

The error on the MID database would also cause Mr Simon Cordell a great deal of problems over the years to come. Within 11 months he had his vehicles seized 9 times, this was always when his insurance company was closed, that being on a day such as Sunday when the insurance companies are closed, he would also be pulled over when it was opened, in one case a police officer lied to his insurance company causing much problems, this has now been proven to be true that a police officer did in fact lie under oath to a Judge at the magistrates court.

Mr Simon Cordell will state he has always been pulled over by police while driving any vehicle and will (supply exhibit from 2004 of letter to the police) of him stating that he is in fear of the police, for continues police harassment, especially a great deal within the past few years, He will also state that some times the police would check his insurance documents, as he always carried them with him due to the errors, so that he could explain to the police the error on the MID and asked them to look at the police system to help aid in times when he was being pulled over by members of the police, So that he would always have information available for police about this issue, A far percentage of the police that did in fact pull Mr Simon Cordell over at road side, did check this information and let him go without a problem. But some police just did not care and seized his vehicles, which I then had to pay the costs to get them out of the police compound each time. Chariton and Perivale knows of Mr Simon Cordell by the end of that year and each time he states that they would say not again. He states that he had tried everything to get this error corrected and had called everyone about this issue and the insurance company, he had tried to work out what was wrong, no one seemed to be able to work it out, including the police. Mr Simon Cordell states in Nov 2013. He was once again paying to take his vehicle out of the vehicle compound when one of the compound staff said this is just not correct, that you have to keep paying to take your vehicles out of the compound and that this was not right, when a person has that of a valid insurance policy in place, The gentleman working for the compound started to look at Mr Simon Cordell's documents and the database printout Mr Simon Cordell had from his insurance company, all of a sudden the gentlemen noticed something strange, he asked Mr Simon Cordell to take a look at this, he pointed at the paperwork in front of them both and said I wonder if this is what is causing the problem, there was a space within Mr Simon Cordell's vehicle registry number, so it was printed as CX52 JRZ and not CX52JRZ as soon as Mr Simon Cordell got back home from the compound, he called his insurance broker and explained to them what had just happened at the car compound and asked them to check the point of issue, to see if this is what was causing the error. It took them some time but it seems it was due to my insurance being trade and the MID allowing the space to be put in and it showed a correct upload to the MID database that caused this problem.

But Mr Simon Cordell's problems just did not stop there. He did not get summons from the court in respect of the ongoing court proceedings and was found guilty, in his absence, for no insurance. This was due to not knowing he had a court date, this became another problem and he got a ban due to points this was inclusive a fine, email upon email was being sent to the courts but case Simon and his mother was have problems getting the issues of cause rectified and felt that as if of they were not getting dealt with correctly, nearly all of Mr Simon Cordell's insurance that was paid for during the period of 2014 to 2015, he could not drive due to the errors.

In reference to the case were the police officer had lied to Mr Simon Cordell's insurance company, he had been trying to get a copy of the tape(s), of when the police officer had been speaking to KGM my insurance company at the time of Mr Simon Cordell, being pulled over at road side, from the police officer(s) in charge of the case, themselves with subject access requests, to which they were not dealing with, so the case was called to court for trial and the police officer had lied to the judge, Mr Simon Cordell was again found guilty, and banned from driving and fined, he submitted an appeal and the judge accepted it so now the ban was not in force until the appeal date. The judge also helped by explaining that if Mr Simon Cordell's insurance company did not hand over the data that he had been seeking to try to get hold of by the date and time of the appeal, that he could apply to the crown court to summons the insurance company KGM to court. Mr Simon Cordell and his mother in fact did get the information before the appeal date and the recording of what the police officer said to my insurance at road side. Mr Simon Cordell also had to get a barrister for the appeal date. Again the police officer lied in court, my barrister let him, then my barrister played a little from the recording and stopped it and asked the police officer is that you. Which he replied yes, the recording was restarted which showed the