

The Appellant will state that he was at home when he was contacted by the hirer to come to collect his equipment which was then seized by police. The Appellant will state that his equipment was restored to him by the police.

The Appellant will state that he did not commit any criminal offences nor did he engage in any acts of anti-social behaviour.

The Appellant will state that he was not an organiser and merely hired out his equipment in good faith.

The Appellant did not commit any criminal offences. The Appellant did not engage in any anti-social behaviour.

(d) CARPET RIGHT 19TH JULY 2014

The Appellant denies organising or supplying equipment for the above event.

The Appellant never entered the premises Carpet Right. The Appellant will state that the true organisers were inside the premises and the police ought to be in possession of their details. This has never been disclosed to the Appellant.

The Appellant will state that none of his vehicles were inside the premises.

The Appellant notes from the Respondent's bundle there was no rave /event, no sound recording equipment inside the premises, and therefore no rave was taking place. Police office "274ye states group of 10-20 squatters inside, police entered to make sure no audio equipment inside which there wasn't". And a comment which states "caller states they are males and females and are all white people a/a 20 years. The police also state "they have a section 144 up".

The Appellant did not commit any criminal offences. The Appellant did not engage in any anti-social behaviour.

(e) ALMA ROAD – 24TH JULY 2014

The Appellant disputes the conversation with PC Edgoose regarding raves.

The Appellant will state that he did discuss with PC Edgoose his entertainment company and his dream of hosting a local festival at Pickets Lock for the benefit of the community. He will also say that he discussed other charitable events that he had participated in and events in the pipeline.