends in person. We cannot advance your case or respond to question 2 until such time as the psychiatric confirms that there are no issues with your ability to follow proceedings.

You will note the areas that the court wishes to concentrate on are listed in paragraph 2. All the points will have to be carefully considered, in my view they are loaded questions that are seeking to achieve foundation for the ASBO application. The Judge is referring to events but in brackets using the word rave. He is not stating illegal rave. There are five subsections but ultimately subsection 2(c) is probably the question that Judge is most interested in knowing your response to.

Paragraph 4 makes it clear that the Court will not allow Michael Carroll & Co to come off the record unless the Senior Partner attends in person or unless you wish to transfer legal aid.

Paragraph 5 deals with the hearsay application to be served by the respondent.

Superintendent Coombes is forwarding his statement in the post. I will forward this on receipt.

Can you please confirm by return email Simon whether you are willing to be assessed by a Psychiatrist so that we can determine whether you are fit to follow proceedings.

I await hearing from you by return email.

Yours sincerely

**Josephine Ward**  
**MICHAEL CARROLL & CO.**

----- Original Message -----

From: Patrick McElligott <patrick@michaelcarrollandco.com>  
To: josie@michaelcarrollandco.com  
Date: 24 February 2016 at 14:33  
Subject: Re: R v Simon Cordell Appeal Letter

Hi,  
Please find attached.  
Regards.

