

From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Sent time: 13/02/2016 07:12:43 PM
To: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Subject: RE: Simon Cordell v. The Metropolitan Police Commissioner - appeal against the imposition of an ASBO to be heard at Wood Green Crown Court on 22nd February 2016
Attachments: Page-283-Grid-Map.pdf 09-08-14-03.png

Simon please look at this email and attachments and see if it ok to send Josey please as i am not sending it without your say so.

Hi Josey

I know it is the weekend and I am not asking you to reply to this over the weekend but if you could please do this on Monday I would be grateful.

I am very worried and know that things will not be ready for the 22/02/2016 when this 3 day trial is due to start.

You don't have a barrister yet and from what you have said all the barristers you asked have said no they will not deal with this case as it is too large, and I am very worried as any barrister will not have time to go over all the paper work if they agreed to take this on.

I don't know what will happen in a case if you can't get a barrister as this has never happened. And I don't want a barrister turning up that doesn't know anything about this case nor does Simon.

I am not sure what is going on with the public order unit information I know you said you can deal with this when you got the refusal from the section 35 DPA and FOI Act you put in from Val Tanner. Also I have large issues with how she did the refusal due to the rules that are set when a DPA request or a FOI request is put in. It has to be sent to the data protection officer to deal with it, Val Tanner should not have just been able to refuse this. But as far as I am aware nothing has been done can you please explain what your plans are for this matter please.

There has been no list given to the court for witnesses that will need to be called and this is worrying me a great deal as they can just say sorry your witness list was not in time it should have been in for the 8th Feb 2016.

We were meant to be asking for all the missing CAD's and for them to unblacken the CAD's grid ref out for all grid ref this would be

ATT - Map grid Ref:
INC - Map Grid Ref:
CALL LOC - Map Grid Ref:

The reason for this is please see attached PDF and you will see why.

Also I can not see why nearly full pages are blacked out, I can not understand a need for this as far as I am aware the only thing that should be needed to be blacked out is the information as to the caller. Why would there be a need to blacken out full pages.

Also on page 39 statement of PC Jason Ames there is a next CAD that is missing CAD 9717 09/08/2014 that related to the intelligence received to the illegal rave on Millmarsh Lane the information in that CAD must have given the information to the address as PC Jason Ames and Aaron King went right to the address, this is Every Decibel Matters. Please also see Aaron King statement page 41. I believe the information came from the public order unit for Every Decibel Matters. Due to this post which I have attached to this email.

I know you had all the information that was meant to be asked for re the CAD's. And I am not sure if anything has been done about this. Or what the plan of action will be for this is.

I know you have sent the email now to Superintendent Coombs for him to do a statement, we don't know if this will come back in time, I know when I spoke to him he said he was willing to do a statement but that was a long time ago.

This case has made Simon so ill they have the information on the police Systems Josey they know this was not Simon already. Are they allowed to withhold information they have got that they know will prove someone has not done what they say to get a case proved or guilty?

Josey this needs to go back to court ASAP as I do not want the judge blaming Simon that things have not been done and it is not ready. The judge needs to understand the information we are after and why.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 12 February 2016 12:12

To: Lorraine Cordell

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell v. The Metropolitan Police Commissioner - appeal against the imposition of an ASBO to be heard at Wood Green Crown Court on 22nd February 2016

Lorraine

I did cite 6th, 7th and 8th June 2014 in my email.

Read second paragraph of my email which clearly states 6th June 2014.

Superintendent Coombs will hopefully comment on orders etc that he issued.

Regards

Josephine

On 11 Feb 2016 22:03, "Lorraine Cordell" <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Josey

What I forgot to add is where you put the information we got only started from the 07th June 2014 the police in the application have been proved wrong and there got to be information as from the 6th June 2014 as if you look at page 34 and 35 of the bundle you will see PC Donald McMillan states from the 6th to the 8th June 2014 so he is the only one who has said the truth as to the dates at Progress Way. I should have added this to the information that you got wrong in the email to Superintendent Coombs in my below email sorry

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 11 February 2016 21:07

To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'

Subject: RE: Simon Cordell v. The Metropolitan Police Commissioner - appeal against the imposition of an ASBO to be heard at Wood Green Crown Court on 22nd February 2016

Hi Josey

He served a dispersal notice which would have only covered Essex and an order to not setup within 24 hours after they were dispersed from Essex it is that order not to setup for 24 which would cover the UK as it comes under the public order act.

He also landed in a helicopter in the Field they were going to use in Essex as they were out all day looking for the location.

He called the organiser many times way before the date of the rave to try and get it stopped.

He told me on the phone all of this and that he had called and spoke to a Superintendent in the met police and gave them all the information as he was still not sure due to the boundaries if the rave would take place in Essex or the MET area so told the Superintendent in the Met to keep a close eye on the event page. he also said he took an inspectors name that would be on duty that full weekend in cause of problems.

[So there was a lot of information passed to the Met police.

He also said after we spoke in an email that he had got his full file and notes out but this was back in Sep 2015.

