

836  
R (McCann) v Manchester Crown Ct (HL(E))  
Lord Hutton

[2003] 1 AC

115 For the reasons which I have given I would dismiss the appeals of  
the McCann defendants and would declare that the House had no  
jurisdiction to hear the appeal of the defendant Clingham. A

**LORD HOBHOUSE OF WOODBOROUGH**

116 My Lords, for the reasons given by my noble and learned friends  
Lord Steyn and Lord Hope of Craighead and in agreement with the opinion  
of my noble and learned friend Lord Hutton, in particular what he has said  
in paragraph 113 of his opinion, I too would make the orders proposed. B

**LORD SCOTT OF FOSCOTE**

117 My Lords, I agree that for the reasons given in the opinions of my  
noble and learned friends, Lord Steyn, Lord Hope of Craighead and Lord  
Hutton, the appeal in the *McCann* case should be dismissed and in the  
*Clingham* case the House should make the order proposed by Lord Steyn.  
I, like my noble and learned friend Lord Hobhouse of Woodborough, am in  
full agreement with what Lord Hutton has said in paragraph 113 of his  
opinion. C

*Appeals in McCann case dismissed.*  
*Declaration that no jurisdiction to* D  
*hear appeal in Clingham case.*

*Solicitors: Peter Kandler & Co; Burton Copeland, Manchester; James  
Welch; Director of Legal Services, Kensington and Chelsea Royal London  
Borough Council; Winckworth Sherwood; Treasury Solicitor.*

B.L.S. E

F

G

H