

Details of van taken but was not D.  
Carpet right was padlock round metal barrier.  
Other car park had a front entrance.  
I was senior officer attending the venue.  
Latter on I instructed the sergeant to contact the owners.  
I latter see the defendant getting out the van.  
I can't remember that, I may have updated others in relation to D getting out of van. But I may or may not have updated the system.  
On the 7<sup>th</sup> June D made admissions to me not aware of squatters.

**Met XEX**

**Refers to statement on page 76**

**Witness Pc Edgoose – R.O 12:14pm EIC Read  
Statement 21**

**Incident of 24<sup>th</sup> July:**

I was in a vehicle that stopped D's Vehicle.  
No threat to break defendant's window (ok)  
It was all about drug issues.

**Witness VI – Pc King 12:28pm EIC**

**Tab 15/16**

---

**R V CORDELL**

**3**

**Statement Page 41**

Officer has only met D once before.  
D has all ways been polite.  
Has never had any problem with the defendant.  
D was rely eloquent of clearly knows the how.

**Witness Pc Ames – Acting sergeant – R.O -12:46 Pm EIC**

**DEF XEX**

Event was out doors.  
Saw sound equipment substance speakers box.  
Approximately the size of witness box, but could not remember really as he was distracted by people.  
No further questions.

**Witness – Pc Elmore – R.O – 14:10 EIC**

**Tab 6 – pg**

**DEF XEX**

The Council is confused that of the PNC info of the statements, Council adds no probationary value of info Re: Witness being "afraid of D" Which he puts down to the way he worded, but he meant that people actually are afraid of possibly giving evidence in court.

**DEF**

Counsel argues that officers statement is designed to cause on evidence reaction of this of no value and speculative in nature.

**DJ**

How many calls from public did police receive?

**Witness**

In excess of 15 calls – how many to the same venue and not other address.  
Officer does not know the number of callers in relation to each of these occasions.  
On page 15 – Allegations re: Mill marsh Lane, evidence from officer not first hand – relied on cads and other Intel.  
Query Re:"3 massive nitrous tanks"

**DJ**

Were did you get such info officer

**Witness**

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimit's Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

**COUNSEL**

Officer you signed a statement of truth ==to other witness statements.

**DJ**

We all know that on ASBO apps hearsay is allowed.

**Counsel**

Why did officer no and rely on Pc Kings Statements later than on the Crimit's reported.

---

**R V CORDELL**

**4**

Officer no and involved in taking info from Pc King.  
Confesses he did it.  
Did not, notice the discrepancy on statements.  
Have heard of Every Decibel Matters – They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.  
No evidence D is involved in running there operations.  
No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.  
No reason to why you didn't /contact the company.  
I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.  
At Page 16 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014  
All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officers own words – same applies from Cads that had no input.  
Has not made any attempts to contact owners of premises.  
Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.  
Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell's evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what's written in  
Statements – another example of untrue cut and past.  
**DJ**  
Ill ignore because no convections of class A drugs or supplying.