He has also got pictures of Chris in that file.

He said more than this but can't remember it all but i did send you an email with everything back in Sep 2015 after i spoke to him.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]

Sent: 11 February 2016 17:51

To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth

Subject: Fwd: Simon Cordell v. The Metropolitan Police Commissioner - appeal against the imposition of an ASBO to be heard at Wood Green Crown Court on 22nd February 2016

Lorraine/Simon

For your information and as specifically requested contrary to advice I am forwarding the email that I sent to Superintendent Coombs pursuant to your instructions.

Regards

Josephine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: JOSEPHINE WARD <josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Feb 11, 2016 at 5:49 PM

Subject: Simon Cordell v. The Metropolitan Police Commissioner - appeal against the imposition of an ASBO to be heard at Wood Green Crown Court on 22nd February 2016

To: Adrian.Coombs@essex.pnn.police.uk

Dear Superintendent Coombs

I am representing Simon Cordell in respect of an appeal against a stand alone ASBO that was imposed at Highbury Corner Magistrates Court on 4th August 2015.

One of the many incidents referred to in the bundle as a reason cited requesting the ASBO is date specific on 6th,7th and 8th June 2014 at Progress Way, Enfield.

Miss Lorraine Cordell, Simon Cordell's mother researched the internet for any information in relation to Progress Way incident on 6th and 7th June 2014 to try to show that her son was not involved in this incident and did not set up the rave. etc. She found an article on the internet which had your contact details. She stated that she telephoned you regarding this incident as she believes that the event originally planned in Essex relocated to Progress Way due a male called Chris Lurcher Lewis posting an entry on a Facebook page. We are interested in whether this information is accurate and also whether you took any action to prevent this event from being set up elsewhere. Miss Cordell refers to you stating that you issued a dispersal notice and she also states that you notified your colleagues in the Met regarding this.

The Met Police are accusing Simon Cordell of setting up this event at Progress Way. They have also only produced evidence from 7th June 2014 in relation to CAD messages that appear to relate to a number of different GPS Locations, one of which is Crown Road, near Southbury Road where another rave was taking place.

We are seeking to show the court that Mr Simon Cordell did not organise this event and that another male did. We are also seeking to establish that the Met Police could have closed this event down on 6th June 2014 when they were aware that it had started up i.e. the dispersal notice that you issued would demonstrate this.

We would very much appreciate if you could give this matter your earliest attention and provide us with a statement concerning the event that was originally scheduled to set up in Essex but due to your vigilance was stopped. Could you please provide specific detail of any event page/media publication that you monitored and whether you relayed this information to the Met and they simply did not act on your information.

Can you also please confirm whether Simon Cordell was present for the Essex event and whether he was one of the organisers that you served the dispersal notice on or telephoned during your enquiries.

We thank you in advance for your kind assistance in this matter and we await hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Yours faithfully

MICHAEL CARROLL & CO SOLICITORS

798 High Road

Tottenham

London N17 0DH

Office Tel: 0208 365 9900

**Every Decibel Matters**

10 August



We apologise profusely for the outcome of tonight, however the circumstances were beyond our control. We had police turn up at both of our houses threatening us with arrest if this event were to have happened. In addition to that we had a massive venue in harrow but police spent the day looking for us in the area with helicopters leaving us no option but to relocate. With all odds against us, we still tried our absolute hardest to deliver, however within 30 minutes of sending out the location we had police turn up and they simply did not have a bar of it from us. We really are sorry for tonight as we were truly as excited as you were. We will be back in the future to make amends for this.


We hope you all manage to have an amazing night anyway. Sorry guys!

The Every Decibel Matters Team!

Like · Comment · Share ·  78  19



Grid Reference Finder



Grid Reference	X (Eastings)	Y (Northings)	Latitude	Longitude	Description (Click to Edit)	Address	Postcode	Link	Center	Zoom	St
TQ 34960 96240	534960	196240	51.648764	-0.05069967	534960, 196240	469A Southbury Rd, Enfield, Greater London EN3 4HX, UK	EN3 4HX				
TQ 34981 96790	534981	196790	51.653702	-0.05018424	534981, 196790	142 Broadlands Ave, Enfield, Greater London EN3 5AF, UK	EN3 5AF				

Page 283 CAD number 3319 this is the only CAD with all 3 grid ref open that we have been given.

The RED marker is the call Map Location Grid Ref:

The Purple Marker is the ATT Map Location Grid Ref: and the INC Map Location Grid Ref:

As you can clearly see the distance from the Call Map Location Grid Ref:

And the distance between the police inputted location on the other 2 map Grid ref that are open.

Then look at the comments page 286 noted this is the rave with lots of calls coming in on this infst states people are weeing up fence too.

This CAD is 100% Crown Road Rave. which police state at trial there is not CADs in Simon's Bundle That are for Crown Road, and in fact state on the stand there was not even a Rave on going at Crown Road that weekend. The judge asked clearly if anything in the bundle was to do with a different Rave and was told no by police.