

**From:** Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>  
**Sent:** 31 January 2015 18:15  
**To:** 'josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com'  
**Cc:** 'm.mckee@michaelcarrollandco.com'; 're\_wired@ymail.com'  
**Subject:** FW: MT3574694 Simon Cordell  
**Attachments:** S Cordell 300115.pdf; s cordell call from police 141113.wma; Van-recipept-10-11-2013.jpg; MR SIMON CORDELL - CX52 JRZ (28.9 KB); 26\_11\_2013\_13\_53\_\_KellyTiller kelly call to compound.wav

Hi Josey

I got the attached information from Simon's insurance company on Friday. After a lot of work to get it and a lot of emails and over a year of time. The letter of Indemnity is not correct with some points which I have asked peter wood to address.

Simon has asked me to forward this to you.

The reason is this shows how far the police will go to lie. Not only did he lie to KGM Simon's insurance, about tools being in Simon's van to void Simon insurance cover and be able to seize his van. He then went on to lie in his statement of facts to be used at court saying nothing about tools just that the insurance said Simon's was not covered by his insurance. He then stood up in court and under oath when the judge asked him about tools being in the van said he did not says tools was in the van. The reason the judge asked this was he saw emails from KGM saying about the tools.

Simon was found guilty of this and we have taken it to appeal and are waiting on a date.

But in the asbo case can this not be shown that the police will lie even under oath. They are trying to use Simon's char in the asbo case and make him look bad. Why can we not show the police in the same light by using this information?

Simon has asked can you get the case papers from the courts for this case and the Woolwich case. As these cases do show somewhat how far the police are willing to go with Simon.

In the Asbo case papers you have police saying in there statement they know Simon is always insured it is on police file how much he was stopped. Yet he has around 8 cases at court for no insurance. In addition, most of these case he never had a summons for and they found him guilty due to him knowing nothing about the case was due to be in court.

Regards

Lorraine

---

**From:** Wood, Peter [mailto:Peter.Wood@canopus.com]  
**Sent:** 30 January 2015 17:02  
**To:** Lorraine Cordell  
**Cc:** Austin, Andrew; Donovan, Paul  
**Subject:** RE: MT3574694 Simon Cordell

Dear Simon,

Please find attached the following –

- Recording of the original call made by the Police officer to KGM in which he advised that there were tools in your van.
- Recording of the call between Kelly Tiller and the Police compound in which Kelly was advised that there were no tools in the vehicle.
- Receipt showing purchase of the van.
- E-Mail from Gareth Mullett from the compound confirming that there was nothing on the seizure notice to indicate that