

From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Sent time: 09/02/2016 03:58:43 PM
To: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Subject: FW: Simon's updated statement
Attachments: Edited part 5.pdf Edited part 5.doc

Here i give josey the pdf file but i will include word one in this email

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 February 2016 15:57
To: 'Josephine Ward'; 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon's updatedstatement

Dear Josey

Please can you let me know if we should attend at 16:30 hours as i need to leave to pick Simon up to get him there on time.

Also please see attached draft copy

Regards

Lorraine

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 February 2016 15:27
To: 'Josephine Ward'; 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Simon's updatedstatement

Dear Josey

due to not getting replies to my emails since the new year asking if anything else was needed to be done by as andgetting no reply I have not had time since yesterday to deal with getting a statement re Dwayne, but I think that is covered already as Jamie Duffy was there and he has already wrote a statement.

I told you before Christmas Dwayne was due to go away to complete his trip that he has to cut short due to my mum's death. I told you he is back packing so would be very hard to get hold of once he left which was a few days after you asked me to get the tickets to show when he was leaving and the hall details. and when you told me about Dwayne would need to attend i told you that would be imposable as he was due to leave a few days after you asked me. I even called him in your office Josey so you can not say you did not know this.

Yes I should be able to get statement of other people and in your last email you did not say you needed these statements today in the daytime you said by tomorrow evening. Which is tonight.

I am sorry you now feel you have to rush things as they have not been done but that is not my fault or Simon's

Josey you know I have many health problems and I have only just had a huge amount of injections into my spine on the 17/01/2016 and I am not aloud to run around and do things for 6 to 8 weeks after having these done. I also got the flu really badly and have not been well for the last 2 weeks., but have still tried to get things due that was needed, but getting no replies to my emails did not help.

Josey you have had Simon 65 page statement for months I think since Oct 2015 at the last meeting you aloud him to attend it was given to you. It is this statement he is updating again since we got the information from the cps in an email on the 04/02/2016.

Simon is trying to get things done so you do not have so much to do. I have done the same thing. Me and Simon from the start of this case have done all that was asked of us and much more,

We were asking for things to be done since this case started which was not and only started to be done when the appeal was put in.

If you feel the need to re-schedule the meeting which has happened many times before then please update us, but this meeting is to deal with Simon updated statement Josey which he has never had a chance to do.

Regards

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 09 February 2016 14:53
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Simon's updated statement

Lorraine / Simon

I note that I have not received the updated statement from Simon, nor any additional statements from any witnesses re Dwayne's leaving party. I advised you previously that Dwayne was required to attend court, clearly he cannot as he is travelling, hence the request for alternative witnesses to back up Simon's alibi.

If the updated statement is not received by 3.30pm then I will have to re-schedule the meeting for until such time as the updated statement is received.

Regards

Josephine

This document is only for Simon Cordell Solicitors to see as Simon is not a Solicitor and needs help to address what sections need to be placed in his updated statement and which parts will be used for his barrister at the appeal. This is a draft copy of what can be included to make a new updated statement and notes which the barrister will need to see.

Witness statement in pursuit of Civil Proceedings Ci Act 1967, s;9; Mc Act 1980, ss.5A(3) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Introduction:

- An ASBO order has been appealed against after the magistrates court, the decision had been made against Mr Simon Cordell, this was at Highbury Corner, Magistrates Court, on the 4th August 2015 in pursuant to s.1 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 this is to make him subject to an Anti Social behaviour order in order, for the Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis.
- The respondent’s case is that Our Client that we represent, has been accused of being integrally involved in the organisation of illegal raves in Enfield on the dates listed below that are in question by the applicant.

12/01/2013 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at Canary Wharf.

24/05/2013 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in looking for venues, to set up an illegal rave.

25/05/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at Unit 5, St George’s Industrial Estate, White Hart Lane, N17.

07/06/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty warehouse on progress way, Enfield.

20/06/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at 1 Falcon Park, Neasden Lane, NW10.

19/07/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at the Carpet Right Showroom on the A10 Great Cambridge Road, Enfield.

24/07/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell had admitted to police officers that he was the organiser for illegal raves.

27/07/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty ware house on Millmarsh lane, Enfield.

09 - 10/08/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell was involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty warehouse on Millmarsh Lane, Enfield. The Defendant further actively sought to encourage a large group of people to breach the peace.

- **Reference to Pages 2 / 3**

The Defendant is prohibited from:

- A. Attending a rave as defined by s.63 of the criminal Justice and Public order Act 1994;
- B. Being concerned in the organization of a rave as defined by s.63 of the criminal Justice and Public order Act 1994;
- C. Knowingly using or supplying property, personal or otherwise, for use in a rave as defined by s.63 of the criminal Justice and public orders Act 1994;
- D. Entering or remaining in any disused or abandoned building;
- E. Entering or remaining on non residential private property on an industrial estate between the hours of 10pm and 7am without written permission from the owner and / or leaseholder of the property; and
- F. Engaging in any licensable activity in unlicensed premises;

Definition of Industrial buildings:

Industrial – This category ranges from smaller properties, often called "Flex" or "R&D" properties, to larger office service or office warehouse properties to the very large "big box" industrial properties. An important, defining characteristic of industrial space is Clear Height. Clear height is the actual height, to the bottom of the steel girders in the interior of the building. This might be 14 - 16 feet for smaller properties, and 40+ feet for larger properties. We also consider the type and number of docks that the property has. These can be Grade Level, where the parking lot and the warehouse floor are on the same level, to semi - dock height at 24 inches, which is the height of a pickup truck or delivery truck, or a full - dock at 48 inches which is semi - truck height. Some buildings may even have a Rail Spur for train cars to load and unload.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Commercial_property

Definition of Commercial buildings:

A commercial building is a building that is used for commercial use. Types can include office buildings, warehouses, or retail (i.e. convenience stores, 'big box' stores, shopping malls, etc.). In urban locations, a commercial building often combines functions, such as an office on levels 2-10, with retail on floor 1. Local authorities commonly maintain strict regulations on commercial zoning, and have the authority to designate any zoned area as such. A business must be located in a commercial area or area zoned at least partially for commerce.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Commercial_building

Under the above definitions of Industrial buildings and the Definition of Commercial buildings it is very clear Mr Simon Cordell has been limited with the conditions that have been imposed by the court and do not account for any person living a normal life or being able to live a normal life, with the conditions that have been set out in this ASBO order and without the conditions being defined clearly Mr Simon can not do many things within the whole of the UK,

Due to the definition, anything that has a warehouse to store goods would be classed as an Industrial this would include all large shopping stores as they have a warehouse attached to the back of them where they store goods for sale, also this would include hospitals, along with many other buildings.

So since 05/11/2014 Mr Cordell has stayed in his home and does not go out as he does not want to be in breach of this ASBO, His family are left to deal with making sure he has shopping and the things he needs because the way the conditions have not been defined he does not know what he can do and what he can not do as this was never defined.

These conditions relating to the ASBO application that have been bound upon Mr S Cordell are for the whole of the UK for 5 years.

When the skeleton bundle was updated most recently on 05/02/2016 the applicant supplied a book, this book is created by members of the Home Office, based within the United Kingdom and this books nature is of such a guide to Anti - Social Behaviour Orders.

Please take note to page number (taking a strategic approach page 15) which clearly states:

“The more serious the behaviour, the greater the likelihood that the court will grant a geographically wide order. Orders that seek to operate in the whole of England and Wales will not be granted without evidence that that is the actual or potential geographical extent of the problem. Further detail about effective prohibitions is given in Chapter 7.”

To have that condition imposed of such a wide scale of areas, would be a breach of Mr Simon Cordell’s human rights, this is inclusive for any other person who might also be banned from the whole of the UK.

Mr Cordell has always lived in the London Borough of Enfield since his birth, his family also have lived in the same area all there life’s and so did Simon Nan and Granddad, Mr Cordell has never shown any intension of moving to a new area within the UK.

And it is the Application case Mr Simon Cordell has been accused of is contained within the Borough of North London Enfield namely but one accused incident.

The Judge when granting the conditions of the ASBO on Mr Simon Cordell did not address this in court, and made the order for the whole of the UK for 5 years.

It was said in court by my Barrister, that if Mr Cordell ever does need to go to a petrol station along a motor way or on a named industrial estate as many petrol station in fact are and he was to do so between the hours of 22:00 hours and 07:00 hours he would in fact be in breach of this ASBO, the judge replied and said well in that circumstance of an incident, he will be arrested and have to prove in the court that he was going to get petrol.

Also if he made a wrong turn when driving and turned into a non residential private property or into a industrial estate, that he would be in breach of this ASBO. Together Simon Barrister and Simon Including his mother, tried to ask questions about the conditions that have been imposed upon himself, Simple every day life moderately such as what if he needed to go and get milk from Tesco's or a shop and the judge said well he will be arrested, Simon cant even go to a large moderately of shop such as Tesco and many more similar new establishments between the hours of 22:00 hours and 07:00 hours, without being in breach of this ASBO. This is also shown in the above in the definition of Industrial buildings, and also the definition of Commercial buildings.

