

24<sup>th</sup> May Incident - Do you remember speaking with Pc Jackson? Do not remember names.

Paragraph of T and C'S Re Falcon Park (Statement)

Deposit does go back unless damage or loss stopping due to breach of agreement.

Amount = No Fee

NFO

**DEF**

Additional witness not here, statement can be read but less weight because witness not here.

Witness 2 can be here in 1 half hours

Half evidence

## **R V CORDELL**

**8**

13:30pm

**DEF**

NF Witness.

**Case closing subs.**

**Statutory test key:**

- 1) Whether D has acted in an Anti Social Manner: Alarm / Distress.
- 2) Astonishing of council to make that whole 11 officers were wrong.
- 3) D's evidence is also not meritable and neither his witness statements.
- 4) D's Mothers evidence – totally irrelevant – her evidence is based on conspiracy police have against her family.
- 5) 7<sup>th</sup> June Witness Inspector Hamill and Sos Miles witness, Cordell (D). Inspector Hamill miles points, to D being the organiser.
- 6) Disruption and concern Rave caused that is outlined by Cad Reports and officers statements.
- 7) 19<sup>th</sup> July Inspector Skinner describes a rave and Cordell being organiser, another statement as far as D is concerned, which is totally wrong.
- 8) Crimit's reports show D as organiser, of large raves according to officer's statements.
- 9) Test mode out of submissions above.
- 10) Consistent Patten of behaviour as by of D concerned.

1) Test of Public Nuisance? Does not (text missing) delaminates? Of fact, but from Cad's Re: alarm distress etc.

Shows this has happened.