

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.
He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day. **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. **(Progress Way)**

Witness – Pc Elsmore – R.O – 14;10 EIC

Tab 6 – pg ?14?

DEF XEX

Council **(unreadable text)** curfews **(unreadable text)** that PNC info on statement adds no **(unreadable text)** probatory **(unreadable text)** value of info re: Witness being “afraid of D” What he puts down to the way he worded, but he meant that people actually are afraid of possibly giving evidence in court.

R V CORDELL

4

DEF

Counsel argues that officers statement is designed to cause on evidence reaction of this of no value and speculative in nature.

DJ

How many calls from public did police receive?

Witness

In excess of 15 calls – how many to the same venue and not other address.

Doe’s not know the number of callers that are in relation to each of these occasions.

On page 15 – Allegations re: Millmarsh Lane, evidence from officer not first hand – relied on cads and other Intel.

Query Re: “3 massive nitrous tanks”

DJ

Were did you get such info officer.

Witness

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimits Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

COUNSEL

Officer you signed a statement of truth (unreadable text) to other witness statements.

DJ

We all know that on ASBO apps hearsay is allowed.

R V CORDELL

5

Counsel

Why did officer no and rely on Pc Kings Statements later than on the Crimits reported.

Officer no and involved in taking info from Pc King.

(Confesses he did it.)

He did not notice the discrepancy regarding official statements.