

The Judge was very unhappy and said he was not going to allow this to be dropped and again made the clerk of the Court make a phone call to Michael Carroll and co, to order them to attend Court on the 14/10/2016, in regards to the missing documents.

I stated I would try and add as many missing documents as I could but was unsure of what documents were missing, the reason being; as so much had been handed to the court and solicitors.

The Appellant mother asked the Judge if the Appellant would need to attend Court on the 14/10/2016, as the hearing was due to only be regards to the missing documents, The Appellant mother felt The Appellant did not need to be there the Judge agreed to this.

On the 14 October 2016 Mr A Cordell and the Appellant's mother attended Court on this date, the solicitors did not turn up, The Appellant mother had a list of documents that she had made up and indexed that needed to be added to The Appellant's bundle's, which she passed to the Judge. She stated to the Judge that she could not be sure if there were still documents missing. She also stated that she had tried to call Miss Ward and had no reply. The Judge was very upset that the solicitors had not turned up; the Judge again got the clerk of the Court to email Michael Carroll and co to tell them that they had to be in Court on the 19/10/2016.

The Appellant mother also stated to the Judge that she had made many phone calls to other solicitors and due to the case being at the Appeal stage no one was willing to take the Appeal on due to the cost they would get under legal aid, in more detail it was explained that legal aid is a set amount and continued to explain that the solicitors dealing with the Appeal should be the same solicitors that dealt with the original trial, Appeals are set at a standard rate, so any solicitor taking on a case would not get paid to go over the complete bundles and to take updated instructions from the client.

Again The Appellant mother asked the Judge if The Appellant needed to attend Court on the next date, to which the Judge replied no.

On the 19/10/2016 again Mr A Cordell and the Appellants mother attended Court, to find out that once again the solicitors was not in attendance, the Judge had received a letter from Michael Carroll co, stating that Miss Ward no longer worked for the company, the Judge was very upset and said he was not going to allow the issue of: the "Missing documents, legal aid certificate" to be dropped, the Judge asked the clerk of the Court to email Michael Carroll and co, so for them to attend Court on the 25/10/2016.

The Appellants mother again stated to the Judge that she had made many phone calls to other solicitors to try and get them to take over the Appeal, and due to the case being Appeal stage no one was willing to take the Appeal on due to the cost they would not get under legal aid and that it was a set amount agreed for all cases, as legal aid believed that the solicitors dealing with the Appeal would be the same solicitors that dealt with the original trial, so should not incur this additional cost as Appeals are set at a standard rate, so any solicitor taking on a case would not get paid to go over the complete bundles because this had all ready been paid to the past solicitor firm before hand and this would include to take updated instructions from any client.

When the Appellant mother got home she again tried to call Miss Ward, this was with no reply she done this by texting her with no receipt of reply.

On the 25/10/2016 again Mr A Cordell and I attended Court, once again the solicitors was not in attendance, the Judge was very upset and done an Internet search under Miss Ward's name to find out if she was working under a new solicitor, he found the new solicitors and sent an email demanding that Miss Ward attended Court on the 11/11/2016.

Again The Appellant mother stated to the Judge that she had made many phone calls to other solicitors and due to the case being Appeal stage no one was willing to take the Appeal on and this was due to the cost they would get under legal aid.

When The Appellants mother got home from Court at 15:48 she received a phone call from Miss Ward, she stated that she knew nothing about, what had happened meaning that she did not no the Judge had asked her to attend Court further to the explained that Michael Carroll and Co had not informed her in regards to any emails sent from the Court.

The Appellant mother said to Miss Ward while on the telephone that she herself had previously tried to call her, this was to include the sent text messages that she had spent inclusively but Miss Ward had not replied or picked the phone up.

Miss Ward stated while still on the phone that Michael Carroll had previously told her while she was leaving his company as employed staff that she must not contact any of the client she had gained this was to include the Appellants and his family members.

The Appellant mother and Miss Ward arranged to a meeting on the 27/10/2016, to go over The Appellant's bundle "case load" to check for any missing documents.

On the 27/10/2016 The Appellant mother meet with Miss Ward to go over The Appellant's bundle, upon looking at the bundle and the documents that The Appellant mother had added and indexed Miss Ward stated she believed there were no missing files, as time has gone on I have found other documents that should have been in The Appellant's bundle that were missing. These have never been added as The Appellant mother did not want to have to go back to the Judge and say there were more documents that were missing.

Miss Ward stated she had to attend Court but gave a different date that the Judge had ordered her to be there, The Appellant mother stated to her that the Judge had given the date of the 11/11/2016 when we was in Court, Miss Ward stated that this was not what was put into the email that was sent to the company Miss Ward now worked for. The Appellant mother stated she would send an email over to the Court to tell the Court that they had met up and checked the Appellant's bundle and they believed there were no more documents missing at that point.

On the 01/11/2016 The Appellant mother wrote an email to the Judge to state that there had been a meeting with Miss Ward and they had gone over The Appellant's bundle and believed there were no documents missing now. The Appellant mother asked in the email to the Judge if the Applicant still needed to attend Court on the 11/11/2016 and if so could this be confirmed via email.

On the 02/11/2016 The Appellant mother received a reply from Wood Green Crown Court from the Judge stating that we did not need to attend on the 11/11/2016 and the date would be vacated.

On the 19/12/2016 The Appellant mother sent an email to the Judge this was in regards to still not finding a solicitor, that was willing to take the Appeal on, The Appellant mother asked the Judge to help in regards to getting a solicitor to act for The Appellant regarding the Appeal as time was becoming short for the Appeal hearing.

On the 21/12/2016 The Appellant mother received a reply in her email from the Judge; this explained that the Judge could not help with a solicitor. The Appellant mother and Appellant still did not give up, they both carried on trying to find one that was willing to take the Appeal on for The Appellant, the Appellant and his mother was upset the reason being; as the Judge did state he would help with the issue of the solicitor on the 26/09/2016 and another part of the reason being that time was short for when the Appeal hearing was to take place, as this was due to start soon after. The Appellant and his mother did not wait till the last minute to ask the Judge for help and was then told by the Judge that he could not help.

On the 12/01/2016 late in the day The Appellant mother was given a number form a solicitor's of a solicitor's called MK-Law, that maybe could help and take the Appeal on, The Appellants mother called them as they were the first solicitor's in the list she was given.

The entire of the solicitor's firms that had been contacted prior to September 2016 had simply refused to act in the case; the reason given was because the case was at an Appeal stage. Throughout our attempts to find a solicitor, No solicitor firm that was called wanted to hear what we had to explain so to be able to understand what the case was about, on one occasion the Applicants mother broke down in tears to the company she was talking to and they agreed to take on the case, this was as long as the Judge agreed to an adjournment, the Applicants mother, stated to them she did not think the Judge will agree to this as in September 2016 the Judge had stated he would not adjourn it again.

The solicitor stated that they would not have enough time to be able to get all of the bundles and then be able to get a barrister to go over them and that this would not leave time for them as the new acting solicitors to have time to have a meeting with The Appellant and