If Mr Simon Cordell was to go out for a night, were music would be played as stated in the skeleton argument, that has been provided by the applicant on page number (5 module 20), Many young people do go out to listen to music when in private air and do not need Local Authority permission as stated by the applicant, in today’s modern society, as it is stated he would have to ask any owner to see there licensed to make sure when listen to music with less than 500 people, this should only be defined under section 63 of the crime and disorder Act, as in open Air or when Trespass has taken place.

Skeleton argument, that has been provided by the applicant on page number (5 module 18), I have never been spoken to by the police or anyone else about my behaviour, before this ASBO was served on me. I feel very upset by the words in this section as I feel that the police are trying to say they have spoken to me about problems they have included in this ASBO which is not the case.

Skeleton argument, that has been provided by the applicant on page number (5 module 19), I did not do the acts that the police have set out in this ASBO and I believe the police are well aware off this. We have said over and over in this case that the public order unit holds information to the real people who did what the police are saying I have done in this ASBO application.

No one wanted to define the conditions the applicant wanted to make this a life time ASBO and applied for the conditions on the day of trial but was denied by the Judge it was also said that after the 5 years, the applicant can apply to put a next 5 years in place because the judge would only allow the 5 years imposed and not the life time ASBO which covers the whole UK..

An Anti Social Behaviour Order should be given as the final resort, before an ASBO is considered to be put in placed on any person. Other methods should have been tried to as before the court proceedings in any ASBO

application to aid in bringing about a solution depending on the offence that has been committed; this is especially in cases of unlicensed activities. These solutions should have included the possibility of mediation, warning letters and Acceptable Behaviour Contracts (ABC). An ABC is classed as a written agreement between any Known persistent offenders, to which Simon Cordell has never been arrested to any think of similar nature in fact the last time Mr Cordell was arrested, was in 2009. He has also never been spoken to by anyone about any concerns they had.

If illegal raves have not been proven which it was not the Judge said no illegality needed to be proven, then why do my conditions for the ASBO still define illegal raves?

Please see article from The World Wide Web at:

<http://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN01889/SN01889.pdf>

What is stated in the PDF web linked above is typed below:

“Under the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994, the police have the power to stop raves. Until January 2004, these were defined as unlicensed open air gatherings of 100 or more people at which loud music is played during the night. New provisions introduced into the Anti-social Behaviour Act 2003, which came into effect in January 2004, reduced the number of people who constitute a rave from 100 to 20, and removed the requirement for the gathering to be in the open air. It also introduced an offence of attending another trespassory rave within 24 hours of a police direction, to stop people simply moving the rave to another place. There have been press reports of police in some areas holding back from using their powers for health and safety reasons, either because of the dangers of dispersing large crowds in the dark or because of other dangerous local conditions. However, there have also been reports of successful police action to control raves in particular areas. Gatherings for which an entertainment licence has been obtained are not counted as raves within the meaning of the legislation. However, there was some controversy about so-called licensed “raves” under provisions in the Licensing Act 2003 which came into force in November 2005. These allow people to get temporary event notices for gatherings of up to 499 people for events lasting up to four days. The licensed events could involve the sale of alcohol, and while the police have to review the application and object if they consider that crime and disorder would result, there is no mechanism for the general public to object. The Government is keeping this area of law under review. These provisions would not apply to the kind of illegal raves covered by the 1994 Act, which by definition are unlicensed.”

As far as I know all locations contained within this ASBO application were in a place of fixed residence and all occupiers / residents were living under section 144 Lasbo as stated governed under United Kingdom Law here:

LEGAL WARNING

TAKE NOTICE

THAT we live in this property, it is our home and we intend to stay here.

THAT at all times there is at least one person in this property.

THAT any entry or attempt to enter into these premises without our permission is therefore a criminal offence as any one of us who is in physical possession is opposed to such entry without our permission.

THAT if you attempt to enter by violence or by threatening violence we will prosecute you. You may receive a sentence of up to six months’ imprisonment and/or a fine of up to £5,000.

THAT if you want to get us out you will have to issue a claim for possession in the County Court or in the High Court.

The Occupiers

N.B. Signing this Legal Warning is optional. It is equally valid whether or not it is signed.

Part of the Barrister submissions that represented Simon Cordell, had been that the allegations were that he was involved in the organizing of illegal raves, but the applicant hadn’t adduced evidence, of trespass which is a requirement for proving, that an indoor rave was illegal. The Deputy District Judge ruled that the applicant did not need to prove illegality, - all the needed to proven was he had acted in an anti social manner. In the view of the barrister this was a very questionable decision: firstly, the applicant based their case on the illegality of the raves rather than the fact of the raves themselves and secondly, without proof of illegality the presumption of innocence leads to the conclusion that the raves were legal, and thus, Simon being prohibited from engaging in an ostensibly lawful activity requires more careful consideration on issues of proportionality.

It should be agreed with my barrister statement as when dealing with this case I was addressing the applicant case to prove that I had not been involved in organizing illegal raves, as this is what the application against him was.

The case was proven that Simon had acted in an in an anti social manner, **yet not one police officer who stood up to give evidence said Simon was rude to them or acted in an anti social manner to them, also all witness statements have not given an ID of any person on the dates that are within the ASBO application.** but if law states such facts how can this be correct. The case against Simon was that he had organized illegal raves and this should have not been proven as trespass is present and all location refer to in private air.

The word rave can not be used, unless tress pass or money laundering is present when on private land, governed within the constraints of the United Kingdom Laws.

An abatement Notice should have been severed as all dates contained within the ASBO application, are of a fixed private air of residence.

Under Section 80 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 The Local authority Council are able to serve an Abatement Notice. A noise abatement notice requires that the noise reduces or stops by prohibiting its occurrence or recurrence. It can also require a person to carry out works and/or take other steps to stop the noise nuisance, such as seizing the noise-making equipment. Breaches of the notice can incur a fine of up to £5,000. An abatement notice can not always be served following an initial visit by an officer. Depending on the type of noise nuisance it may take several weeks; any occupiers will be advised by the officer dealing with their case of expected timeframes to resolve the problem. Below is a copy of the form that should have been served on any premises.

Statements made by police officers are allegation made by police of criminal activities such as section 5, 4, 4a, drugs, robbery, to which Mr Cordell was never arrested on the date's within the ASBO application, nor has he been charged, neither has any member of the public put him or given an ID of Mr Cordell in a police witness statement or has any civil matters been brought before the courts, in regards to him self causing anti social behaviour,

Convection at trial in a court room that is citing in its civil manner, should not be able to deal with a case as if it were a criminal case such as reference to criminal proceedings, this is un-justified in 2016,

MR Simon Cordell feels as if he is now left with not understanding, with what has been proven against him and what he needs to prove for his appeal. As the conditions he is prohibited from doing is all for illegal raves and illegal raves were not proven.

It is unjustified also that MR Simon Cordell's name has been slandered in the metropolitan police website, stating that he was given an ASBO for organizing illegal raves, when the case for the ASBO was not proven for organizing illegal raves.

Mr Simon Cordell understands that it was proven, that he had acted in an Anti social manner, to which if justice profiles he intends to prove his innocence at his appeal on the 22th February 2016.

Mr Simon Cordell's address was put into the metropolitan police website stating that illegality had been proven in the case of illegal raves, which the prosecution rest there case upon. It has also been stated that Mr Cordell is well known for organizing illegal raves in Enfield and across London, to which he has never been arrested for any think of that nature or been found guilty off.

- <http://content.met.police.uk/News/Man-given-a-five-year-ASBO/1400033211719/1257246745756>

This has led him to having his life turned upside down. He has had his name put into all the local news papers, stating that he has been found guilty for illegal raves when the judge clearly stated that no illegality had been proved.

1. http://www.enfieldindependent.co.uk/news/13595919.Man_given_ASBO_for_organising_illegal_raves/
2. http://www.redhillandreigatelife.co.uk/news/13595919.Man_given_ASBO_for_organising_illegal_raves/
3. <http://www.parikiaki.com/2015/08/enfield-man-given-5yr-asbo/>

4. <http://www.enfield-today.co.uk/article.cfm?id=1653&headline=No%20more%20raving.....%20party%20organiser%20slapped%20with%20ASBO&searchyear=2015>
5. <http://www.northlondon-today.co.uk/article.cfm?id=1653&headline=No%20more%20raving.....%20party%20organiser%20slapped%20with%20ASBO&searchyear=2015>
6. <http://www.barnet-today.co.uk/article.cfm?id=1653&headline=No%20more%20raving.....%20party%20organiser%20slapped%20with%20ASBO&searchyear=2015>
7. <http://www.haringey-today.co.uk/article.cfm?id=1653&headline=No%20more%20raving.....%20party%20organiser%20slapped%20with%20ASBO&searchyear=2015>

This has led Mr Simon Cordell health, to being effected in a negative manner. He was already ill before this case started due to other allegations made by members of the police, and what the police have done over many years, not only to Mr Simon Cordell but his whole family, there has been many complaints put into the police, due to the way they treated and intimidate him and his family over many years, there is only so much a person can take and MR Simon Cordell has taken so much over the past 20 years from the police. He is not coping any longer and he thinks the police wanted this, they new he had hopes with what he wanted to do with his life and the way the police could hurt him was by taking his dreams away, of ever doing anything that I had dreamed of doing.

