

From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Sent time: 09/02/2016 05:07:12 PM
To: Josephine Ward <josie@michaelcarrollandco.com>; JOSEPHINE WARD <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>; Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Subject: RE: Simon's updated statement

Dear Josey

The statement we have been asking you to request from Superintendent Coombes since Sep 2015 will help this case Josey I can not understand how you can say it will not. Simon was not in Essex which will show in Superintendent Coombes statement. it will show that Superintendent Coombes contacted the met police and spoke to a Superintendent and give them all the information and told the MET to keep a close eye on this event as it could happen within the METs bounders. It will say Superintendent Coombes asked for an officer name that would be on duty in the met police that weekend in case of problems.

It will show that Superintendent Coombes made many phone calls to the organisers of the rave way before the day that the event was due to take place to try and get them to stop. None of this will come back to Simon. And it will also show more information then this so how can this not help.

Just the met police knowing about this event and getting the person name who spoke to Superintendent Coombes will mean a great deal as this will clearly show the MET police was warned about this event before it even started. so in turn the MET police would have known where it was moved to.

Please tell me how this will not help?

Josey the public order unit no full well who was the organisers of most of the dates within this ASBO application. is it right they got information on there system showing who was the real organisers which is not Simon yet a case is put in Simon name.

Josey people can have friends it does not mean they know what people are doing does it.

Josey the case for illegal raves has not been proven at the trial already and can never be proven as there is no trespass to the applications case. The team raves can not be used in a legal team read Simon 90 page document and you will see the law there in it.

Why do you think in the new SkeletonArgument the word illegal has been taken out within the whole document and the word rave has only been used? But they can not use this team in the application as said above the team raves can not be used in a legal team.

So now what is the case Simon acted in an anti-social manner, well clearly this is the case that was proven at trial but I can not understand why and no one else can even the councils I have spoken to do not know how this was proven, as not one police officer stood up at trial and said Simon acted in a rude or anti-social manner towards them, they said he spoke to them and done what they asked of him. The witness statements not one of them can give an ID of Simon so how do they know it was Simon that has acted in an anti-social manner?

Josey to prove this case the burden has to be of high standard and it is not or they would have been able to prove illegality at trial which could not be proven.

Josey there was a reason the application was done in the way it was they could not prove outright Simon acted in a way to prove he:

- That the offender has acted, at any time since XXXXXX in an anti-social manner, that is to say in a manner that caused or was likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress to one or more persons not of the same household as himself, and
- An order under this section is necessary to protect persons in any place in England and Wales from further anti-social acts by him.

The reason being Simon did not act in an anti-social manner to any person and this is proven in there own application. AS said above Simon was not rude to anyone even the police he spoke to them so this is not acting, in an anti-social manner that is to say in a manner that caused or was likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress to one or more persons not of the same household as himself.

But if he was the organisers of these illegal raves then he would be the person that was overall reasonable for any person that caused or was likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

They can not prove Simon was the organisers of these illegal raves.

Once you have had time to look after Simon document tonight can you please supply bullets of all points you feel he has issues.

Would it also please be possible to ask the court for more time in order to get the document in as Simon does not want to run out of time in order to get this all addressed and all documents in and the list of witness that will need to be called that was due in yesterday to the court.