

6. Yes, my instructions are clear, I did not organised any illegal raves or provide any equipment with an intention of holding an illegal rave and surely did not cause any Anti Social behavior on the dates sighted, this is also to include Mill Marsh Lane with no exception.

7. Yes in some I am visiting my friends who are or were homeless at the time.

8. The legal technicality you state that I refer to i.e. absence of trespass that does not prevent parties from being held in accordance of the law, may lead to a stand alone anti social behavior order if a person commits a public order offence, to which I did not cause as I was not organizer neither did I take part in the organisation of the party or did I commit any civil or criminal offence.

9. In any one un-regular occasion over the duration of the weekend I can understand the noise nuisance and distress to neighbors this can cause if the allegations were to be true and not fabricated by police as I can prove. I was not the organizer of the event.

The case is based on what the respondent based it upon and in my case this is the organisation of illegal raves not the organisation of raves:-

1. I proved that indoor parties are not illegal unless there is a breach of the licensing act 2003 as this is the law for entertainment.

2. That the word rave can not be used in a building as section 63 requires as a key element unless tress pass has taken place.

3. I proved that I was not the organizer of the events as I was not.

4. That I never took part in any anti social behavior or intended or encouraged any other person to neither.

5. Anti social behavior was not clearly caused as a result of the Progress Way by me or my actions as I was only a visitor who never caused any offence.