The police have known for years Mr Simon Cordell wanted to do work within his local community within the entertainment field and he started this some years back, He will state that he wanted to better himself and had spoke to the police many times about this as he is stopped by way of being pulled over by the police, so much and when they ask what he is doing which they always do, I tell them what I want to do and my plans for my company, but now I have no chance of getting work within my local community or making my company work due to what the police have done as my company is based on the entertainment business, and this ASBO is the only way the police knew they could stop me.

The respondent states they took significant effort that the conditions set out in this ASBO would not have an effect of any legitimate business activities that I wished to undertake and would in no way would be inhibited by this order. That I could apply for a licences if needed and this order would have no effect on any legitimate business activities I wished to undertake.

My mother has tested this by way of making calls to local authorities within the UK to ask if an ASBO under the conditions I am bound to would have an effect of a person applying to local authorities within the UK for a Alcohol and entertainment licences for an event and there reply to this was yes it would have effect on you obtaining any Alcohol and entertainment licences for any event due to the process that is taken when someone applies for any Alcohol and entertainment licences this would include applying for

- Personal licence.
- Premises licence.
- Club premises certificate.
- Temporary event notice.
- Minor variations.

So this order will have a large effect on the business I have been setting up for years which the police are fully aware off.

Also there was not any impact assessment done to how this would affect my normal every day life.

Simon will state that he was not in attendance to any organised illegal rave, on any of the said dates in question, that is of any incidents that are contained within the applicants Bundle, nor was he an organiser to any event on such dates; He will also induce his statement of facts, contained within this document that is in regards to the skeleton Argument for the respondent.

Mr Simon Cordell will state;

From since Simon Cordell was young he has worked hard to achieve in becoming an entrepreneur, who just wanted to better him self. He states it has taken him many years to save and buy what was needed so that he could start his company. He had to start with the help of his family and help of many others to. By 2010 he had started to put things in place to achieve his goals he felt that he needed to start the next steps to precede forward and started plans to build a website.

He ordered his domain name <http://toosmooth.co.uk> on the 22/07/2010. Him and his mother was going to try and build the website, but money was an issue, in building the type of website that was needed and wanted, as this would have cost around £40,000 and funds were low, the website took much longer than anticipated when planning to build, part of the reasons was due to Mr Simon Cordell's mothers health and also partly because of the coding be hind the website, as it was inclusive of 4 databases that was needed for the operations of the companies objectives. By 2012 the website was coming along and two other domains was purchased; <http://toosmoothentertainment.co.uk> and <http://toosmoothentertainment.com> 22/05/2012, Too Smooth had started looking ahead to the summer of 2013 to start bridging out with contacts and doing some unpaid work for the local community, to get the company name known as a company to be trusted in the working publics domain, in turn help my local community and achieving some of the goals set. It was planned to order the company name just before the work started in 2013.

But this could not happened as the police arrested me on another accusation I was charge and this case lasted over a year, before Mr Simon Cordell was found not guilty by a judge, this was before the trial representing the ASBO application had started. One of the main problems was and still is, that had to be addressed was due to errors on Simon's PNC record. He was remanded to prison for 2 days, until an application was put in for bail. His bail conditions for this case were.

The prosecution's reason for opposing bail was:

Was the possibility of Commit further offences whilst on bail conditions, due to Failure to surrender being present on Simon Cordell's criminal recorded and on the police national computer (pnc)

Judge's decision

BAIL GRANTED WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS;

Surety £1000 from Ms Lorraine Cordell (To be surrendered to the nearest Police Station) – prior to release from Custody.

Residence @ 109 Burncroft Road, Enfield, EN3 7JQ

Not to enter the London Borough of Southwark

Surrender Passport to nearest Police Station

Report daily to Edmonton Police between 1400 - 1600

Curfew 8pm - 6am (doorstep condition – the Defendant should show himself to any officer upon

Due to the accused charge errors were noticed, the errors noticed are contained within Simon Cordell's Criminal recorded, this information is held on the police national computer, such as the case of Failure to surrender, which was held at City and London Court on the 03/03/2008, this was also meant to have been taken off all records, inclusive of the pnc, many years before this case in question had started, as it was noted to be in error in 2009, requests with proof that this needed to be removed was handed to the relevant departments and it was agreed that it would be removed as it was there in error. Mr Simon Cordell has never failed to surrender, so him self and his mother, contacted the court and asked for them to send the memorandum of conviction from the court, which Miss Cordell Simon's Mother paid the fee of £5.00 to the court and they sent her it via email. Please see memorandum of conviction, as this was dismissed by the court. Yet on his PNC record, it has been marked that Simon Cordell has put a plea of guilty in on the 25/01/2008, this is in error and is not true as this case was dismissed by the court, "how can mistakes, be made like this and then not corrected when attention is made to it."

Simon Cordell and his mother also noticed other errors that did not seem to be right with Enfield Magistrate's Court Cases, so again they contacted the court via email; they had to contact Highbury Corner Magistrate's Court, as now this is the main court within the area that they live in and asked for the records to be checked.

Included were all of the Enfield Magistrate's Court cases contained within the pnc to be checked, which did take some time for the people at the court to overview the records. The records at this time was still held at Enfield Magistrate's Court but have since been moved now to Highbury Corner Magistrate's Court, once they were ready the court was going to send them by method of post but my Simon Cordell and his mother asked if they could go and pick them up which they was told yes that it would be fine to do so.

Mr Simon Cordell's mother attended alone to Enfield Magistrate's Court she spoke to a lady and the lady was very confused as there were a list of records that were not in the registry, The lady even showed Simon's Mother miss Cordell one of the books that records are kept in. Miss Cordell asked could they have been lost by the court or removed in such terms audited, the lady was sure they could not have been lost or removed or audited, as the books are bound and you would see if pages had been taken out or edited.

The lady gave Mr Simon Cordell's mother a copy of the records which had been checked, which 5 had a star before them, list here is the ones that were not in the courts registry and the words not in registry.

Simon and his mother have tried to get these corrected and removed from his PNC record but is still having a great deal of trouble in doing so.

Miss Cordell asked for a printed headed letter from Magistrate's Court, by way of asking my acting solicitors to write the correspondence, showing that they had checked Simon's records in turn showing evidence that there was some that was on the PNC in error that was not listed in the registry. This was asked because the print out provided by the courts was not accepted by the DJ to be good enough to prove validation of the article of facts to be a true statement, but the printout clearly shows it comes from a court email address. Mr Simon Cordell and his mother has sent many emails, made many phone calls and also had been down to Highbury Corner Magistrate's Court, trying to get a headed letter to confirm that his records were checked and proven to be incorrect, as within the ASBO application they are using his PNC record.

Miss Cordell has been dealing with a lady called Flo, who said she will contact Enfield Magistrate's Court, to see about getting the letter written, as they were the ones that checked the records. This has gone on for some time now, without any letter being written, in the end Miss Cordell Simon's mother went back down to Enfield Magistrate's Court and spoke to Benedicta Objidja, who dealt with the records being checked, she could not understand why Miss Cordell was being told that the letter had to be done by Enfield Magistrate's Court. as they no longer do this sort of work, it is all done at Highbury Corner Magistrate's Court, so after leaving she went back down to Highbury Corner Magistrate's Court, where she spoke to John Forster, she explained what was going on and this now has been going on for way over a year, she was trying to get the records her sons PNC corrected, with a great deal of issues. What was needed and said after she just come from Enfield Magistrate's Court from speaking to Benedicta Objidja inclusive of what she had just been explained, was that any letter would need to be done by this court, which he agreed, he took some details and checked there emails and said they had Mr Simon Cordell's & miss Cordell's Simon's mother emails on there system. He then took a copy of the paper work she had and said he would talk to Benedicta Objidja, but was also confused at how many records was in error, he said to her that if they are not in the court records then the cases was never in court and asked who she had spoke to, who was working in the police station. He could not understand why this had not been corrected; Errors like this should never happen on my sons PNC record. He also stated he would put his notes into a legal advisor to get a letter written.

- **In Reference to Pages (2 / 3) of the Applicants Bundle**

12.01.13 = Mr Simon Cordell did not attended any premises on this date to rave, neither was he involved in the organization of a rave, nor did he supply any equipment for any rave at Canary Wharf.

12/01/2013 = this case was only added as a reference as to the limitation Act 1980. Which states a case must be applied 6 months prior from the date of the incident in question, to which it was not. Please read Mr Simon Cordell's last statement dated the 24/02.2015. He was in fact taken to The Royal London Hospital, after being attacked on this day. (EXHIBIT)

No members of the public mention Mr Simon Cordell as a person acting in an anti social manner on this date, as well as police officer statement's inclusive within the Applicants bundle.

Due to Mr Simon Cordell establishing his company he states he was meeting a lot of people in times of need, a lot of the people he was meeting are and was homeless, as he was looking at avenues to be able to help people.

There are no CAD's otherwise known as incident numbers in regards to this date contained within the applicants ASBO application.

