

The impact this has on police resources looking @ noise levels and potentially speculating out of control – Disorder due to shutting events down

2) Pc Elsmore: Description (of crime) levels after the D was subject to order has reduced – only 3 – when D was active was significant more

3) The order is necessary and attention drawn to carefully word interim order

Def Closing subs

1) Test to be passed can the allegations be proven? Deceived that alleged it may be illegal, it does not need to cause Alarm harm or Distress

Page 2 and 3,

Hearsay from Steve Elsmore is a copy and paste job

Pc Parcel not correct to file evidence, of Crimit's, which contained incorrect evidence that can not be backed up, of D known for class A drugs and or supply – info is widely inaccurate

Totality of evidence is hearsay as well as reports at Cannery Wharf

No proof this was an illegal rave, as S.63 CJO 1994, No proof of Tres Pass – determination not proved to Criminal Legal Standards

I did XEX Officer of @ no time did he indicate where info had come from, 24/05/2014

2nd Allegations – Application relies on Hearsay again and Crimit's **Pages 104 – 107**, noted from evidence

2nd Could hearsay from Josher Holyfield, who allegedly confessed that was looking to set up raves ---

(A large section of court transcripts are missing)

Crimit's, "steward not her again?"

R V CORDELL

8

Page 98-100 – hearsay – from a Pc again – all in 3rd person, no indication that Pc attended himself

No evidence that it was illegal rave

Show determination in view of illegal rave and no proof has been submitted or covers witness as victim

No allegations where app. Produced 1st hand evidence

The particulars of allegations, states illegal rave and no proof of the required standards has been submitted, nothing adduced

It may be unlikely for presumption that given but it's possible

In XEX

App (text missing) del failed to Enfield Council, who did not pursue