

**From:** Josephine Ward <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>  
**Sent time:** 20/02/2016 04:02:45 PM  
**To:** Rewired Rewired <re\_wired@ymail.com>  
**Cc:** lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk  
**Subject:** Re: Appellant response to respondent's

---

Simon

With regards to your statement I have tried to help you with this. I have explained what is not helpful etc. You simply disagree with the advice that I am giving and this has always been the case. You are misinterpreting the Respondent's case which is simply that the raves / parties whether legal or not cause anti-social behaviour - i.e. sleepless nights, noise, nuisance etc. You dispute that you are the organiser and that is the only facts that I requested information about. The court is not looking at one isolated date but all dates and the conduct on each of the dates. I have also explained to you the events that cause you problems and the reasons why. Organisation is not simply providing equipment, manning the gate but also sourcing premises and I have explained that this can be inferred. Even if a section 144 LAPSO is up there can still be antisocial behaviour albeit the event is not a rave under the legislation.

I have made it very clear the irrelevant points and aspects that do not assist you. You do not accept the advice.

Josephine

On 20 February 2016 at 15:41 Rewired Rewired <re\_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

no Josie i am not happy, i did not write this with you or was not involved in the making of it, you want to deal with the applicants skeleton bundle but i have yet been able to put my updated deference statements in towards the police statements which the applicant clearly states, that they rely upon in the skeleton bundle point 2. i did send them to you but could not draft them,with the skills need by a person of your profession. i listen to your legal guidance and it is up to me to make the decision to which way i decide to steer my case and evidence.I do trust in you but it is legally right for the decision to be mine. I would like the legal point's of my defense added as the back bone to my statements such as a copy of the licencing act 2003, copy of the magistrates court transcripts, a copy of a section 144 a copy of an environmental section 80 abatement notice, Adr carriage of dangerous gas's, parliaments official documentation regarding the word (rave) so the acting barrister can clearly state out the points of law relevant to my plea of innocents, i would also like it noted that i do not. i have made a bundle of but would like to go over it with you if and when possible please.

On Saturday, 20 February 2016, 14:29, Josephine Ward <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com> wrote:

Lorraine / Simon

I am attaching the response to the Respondent's skeleton argument.

Can you please sign if you are happy with the content and email straight back to me as I need this to be forwarded to the Public Defender.

Thanks

Josephine