- **In Reference to Pages 2 / 3 contained within the applicants bundle.**

07/04/2013 = In Steve Elsmore Statement dated 11/08/2014

07/04/2013 = Please read Mr Simon Cordell's last statement dated the 24/02.2015. He States He did not attended any premises on this date to rave, neither was he involved in the organization of any illegal rave, nor did he supplied equipment on said date.

Mr Simon Cordell will State that he was not rude to police, but he did feel like he could not even go out for the day with some of his friends, without getting stopped and searched by members of the police.

It is also noted that the caller was very clear that they saw a flat screen TV being put into Mr Cordell's van, which is confusing to why when the police searched the van they found no TV, but did in fact find two of his off road motor bikes, which is not included in Steve Elsmore statement. The police did checks on Mr Simon Cordell's Off Road Motor Bikes but this is also not stated, but should show up on the seizer notice, as Mr Simon Cordell did asked the police office to take careful note of the two of road motor bikes, as due to the high value of them.

Mr Cordell Will state that he did get a bit upset when the police said they were going to seize his van, as he did have insurance in place to be able to dirve the van in question, but there was an error on the MID database. Miss Cordell had been trying to help her son resolve the issue concerning his insurance policy not showing on the mid data base along side with members of their local police force and his insurance company KGM too, together they had tried to work out why Mr Simon Cordell was showing as uninsured. There was information noted as intelligence on the police National Computer stating this I had asked the police to check on there systems due to this, but they would not they just wanted to seize Mr Simon Cordell's van without checking, so he new he was being wrongfully accused at this point, as he had done nothing wrong and he did have insurance to be driving and had paid a lot of money for his insurance. He states he did not get upset in the manner that the police have said he did and that he does not mean to come across as rude to police. In this case he was just trying to explain the error on the system.

In addition, the prosecution offered no evidence in respect of the charges that were brought even though they were reliant on police witnesses. Mr Simon Cordell had been wrongfully arrested for not having insurance when he was insured to drive. He also did not cause any Anti Social Behaviour on this date in question.

There are no CAD's for this date, but yet they was meant to be, a CAD referring to the pacific details that should be relating to a person stating, that they believed a burglary was in progress and of the 999 caller stating that they had seen a person who was putting a flat screen TV into Mr Simon Cordell's van.

The error on the MID database would also cause Mr Simon Cordell a great deal of problems over the years to come. Within 11 months he had his vehicles seized 9 times, this was always when his insurance company was closed, that being on a day such as Sunday when the insurance companies are closed, he would also be pulled over when it was opened, in one case a police officer lied to his insurance company causing much problems, this has now been proven to be true that a police officer did in fact lie under oath to a Judge at the magistrates court.

Mr Simon Cordell will state he has always been pulled over by police while driving any vehicle and will (supply exhibit from 2004 of letter to the police) of him stating that he is in fear of the police, for continues police harassment, especially a great deal within the past few years, He will also state that some times the police would check his insurance documents, as he always carried them with him due to the errors, so that he could explain to the police the error on the MID and asked them to look at the police system to help aid in times when he was being pulled over by members of the police, So that he would always have information available for police about this issue, A fair percentage of the police that did in fact pull Mr Simon Cordell over at road side, did check this information and let him go without a problem. But some police just did not care and seized his vehicles, which I then had to pay the costs to get them out of the police compound each time. Chariton and Perivale knows of Mr Simon Cordell by the end of that year and each time he states that they would say not again. He states that he had tried everything to get this error corrected and had called everyone about this issue and the insurance company, he had tried to work out what was wrong, no one seemed to be able to work it out, including the police. Mr Simon Cordell states in Nov 2013. He was once again paying to take his vehicle out of the vehicle compound when one of the compound staff said this is just not correct, that you have to keep paying to take your vehicles out of the compound and that this was not right, when a person has that of a valid insurance policy in place, The gentleman working for the compound started to look at Mr Simon Cordell's documents and the database printout Mr Simon Cordell had from his insurance company, all of a sudden the gentlemen noticed something strange, he asked Mr Simon Cordell to take a look at this, he pointed at the paperwork in front of them both and said I wonder if this is what is causing the problem, there was a space within Mr Simon Cordell's vehicle registry number, so it was printed as CX52 JRZ and not CX52JRZ as soon as Mr Simon Cordell got back home from the compound, he called his insurance broker and explained to them what had just happened at the car compound and asked them to check the point of issue, to see if this is what was causing the error. It took them some time but it seems it was due to my insurance being trade and the MID allowing the space to be put in and it showed a correct upload to the MID database that caused this problem.

But Mr Simon Cordell's problems just did not stop there. He did not get summons from the court in respect of the ongoing court proceedings and was found guilty, in his absence, for no insurance. This was due to not knowing he had a court date, this became another problem and he got a ban due to points this was inclusive a fine, email upon email was being sent to the courts but case Simon and his mother was have problems getting the issues of cause rectified and felt that as if of they were not getting dealt with correctly, nearly all of Mr Simon Cordell's insurance that was paid for during the period of 2014 to 2015, he could not drive due to the errors.

In reference to the case were the police officer had lied to Mr Simon Cordell's insurance company, he had been trying to get a copy of the tape(s), of when the police officer had been speaking to KGM my insurance company at the time of Mr Simon Cordell, being pulled over at road side, from the police officer(s) in charge of the case, themselves with subject access requests, to which they were not dealing with, so the case was called to court for trial and the police officer had lied to the judge, Mr Simon Cordell was again found guilty, and banned from driving and fined, he submitted an appeal and the judge accepted it so now the ban was not in force until the appeal date. The judge also helped by explaining that if Mr Simon Cordell's insurance company did not hand over the data that he had been seeking to try to get hold of by the date and time of the appeal, that he could apply to the crown court to summons the insurance company KGM to court. Mr Simon Cordell and his mother in fact did get the information before the appeal date and the recording of what the police officer said to my insurance at road side. Mr Simon Cordell also had to get a barrister for the appeal date. Again the police officer lied in court, my barrister let him, then my barrister played a little from the recording and stopped it and asked the police officer is that you. Which he replied yes, the recording was restarted which showed the

police officer had lied, I won my appeal, there is a complaint that has been put in which is still being dealt with about that case. But it took Mr Simon Cordell and his mother until 2015 to clear his name for the reasons of no insurance, so to be able to clear all the bans and points of his driving license, after himself and his mother sending hundreds of emails,

- **In Reference to Pages 2 / 3 contained within the applicant Bundle.**

24.05.13 = Mr Simon Cordell was looking for venues to set up an illegal rave

24.05.13 = Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not attend any premises on this date to rave neither was he involved in the organization of any illegal raves, nor did he supply equipment. This case was only added as a reference as the Limitation Act 1980 which states, that a case must be applied 6 months from the date of the incident, to which it was not. Please read my last statement dated the 24/02.2015.

It is alleged that Mr Simon Cordell was looking for venues in which illegal raves could be held, on 24th May 2013. Mr Simon Cordell will dispute this. He will state that he had been contacted by a friend called Joshua, who was living at 204 High Street Ponders End EN3 4EZ, also known as the Old Police Station at Ponders End, as he and some others were homeless, unless this was possible.

As Mr Simon Cordell was driving towards 204 High Street, he drove his car down the alleyway so that he could park the vehicle he was in, He parked between two well known landmarks, which is where many people who do live in an around the surrounding areas, would be able to remember as the old Ponders End police station and Kinder Garden Centre. He states he knows the area very well as this is where he has lived all of his life, so he knew about the car park at the back of the two well known landmarks, as he states you can not park on the highroad, because of the double yellow lines or other restrictions. He had parked there before, He states he believes and knows that the police saw his car as he began to take a right turn to be able to drive down to where he intended to stop. He knew the police had followed him, as he had seen them pay attention to himself as he had driven past. He does remember clearly that of himself lock his vehicle as the police approached him and now was standing by his side. He states that this is normal for him and over the years of his life he has become used to the police approaching him for numerous accusations, so that has also made him used to their presents, Mr Simon Cordell states that that this is so normal for him, so he got ready for the police procedures, as they said they wanted to search him and his car because the police believed that the car he was driving smelt strongly of cannabis, Mr Simon Cordell states that he would always consent to this. He is sure of his statements of facts and that the police can not dispute this, that of the police officers that had approached him and who had stopped him as he had just got out of my car, or how would they have said his car smelt strongly of cannabis, which is the reason that the police officers gave him the conditions of search and their consent form due to a search of himself and that of his vehicle that he was driving.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had not done anything wrong and nothing was found on his person or in his car.

Mr Simon Cordell will dispute making any comments about being able to attract people to illegal raves and illegal 3 day events, what reason would he have had to say this.

Mr Simon Cordell will state to the applicant that he was a visitor to the location of interest, due to a call from a friend who asked if Mr Simon Cordell could loan him some money for food. He will also include that he did not cause any Anti Social Behaviour on the 24th May 2013.

