

If illegal raves have not gotten proven, which they were not, then why do my conditions for the Anti Social Behaviour Order still defines illegal raves?

Part of my Barrister submissions that represented me, had been that the allegations were that I got involved in organizing illegal raves but the applicant hadn't adduced evidence of trespass which is a requirement for proving that an indoor rave was illegal.

The Deputy District Judge ruled that the applicant did not need to prove illegality — all the needed to prove was I had acted in an anti social manner, to which I had not acted in any anti social manner within the whole case file.

In the view of my barrister this is a very questionable decision: firstly, the applicant based their case on the illegality of the raves rather than the fact of the raves themselves and secondly, without proof of illegality the presumption of innocence leads to the conclusion that the raves were legal, and thus I am being prohibited from engaging in an ostensibly lawful activity requires more careful consideration on issues of proportionality.

I have to agree with my barrister as when dealing with this case I was addressing the applicant case to prove that I had did not get involved in organizing illegal raves, as this is what the application against me was. The case got proven that I acted in an anti social manner, but I don't understand by doing what.

As the case against me was that I had organized illegal raves, and this part never did get proven so what did I do that cause harassment, alarm or distress to one or more persons not of the same household as myself? This illegal application has led me to 8 years of my life being stolen by corrupt government officials as can clearly get seen in the copy of Anti Social Behaviour Order and my response bundle for some following reasons:

The police 999 call centres time stamps are going back words in time. Not to forget the basics of governments own laws that do state that trespass must be present within a place of residence to obtain any legal rights under the raves act 1994.

Also, to mention the fundamental basis that if something states it is illegal then the true lines of criminal investigation must take place, as did not