

Counsel

You can not assist with witness reliability of info contained, can you?
Can Intel be wrongfully inaccurate? No

Officer

On that particular re post, it appears to be right.
I did not speak to Parcell he is force @ 7 boroughs.
I believe he was not included in the email, because Intel **(text missing)** Email sent to LDE only.
Searched **(text missing)** for info on Cordell's convections.
Moving on to statement on Page 30
Does PO investigating unit have more info than it is letting on?

Officer

No
Are you aware that Miss Cordell has spoken to other officers Re: Rave?
Suggests that you do not want DS Tanner to be examined on these proceedings because she has information Re knowledge of raves and them not being connected to W/D
Spoke to Pc Tanner but not written what – spoke to **(text missing)** this year.
You have no recorded that you emailed her but then spoken to her.
Emails have been deleted and no copies keep on record.

Met police

XEX OF Witness vii

Done oath seductions:
Nothing in the contents of this report is inaccurate to my knowledge.

DEF

Hopefully the 2 witnesses on behalf of D should be able to give evidence tomorrow.

Witness viii

Miss Cordell ATT – 16:05 – EIC

R V CORDELL

5

D (her son) lives separately from me but I have been trying to help him sort out inaccuracies with both his PNC and other police matters.
Police is still popping around to his house - Simon tells me and also I physically get to his flat before police have left.
He is being harassed by police.

DJ

Are 6 officers not reliant – on witness statement - there for putting a line though RD.?

DEF

Material deters with PNC that was included by Met – There fore right to challenge. Plus PNC in evidence not correct.

DJ

Very little weight will be given to PNC.

DJ

Miss Cordell

Met XEX

Bottom of Page 8 – Leaving party for Dwayne Edwards.
I got there at 7:30PM and left about 9:30 Pm 6th – 8th June – D was also with Dwayne the days of Saturday and Sunday as well.
He was at my house for a 1 hour and half on Saturday and 1 hour on Sunday during the day. I agree I did not include it in my statement.
On Sunday it was around midday.
I was not with D from about 2AM on Sunday, no I was not.
Nor at 2AM on Saturday either.
On the 7th June I did see my son and so did all my family members that were at the party.
At Page 14
"Police did not have 101 books "
2 and 3 paragraphs
Accepts that was told to me by DS Chapman.
DS Tanner called me on 11th or 12th. I believe they have a lot more information.
I am aware of full **(text missing)** Alleged involvement but not raves.
I do believe that met have a vendetta against my whole family including Tyrone – Harassment: pull them out for no reason, I would not say from every officer.

Miss Cordell continues

I am saying that there may be some truth but allegations of my son organising raves is horrendous.
Been scribbled out?
About medical statements of info has not been contained re question: D had been stabbed and was in hospital
Been scribbled out?
20th June couldn't give evidence as to D were about but believe he had been arrested on the 19th 20th July not witness him – did not give detailed route in statement because did not think it was relevant.
Problems with service of docs with police and would not take bundle because **(text missing)** with police, he panics and rings me every time he is stopped.
I have so **(text missing)** and right down all encounters with police all low not in the bundle.

DEF XEX

R V CORDELL

6

I accept involvement of police – they interact with her son and family.
You said Met police have a lot of info of you said "accepted involvement but not raves "
I have involvement with police of lots of data practically with Simon, but not in regards to raves, issues other than raves.
I don't accept he is involved in organisation of raves.

Case Continues Tomorrow.

R V CORDELL

2/2 DAYS

1

Witness XEX

So you are not yet Charity registered "Too Smooth"
Company were young eutrepures can advertise there Business.