Mr Simon Cordell does not know what Joshua said to the police, as he was never with Joshua. Mr Simon Cordell does not know why Joshua would have said to the police that he was his lawyer, or if Joshua said this at all to police. Mr Simon Cordell has tried to get hold of Joshua to make a statement for this case, but due to him being homeless, it has been very hard. As far as he is aware the building was being occupied by people to live in, he states he does not know anything Joshua said to police about know anything about a rave. Mr Simon Cordell did not manage to visit him on this day.

At no point is Mr Simon Cordell being accused of acting in an anti social manner on this date, or by any members of the public inclusive of members of the police, neither was he arrested.

There are no cads for this date.

- **In Reference to Pages 2 / 3 - pages 98 to 100 created by Steve Hoodless yr contained within the applicants application bundle.**

25.05.14 = was involved in the organization of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at unit 5, St George's Industrial Estate, Whit Heart Lane, N17.

25/05/2014 = Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not attended any premises on this date to rave neither was I involved in the organization of any raves, nor did he supply equipment for an illegal rave at unit 5, St George's Industrial Estate, Whit Heart Lane, N17.

In respect of Mr Simon Cordell presence at Unit 5 St George's Industrial Estate, White Hart Lane on 25th May 2014. He attended a commercial building that the occupiers were residing in, having displayed s144 LASPO notices and in turn treating the premises as their home. Nothing was said to Mr Simon Cordell about a rave by the occupiers. He will state that he was visiting friends and they were just sitting and chatting while having a laugh. He remembers taking about ways to better life for him and his friends as well as others.

There was no music being played or about to be set up. He was not involved in the organization of an illegal rave of any sort. That he did drive there in his van VRM CX52JRZ, and he does accept that he had 2 speaker boxes in the van; however, he did not have a full sound system and the speakers did not have any drivers in them. So he and others could not have used the speaker box's to play sound, he did ask the police to note this down, and that he was only using the van as storage, this is why the police who were in attendance allowed him to leave, while talking to the current occupiers of the premises.

I did not on the date in question have what would constitute as a full sound system like what is now being pursued by the applicant as I know that it would have been seized by the police, I was not rude to the police, I allowed my van to be searched by members of the police and nothing was seized, and I went home I did not cause any anti social behaviour on the 25th May 2014.

Since this ASBO application was served on Mr Simon Cordell, he has moved the speakers out of his van and they are still in the (open air), at his mothers address and are in the back garden still to date, as if in the first day that of when he had taken them out of his van, with no drivers in them. Mr Simon Cordell states yes at the time it would have been better to keep them in his van due to the weather, but when he does intend to go for a drive that he does not feel safe any longer travelling with any sound equipment due to the ongoing ASBO application.

It is also noted that on page (98 of the main applicants bundle) that the report was created on the 26/05/2014 for criminal damage, the event date, is noted at: 25/05/2014, but was last updated on the 19/06/2014 why would there be a need to update this report, it was proven I did nothing on the CCTV.

Since this ASBO was served a lot of research has been done in regards to the allegations contained within the applicant's case and it seems it is a well known fact by police that the accused illegal raves in question are known to be setup on social media. And when doing a simple search at company house a director's name other than the name Simon Cordell is present for the company name in question and no contact seems to have been made by police or local authority in regards to this issue even low a letter has been provided to the applicant by the true director of Every Decibel Matters, this letter is contained within the applicants bundle. Also the fact that no noise abasion order severed by any local governing authority has been shown as well as proof of trespass to be able to class the dates contained within the ASBO application as such of a name as an illegal rave. Also it seems there was an event called

Chaotic Waves Gully posted in >>2100+ ATTENDING<< TONIGHT!!!☆☆CHAOTIC WAVES//RIGHT WRONGUNS//BASSFACE SOUNDS//HOUSE OF HAVIK PRESENTS THE 1ST YEAR ANNIVERSARY OF CHAOTIC WAVES☆☆FT SKUNK-WORKS ARENA. This event was on social media. It seems this even got cancelled for what reason we have not been able to find out as the even page has been deleted.

It seems at the last min this event was changed to:

(Event page Chaotic Waves posted) A VERY CHAOTIC POPUP!

Also this page has been deleted.

After the ASBO application and personal investigations in to what Mr Simon Cordell am being accused of, on the date in question, a fake profile account was created and has been given access to emails which does show a lot of what was said on the event page and where the location that was once put up for friends only in regards to Chaotic Waves Private party. I would like to again state I have nothing to do with this and I am sure the police was or should already be well aware of this as it was on a private Friends profile on social media, as it is well stated in the news the police are aware of any events r private parties that are being setup on social media. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has no affiliation to illegal raves on the dates in question or otherwise.

There are no cads for this date.

At no point of time did Mr Simon Cordell do what he has been accused of which is of acting in an anti social manner on this date by any members of the public or of any member of the police on the 25/05/2014

- **In Reference to Pages 2 / 3: AND ALL CADS RELATING TO THE 6TH 7TH 8TH JUNE 2014: HIPPEY FEST PROGRESS WAY WITH ALL CAD RELATING TO THE 6th 07TH 8th JUNE 2014 in relation to the applicants bundle.**

07/06/8th June 2014 = Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not involved in the organization of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty ware house on Progress way, Enfield.

- Mr Simon Cordell will supply Supported Evidence from face book showing that he was not the organizer to any event on the 06/06/2014 07/06/2014 and the 08/06/2014. **(Exhibit)**
- Mr Simon Cordell will supply Supported Evidence from YouTube showing that he was not the organizer. **(Exhibit)**
- Witness statement Josie needs to email the gentlemen my mother has already spoken to him and his corresponding emails were sent to Josie email: The name of the gentlemen is; Adrian Coombs Specialist Operations Superintendent Essex Police. **(Exhibit)**
- Mr Simon Cordell will supply Supported Evidence, from face book showing hippy fest profile pages, in turn proving that hippy fest started on the 6th and the 7th June 2014 when he was not present. There is also no CAD present for the 8th June 2014, which Mr Simon Cordell does **(Request along side with all other missing incident information relating to the 6th 7th 8th June 2014)** Mr Simon Cordell believes that this information, does also contain other relevant intelligence that proves that Mr Simon Cordell, was not in fact involved in a rave in the occupied premises on the dates in question. **(Exhibit)**

- Neither did the police see Mr Simon Cordell At approximately at 02:03 hrs on Saturday the 7th June 2014 as A/PS Charles Miles 724ye page 32 states, but as a matter of fact did do so on the 8th June 2014 as A/ Insp Hamill 201566 states on page 32.
- Supported Evidence of proof that the police did not in fact see Simon Cordell and his brother Tyrone Benjamin together, as police statements say (**Exhibit**) Off, Supporting Medical Evidence of proof that my brother could not have attended on the 7th or 8th of June 2014 as stated by office PC239YE in;
- CAD number 1047 7th June 2014 (page 175 under reason) which is linked to CAD numbers;
- CAD 1323 7th Jun 14; page 147 to 152
- CAD 1722 7th June14; page 152 to 154
- CAD 1816 7th June 14; pages 155 to 159
- CAD 2141 7th June 14; pages 160 to164
- CAD 2255 7th June 14; pages 165 to 169
- CAD 2271 7th June 14; pages 170 to 173
- CAD 1608 7th June 14; pages 184 to 186

Supported Evidence, supporting the fact that the CAD's supporting the applicant ASBO case in relation to progress way and other dates in question are time stamped wrong, this evidence does include;

- Standard Operational Guidelines - East of England.
<http://www.eastamb.nhs.uk/FOI%20Docs/Disclosure%20Log/Emergency%20Ops/July%202013/F15152h%20-%20attachment.pdf>
- National Standards for Incident Recording (NSIR) Collection and recording of police;
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/116658/count-nsir11.pdf
- Understanding Control Command; http://www.dodccrp.org/files/Alberts_UC2.pdf
- police Central Communications Command incident procedure;
https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=lkd4sarsfdMC&pg=PA28&lpg=PA28&dq=police+Central+Communications+Command+incident+procedure&source=bl&ots=663ZhaKX9_&sig=Z7DgHlgJncwLNUam0g8EBcCja-8&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwif39iYsMbKAhWI8A4KHdnMAoQQ6AEIMzAE#v=onepage&q=police%20Central%20Communications%20Command%20incident%20procedure&f=false

Showing evidence that is in support of the truth, relating to that of incident numbers contained within the applicants bundle off facts, proving that them incident numbers are in error, with reference to earlier times than the previous time stamps on the previous incident number / CAD numbers, as listed below;

- CAD's (2637 pages 191 to 195) to (2672 pages 196 to 198) on the 7th June 14; pages
- CAD's (3005 pages 203 to 205) to (3037 pages 179 to 183) on the 7th June 14;
- CAD (10481 pages 233 to 237) to (10506 pages 238 to 241) on the 7TH June 14;

Proving a high chance of the evidence being that of a manufactured and engineered or such marital to be fabricated and not true to there facts.

