

Please be advised that as I no longer represent Mr Cordell, this document does not contain information based on what his current instructions are as between yourself and his barrister.

This document was prepared for a specific purpose to deal with a response to HHJ Pawlak's letter.

After consulting the client for some length of time, he informed both you and I that he did not wish for this document to be handed up.

Instead as you remember and against my firm advice, he asked me to hand up his own typed document which the Judge then read.

I am not sure for which purpose the client wishes to use this document now but it may be that his barrister will want to advise him as to the best course of action and particularly in terms of any hearsay applications.

You will remember that these have been dealt with already and the Judge allowed the hearsay applications by the Crown.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require any further information,

Regards Andrew

---

**Monday, 12 September 2016, 14:42**

Subject:

Re: introductory call

From: Rewired

[re\\_wired@ymail.com](mailto:re_wired@ymail.com)

[christie@voiceability.org](mailto:christie@voiceability.org);

Dear Paige

The report took me a bit more time than I first thought to finish.

I am sorry if I have caused you any inconvenience.

I attach a copy of the two hospital reports and also that of my reply to them reports which has not been sent to them as I wanted you to go over them before.

This does include a personal statement and a copy of the assessments transcripts that took place at my home address in February before the 14/08/2016 incident, if you have any advice towards amendments please tell me, I have not sent the documents to any other person(s) other than your self and ask for your guidance.

I was also wondering, if you would be so kind to give me a phone call once you have had time to process the reports, so to tell myself your opinion.