- Perverting the Course of Justice; <http://www.inbrief.co.uk/offences/perverting-the-course-of-justice.htm>

Supported Evidence showing the fact that incident numbers / cad numbers are stamped with wrong locations and if it was not for simple mistakes of certain members working on the applicant's behalf, not concealing pacific(s) information such as listed below. Mr Simon Cordell might not have been able to prove the truth to aid in his innocents in regards to the ongoing court proceedings;

- On the majority of cads / incident numbers, including (cad 2410 8th June 2014 Page number 273) the;

Call Tel, Call Name, Att Location, Map, Inc Locn, Call Location are Blocked out. Preventing Mr Simon Cordell from being able to prove, that of the nature of the members of police, who are involved within the development of the ASBO application, too be lying about the true facts of the locations, stating that police was sure that all location blocked out were in relation to progress way. Please take note to a snip lit, of the court transcripts, in respect, of the ongoing ASBO proceedings at the magistrate's court.

Statements of officers, who also admitted that the intelligence contained within the bundle has been copied from the pnc also that of the officers who reported the intelligence not being present at court.
 SNIPPLIT DATED 00/00/2015
 (Exhibit of SNIPLIT relating to transcripts)

**Supporting evidence of Police questioned under oath in relation to applicants ASBO on going application;
 R v Cordell**

Def

Mother of D in court + potentially giving evidence.

Met

Police – No objections.

Probably the case will go over till tomorrow.

6 Witness of facts police.

1 Officer in case.

To be 6 – 22; Case statements.

Def

Just gave info, possession of new info on face book, not in bundles before court, but should be. Shows info suggesting never organised but other people did, nothing to do with w/d.

DJ

Interim ASBO made case by been well (unreadable text)

DEF

This evidence shows that Rave on 6/6/? Was nothing to do with w/d.

Miss Cordell mother has carried out her own investigations as she was not happy with results of investigating officer / so/s.

It is a large bundle to get through this late.

If material can be viewed by DJ

(Possible metered.) Then DJ can decide on admissibility of the evidence.

DJ

Producing material, however relevant, 10 minutes before a trial is not acceptable.

Met Police 1st State

DJ

Has made application for ASBO ORDER.

Inspector Hamill is to lead.

Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill – R.O – 11.15am

Statement contained in tab 9-lead

DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personally spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday.

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D'S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendant's van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day. **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. **(Progress Way)**

Met Police RE-XE

My understanding is the door staff @ gate presented D as the event organiser.

Referring to page number 184 Info re: caller reporting incident. **(Please take Note here in regards to the applicant's Skeleton bundle.)**

DJ

Was **(unreadable text)** opp raised previously?

DEF

No

Witness 2 Pc Miles – RO – 11:45 AM EIC

Attended venue on the 7th alone – did look @ Intel before attending.

Did not speak to owners

Did not know D was with Tyrone Benjamin **(Please Take note here.)**

WITNESS 3 – PC Skinner – Bundle Tabs 12 of 13 Lead

Statement 1 Tab 13

On the 7th Duty officer (+) walked in to Estate and saw a van but did not recognise van.

He saw D however who admitted he was the organiser of the rave

(Statement 2 Tab 12)

Youths were committing shop lifting out of the petrol station

I had to call for reserve intervention.

I arrested D and people dispersed and D was realised.

Rave did not take place.

No doubt rave would have continued had he not arrested D.

DEF XEX

19TH July event @ Carpet right company building was occupied.

Saw speakers – Intel were loading equipment indoors.

Details of van taken but was not D.
 Carpet right had a pad lock round metal barrier.
 Other car park had a front entrance.
 I was senior officer attending the venue.
 Latter on I instructed I sergeant to contact the owners.
 I latter see the defendant getting out the van
 I can't remember that, I may have updated others in relation to D getting out of van. But I may or may not have updated the system.
 On the 7th June D made admissions to me not aware of squatters (of the adjust Estate.)

Met XEX

(Reefer's to a statement that is on page 76.)

Witness Pc Edgose – R.O 12:14pm EIC Read

Statement 21

Incident of 24th July:

I was in a vehicle that stopped D's Vehicle.

No threat to break defendant's window (ok)

It was all about drug issues.

R V CORDELL

3

Witness VI – Pc King 12:28pm EIC

Tab 15/16

Statement Page 41

Officer has only met D once before.

D has all ways been polite.

Has never had any problem's with the defendant.

D was really eloquent of clearly knowing the how.

Witness Pc Ames – Acting sergeant – R.O -12:46 Pm EIC

DEF XEX

Event was out doors.

Saw sound equipment substance speakers poss.

Approximately the size of witness box, but could not remember really as he was distracted by people.

No further questions.

Witness – Pc Elsmore – R.O – 14:10 EIC

Tab 6 – pg ?14?

DEF XEX

Council (**unreadable text**) curfews (**unreadable text**) that PNC info on statement adds no (**unreadable text**) plobatory (**unreadable text**) value of info re: Witness being "afraid of D" What he puts down to the way he worded, but he meant that people actually are afraid of possibly giving evidence in court.

R V CORDELL

4

DEF

Counsel argues that officers statement is designed to cause on evidence reaction of this of no value and speculative in nature.

DJ

How many calls from public did police receive?

Witness

In excess of 15 calls – how many to the same venue and not other address.

Doe's not know the number of callers that are in relation to each of these occasions.

On page 15 – Allegations re: Millmarsh Lane, evidence from officer not first hand – relied on cads and other Intel.

Query Re: "3 massive nitrous tanks"

DJ

Were did you get such info officer.

Witness

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimits Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

COUNSEL

Officer you signed a statement of truth (unreadable text) to other witness statements.

DJ

We all know that on ASBO apps hearsay is allowed.

R V CORDELL

5

Counsel

Why did officer no and rely on Pc Kings Statements later than on the Crimits reported.

Officer no and involved in taking info from Pc King.

(Confesses he did it.)

He did not notice the discrepancy regarding official statements.

Have heard of Every Decibel Matters – They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.

No evidence D is involved in running there operations.

No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.

No reason to why you didn't /contact the company.

I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.

(At Page 16 1st paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014)

All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officers own words – same applies from Cads that had no input.

Has not made attempts too contact owners of premises.

Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.

Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell's evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what's written in

Statements – another example of untrue cut and past.

DJ

Ill ignore because no convictions of class A drugs or supplying is present on the criminal record.

Counsel

You can not assist with witness reliability of info contained, can you?

Can Intel be wrongfully inaccurate? No

Officer

On that particular re post, it appears to be right.

I did not speak to Parcell he is force @ seven boroughs.

I believe he was not included in the email, because Intel (**unreadable text**) Email sent to LDE only.

Searched (**unreadable text**) for info on Cordell's convictions.

Moving on to statement on Page 30

Does PO investigating unit have more info than it is letting on?

Officer

No

Are you aware that Miss Cordell has spoken to other officers Re: Rave?

This suggests that you do not want DS Tanner to be examined on these proceedings because she has information Re knowledge of raves and them not being connected to W/D.

Spoke to Pc Tanner but not written what – spoke to (**unreadable text**) this year

You have no recorded that you emailed her but then spoken to her.

Emails have been deleted and no copies keep on record.

Met police

XEX OF Witness vii

Done oath seductions:

Nothing in the contents of this report is inaccurate to my knowledge.

DEF

Hopefully the 2 witnesses on behalf of D should be able to give evidence tomorrow.

Witness viii

Miss Cordell ATT – 16:05 – EIC

D (her son) lives separately from me but I have been trying to help him sort out inaccuracies with both his PNC and other police matters.

Police is still popping around to his house - Simon tells me and also I physically get to his flat before police have left.

He is being harassed by police.

DJ

Are 6 officers not reliant – on witness statement - there for putting a line though RD?

DEF

Material deters with PNC that was included by Met – There fore right to challenge. Plus PNC in evidence not correct.

DJ

Very little weight will be given to PNC.

DJ

Miss Cordell

Met XEX

(Bottom of Page 8) the leaving party for Dwayne Edwards.

I got there at 7:30PM and left about 9:30pm 6th – 8th June – D was also with Dwayne the days of Saturday and Sunday as well.

He was at my house for a 1 hour and half on Saturday and 1 hour on Sunday during the day. I agree I did not include it in my statement.

On Sunday it was around midday.

I was not with D from about 2AM on Sunday, no I was not.

Nor at 2AM on Saturday either.

On the 7th June I did see my son and so did all my family members that were at the party.

(At Page 14)

“Police did not have 101 books”

(2 and 3 paragraphs)

Accepts that was told to me by DS Chapman.

DS Tanner called me on 11th or 12th. I believe they have a lot more information.

I am aware of full (**unreadable text**) alleged involvement but not raves.

I do believe that met have a vendetta against my whole family including Tyrone – Harassment: pull them out for no reason, I would not say from every officer.

R V CORDELL

7

Miss Cordell continues

I am saying that there may be some truth but allegations of my son organising raves is horrendous.

(Been scribbled out?)

About medical statements of info has not been contained re question: D had been stabbed and was in hospital

(Been scribbled out?)

20th June couldn't give evidence as to D were about but believe he had been arrested on the 19th 20th July not witness him – did not give detailed route in statement because did not think it was relevant.

Problems with service of docs with police and would not take bundle because?? ?? With police, He panics and rings me every time he is stopped.

I have so (**unreadable text**) and right down all encounters with police all low not in the bundle.

DEF XEX

I accept involvement of police – they interact with her son and family.

You said Met police have a lot of info of you said “accepted involvement but not raves “

I have involvement with police of lots of data practically with Simon, but not in regards to raves, Issues other than the raves.

I don't accept he is involved in organisation of raves.

Continues Tomorrow.

R V CORDELL

2/2 DAYS

-1-

Witness XEX

So you are not yet Charity registered "Too Smooth"

Company were young entrepreneurs can advertise there Business.

Page 77

Retail brunches relating to music such as sound equipment and co involved in provision of sound equipment hire.

Never took profit money from company.

(Page 87)

Deposit of £700-.00 daily rate is £100.

It is my signature at the end of this **(unreadable text)** the figures have not been edited **(Page 88)**

All deposits are non returnable under any circumstances on this mandatory if the equipment got confiscated, I did not make any profit, and

I just did it to get to no people.

Non profit – just a hobby

STATEMENT PAGE 2 – BOTTOM PAGE:

You state that I accept and aim was to rent equipment.

Its being suggested to you that the business you was designed was to make a profit.

DJ

As you own entertainment equipment – Yes –

I was not renting out equipment – being it a lot suggested that primary aim was to make a profit.

Renting him out sound equipment. **(No not at all.)**

Are you aware that music is a licensed activity and beliefs need a licence to play music?

I need a licence for both premises Yes.

I would not check if lending equipment to a private party.

Too Smooth Is registered but not trading because of the ASBO including Interim Order, my reputation has been ruined.

Interim App on 18th 2014 so before then June 2014 **(unreadable text)** 4th September

Were any business transaction conducted during them periods.

I sold Business transactions.

I have lent to councils but not for business transactions, as a friend only.

It's incorrect that I was setting up raves.

Page 50 – bundle tab 9 – Inspector Hamill

I walked from Great Cambridge Rd towards them

Impossible for door staff to get me as, I was on the other side of the Road.

I was never on the premises.

Yes it is incorrect

Yes POs mistaken.

Page 38 – Tab 13 – Detective Skinner 2 events

Page 75 – Tab 24

D denies knowing people alleged to have worked for him on the night – either Pc or person mentioned in statement is wrong.

Reason why you're found in these raves is because you help organise them.

(Page 141)

Vehicle was owned by me but was sold and now brought back

Statement **(Page 3)**

(Page 104)

I was not with Holly Field on that day.

(Page 99)

Accept I was there in the van inside the unit.

The report is wrong; I had 2 boxes in the van – No speakers – I was not in the premises.

Did not help organise Rave and sound equipment was not mine.

I have tried to hire equipment but organisation of event – Birthday party nothing to do with me.

Is Pc Chandlers report wrong as well? Yes

9 / 10 – August 2014

Bottom Page 7 (Statement)

Accept I attended venue – for Birthday dinner – I was invited

200 People turning up had nothing to do with me.

With social networking it is easy for some one to have 200 friends.

I had cylinders in my vehicle, requires legal authorisations, I have them on my car, for welding - I do welding continuously. I do it as a hobby.

I was not at the location for a large rave.

I do remember many people turning up.

I remember police being in attendance.

I would never shout @ crowd – to busy talking to the police.

Pc statements are wrong.

There's a possibility that I did say to police that it was a private conference.

DJ

Do you no that 20 people is the maximum – Yes

Def xxEX

Was Pc Edgoose out of car? – I know two of them come out of car and approached me.

24th May Incident - Do you remember speaking with Pc Jackson? Do not remember names.

Paragraph of T and C'S Re Falcon Park (Statement)

Deposit does go back unless damage or loss stopping due to breach of agreement.

Amounht = No Fee.

NFO.

R v CORDELL

-3-
DEF

Additional witness is not here.

Because the statement can be read but contain less weight because witness are not here.

Witness 2 can be here in one half hours.

Half evidence.

Half (**unreadable text**)

13:30pm

DEF

NF Witness.

(unreadable text)

Closing subs.

Statutory test key:

Whether D has acted in an Anti Social Manner: to that did cause Alarm or Distress.

Astonishing of the council too make out that the whole eleven officers were wrong.

D's evidence is also not merit able and neither his witness statements.

D's Mothers evidence – totally irrelevant – her evidence is based on conspiracy police have against her family.

7th June Witness Inspector Hamill and Sos .Miles and witness Cordell (D) Inspector Hamill (**unreadable text**) miles points to D being the organiser.

Disruption and concern Rave caused outlined by Cad Reports and officers statements.

19th July Inspector Skinner describes a rave and Cordell being organiser, another statement as far as D is concerned, which is totally wrong,

Crimits reports show D as organiser of large raves according to officer's statements.

Test mode out of submissions above.

Consistent Patten of behaviour as by of D concerned.

1) Test of (**unreadable text**) Nuisance (**unreadable text**) does not (**unreadable text**) delaminates (**unreadable text**) of fact, but from Cad Re: alarm distress etc. Shows this has happened.

The impact this has on police resources looking @ noise levels and potentially speculating out of control. – Disorder due to shutting events down.

2) Pc Elsmore: Description levels other D was subject to order has reduced – only 3 – when D was active was significant more.

3) The order is necessary and attention drawn to carefully word interim order.

Def Closing subs

1) Test to be passed can the allegations be proved? Deceived that alleged it may be illegal, it does not need to cause Alarm or Distress.

Page 2 and 3

Hearsay from Steve Elsmore is a copy and paste job.

Pc Parcel not correct to file evidence, of Crimits, which contained incorrect evidence that can't be backed up, of D known for class A drugs and or supply – info is widely inaccurate.

Totality of evidence is hearsay as well as reports at Cannery Wharf.

No proof this was an illegal rave, as S.63 CJO 1994, No proof of Tres Pass – determination not proved to Criminal Legal Standards.

I did xex Officer of @ no time did he indicate where info had come from.

24/05/2014

2nd Allegations – App relies on Hearsay again and (Crimits.) Pages 104 – 107 noted from evidence.

2nd Could hearsay from Josher Holyfield who allegedly confessed that was looking to set up raves (Crimits.) steward not her again.

Page 98-100 – hearsay – from a Pc again – all in 3rd person, no indication that Pc attended himself.

No evidence that it was illegal rave.

??Show determination in view of illegal rave and no proof has been submitted or covers witness as victim.

No allegations where app. Produced 1st hand evidence.

The particular (**unreadable text**) of allegations states illegal rave and no proof of required standards has been submitted, nothing adduced.

It may be unlikely for presumption that given but it's possible.

In XEX. App ?del failed to Enfield Council who did not pursue.

Does it show the organiser or just some one getting involved in things he shouldn't.

Hearsay be (**unreadable text**) grounds are not here.

No evidence police confirmed D to be organiser.

D spoke to police – he gives reasonable Intel calming he can't keep his mouth shut.

A man was states his someone else's lawyer.

This is a rave said to have lasted 3 days but evidence is weak.

Tyrone's presence was untrue due to life threatening injuries – No competent evidence.

Police had Intel Re: Every Decibel Matters of with no further line of investigation.

Additional hearsay, only evidence of van of equipment of hired equipment for free.

19/07/2014

Carpet Right – Inspector Skinners evidence – indoor test of legality is proof of trespass and nothing adducted.

Mystery why no statement taken from owner of keys (**unreadable text**) And whether or not consultations had been given to access the premises.

R V CORDELL

-5-

On another occasion: Mr Cordell gave explanations to his presents.

24/07/14

"D accepted he organised", Pc Edgoose Page 50 – statement said he "did organise illegal raves" Admissions alleged from evidence, Entirely of conversations of others, not clear.

27/07/14

Same as Millmarsh Lane, hearsay evidence of number of Pc's called and gave evidence.

Interesting that some one other than D (**unreadable text**) led a (**unreadable text**)

Evidence of people living and potentially other's on the land treating it as home.

Further evidence inaccurate Shoplifters.

9/10 August

Evidence of Pc officers does not match up with allegations in application – on his duties odd their being squatters, also did not try to contact owner while on duty suggesting D there at private party – due to lack of suitable equipment, evidence D was attending a private party.

Councillor: (unreadable text)

The general credibility of the witness's (**unreadable text**) errors because of the hearsay of Crimits of no prominence taken into account weight of statement.

Page 32 (un readable text) day and event 2

Inconsistencies that are bios for officers to include evidence that favours Application by being unreadable.

Allegation of 15 – 10 boys (**unreadable text**) to talk un relative of conduct.

Fear of reprisals.

LTC when given evidence was to prove sound organisation possibly which D accepts.

If (**unreadable text**) D was polite on his case

Investigation not performed with measurements as it should have been.

Vendetta families highlighted.

Inconsistence's between start of Crimits, complete absinth of follow up is simply worrying.

What other info is wrong that we have not been able to check?

DJ

Mr Justio(UN READABLE TEXT)

Test Not related to police resources.

Was ASBO serious and persistent?

Decrease in activity – “huge decrees since Interim ASBO “but no indication of trends: before – after and previous years.

Pc Elsmore couldn't say why decrease in raves.

Correspondence of consultation - so far this relays wrongfully weak evidence.

Met on points of how

The statutory test in relation to rave into what is required.

DJ

Delivery of judgment @ 15:32pm

DJ

Is satisfied, so that she is sure, that the D did act during dates in such a manner.

ABSBO Granted

Order necessary for reasons:

(1) Nature of the conduct of these parties'

(2) Noise (UN READABLE TEXT) civil(s)

(3) Police officers have to attend in large numbers.

(4) Since interim order there has been a decrease in this type of activity.

(5) Satisfied D has acted in as manner of such conduct that causes harassment alarm, distress.

(6) Conduct (unreadable text) It is necessary to protect residents of Enfield, from anti social acts from Simon Cordell.

DJ

Need to ensure probations are precise to award Asbo application

DEF

D's attendance at raves is not an issue and places unreasonable burden on him for attending parties when 20 people attended and what appears to be illegal then turns out to be legal, also places D in a difficult position if false steps are made to legality of parties

ASBO must be prevelitive

DJ

D Can carry out legitimate and licensed business.

Point D “or local authority addition.

DJ “To a period of 5 years”

Propitiations are precise and plain

Terms of Order

D

to upset then left room but lawyer present.

Terms

Needs adding

END

- **PAGE 274 of the Applicants Bundle;** please take note to the blocked out section, that of incident and location information relating to cad 2410 entered at 05:35 On 8th June 14;
- **Page 275;** please take note to the blocked out section,
- **Now please take note to Page 276 chapter one line one reference to (A&J Cars)**

Google maps image of A & j Cars also showing Crown Road opposite also known as the old man building rented to Travis Perkins;

[https://www.google.co.uk/maps/@51.649023,-](https://www.google.co.uk/maps/@51.649023,-0.0539363,3a,75y,353.77h,87.04t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1spFscdoQrnTQjIW6gsMHKQ!2e0!7i13312!8i6656)

[0.0539363,3a,75y,353.77h,87.04t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1spFscdoQrnTQjIW6gsMHKQ!2e0!7i13312!8i6656](https://www.google.co.uk/maps/@51.649023,-0.0539363,3a,75y,353.77h,87.04t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1spFscdoQrnTQjIW6gsMHKQ!2e0!7i13312!8i6656)

(Enfield A&J Car's North London.) To which if it was not for this one peace of truth not being left unblock any Jude would believe a police officer over any citizen, as the last magistrates district Judge did do so. please take a look at a copy of the court transcripts below. **(Court Transcript)**

- **Page number 278 to 283 contained within the applicants bundle is also explicitly linked to:** (cad number 2456: 07th Jun 14) and implicitly to:

CAD 2649: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 2989: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 989: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 3274: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 3754: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 5586: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 7983: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 8190: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 8528: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 6851: 02ND Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 943: 07th 14

CAD 1012: 07th Jun 14

CAD 1047: 07th Jun 14

This 999 Caller who is a repeat victim caller, was talking about a event 10 mins up the Road opposite Southbury Train Station who lives at **(93 Broadlands Avenue, Enfield)**

<https://www.google.co.uk/maps/place/93+Broadlands+Ave,+Enfield,+Greater+London+EN3+5AG/@51.6511736,-0.0548688,16z/data=!4m2!3m1!1s0x48761f08f37606db:0xabeca8d1453c46e8>

This contaminates all the cads and shows that the police officers are not sure were the people coming out of the train station were going to as the train station is a 2 min walk to Crown road.

This is not right because ATT Loc and INC Loc as well as caller location are blocked out on most cads making it impossible to see what other errors or incorrect truths are being made.

- Supporting evidence that 32 Crown RD (A&J Cars) Land mark was in fact being occupied under section 144 Lasbo. Google earth image street view of front gate with section on https://www.google.co.uk/maps/@51.6497295,-0.0538353,3a,15y,104.32h,81.37t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1sazWzy_HhHaW6zAbqVnkjvA!2e0!7i13312!8i6656

Supporting Evidence proving that 32 Crown RD was having events every weekend **(Exhibit)**

Mr Simon Cordell will state that none of the incident numbers relating to the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 did he acting in an Anti social manner, that was likely to cause harm, alarm or distress, to any person or fix a bow of residence.

List of CAD's and Information Relating To the 7th Contained Within the ASBO Application

- There is 93 incident numbers relating to the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 Mr Simon Cordell is being accused of as listed below.
- A list of Cad / incident numbers including supported relevant articles contained within the bundle are as listed below and that of any that is missing, any of the relevant documentation, so to be able to deafened the client Mr Simon Cordell, from all accusation creating the bases of an ASBO application. A list is indexed below and contained within this document. 1 of 93

CAD 7th June 1012 at 01:53 on 7th June 14,
 ESSO STN pages 143 to 146;

FIRST PART ABOVE

Around 2:00am on the 8th Mr Simon Cordell states he was just arriving at progress way and was said to have been seen by police

On page 32 A/Insp Hamill 01566 states at 0200hrs on Sunday 8th June that he did in fact see Mr Simon Cordell for the first time, on the 3rd line from the last sentence.

CAD 1047 Name PC239YE Shinnick (**pages 174 to 178**) at 1:59 on 7th June 14, was a 999 call location, which was a police office calling the Enfield Patrol Site, Call name is PC Shinnick, please allow a officer to call on duty.

Also A/ PS Charles Miles 724ye (**page 31**) explains that this date was the 7th June 2014, Any person can tell by the cad 1047, to which A/Inspector Hamill 201566 states he had created, at the first point of contact, as he dispatched officers to the location of the incident, from this information provided we can tell that this was in fact the 8th June 2014 at 1:59, A/Insp Hamill then states, that the officers that he had sent, had reported back that Mr Simon Cordell and Tyrone Benjamin were present, and goes on to state that officers were not aloud access into the occupied building, due to the demand during the shift and low policing numbers, but the cad incident number 1047 07th June 14 pages 174 to 184, states them officers in attendance who could not gain entry, some how managed to see Mr Simon Cordell and his brother Tyrone Benjamin earlier in the day, to which would be impossible as only Mr Simon Cordell had arrived to visit a friend for the first time at the location and the matter of fact of Tyron Benjamin being in hospital.

POINTS PROVED

- A/Insp Hamill 01566 states at 0200hrs on Sunday the 8th June 2014 and Mr Simon Cordell did in fact arrive at this time.
- A/Insp Hamill 01566 Could not be sure of the fact of the person that he is stating was at the gate did in fact bring Mr Simon Cordell back to the gate, he does not state that she or he came back with Mr Cordell, who would have told A/ Insp Hamill that Mr Simon Cordell was in fact the person she had gone to collect and asked to assist in speaking to police as the event organiser, neither did he take any name(s) or personal details of the gate assistances. He also states that Mr Simon Cordell would not in fact speak to him, so if this was true then why would Mr Simon Cordell have approached him to speak to him as the event organiser and not speak, as for fact he was just arriving.
- No police officers did in fact see Mr Simon Cordell, on the 6th 7th Jun 14
- as the first time Mr Cordell see the police was around 2:00am Sunday the 8th Jun 2014.
- Police would have add cad files all ready by date that was miss any Intel relating to Mr Simon Cordell's were about on the 8th Jun 14 or first point of contact, In relation to progress way this is

also inclusive of witness statements of any intelligence relating to Progress Way Cad 1012 7th June at 01:53 on 7th June 14. Pages 143 to 146.

- Mr Simon Cordell’s Brother could not have been present as for he was in hospital.
- Mr Simon Cordell did not talk to any police or council as he felt intimidated.
- Mr Cordell was not given any noise abating order from the local council as stated on page 34 by A/Insp Hamill 01566.

(On page 33) A Insp Hamill 201566 states that he see Mr Simon Cordell, at the gates but believed that Mr Simon Cordell was coming from inside the premises, due to the large number of people at the location and due to other reasons and believes of the inspectors own, Mr Simon Cordell states that he remembers clearly, that of the police approaching him, as he was walking to wards the gates, when he was arriving from the Great Cambridge road, and that of the police asking him questions in regards to illegal raves. A Inspector Hamill states that he ask Mr Simon Cordell his name and that he gave him a reply, such as to the answer of “yes” verbally and then A Inspector Hamill states that he asked Mr Cordell the same question again but Mr Cordell would not reply, (chapter one of A Inspector Hamill statement page 33 5th line down;) he then states the 3rd time when Mr Simon Cordell was asked again, but this time by the council officers with inspector Hamill present his name, that he would not reply again, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not speak to any body, he just listened to what was being said to him and complied when he was asked to walk back to were he had just parked his vehicle. The police officer is incorrect in saying that MR Cordell was the person that the gate assistant went and collected, as the event organiser, as Mr Simon Cordell was in fact approaching the occupied building and was visiting his friend. He did state this in his first statement dated (00/00/2015.) Mr Simon Cordell will State that, as he was approaching the ally way were tops tiles is before the entrance gate for progress way as stated by A/Insp Hamill 201566 on (page 33 2nd lines up from the last sentence.) Simon remembers it being dark and a lot of people being present in the ally way. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he saw, who he now knows to be A/ Insp Hamill for the first time, at around 2:00 am on the 8th June 2014 as he was arriving and had not seen a police officer on the date in question, till that point of time, when he had seen A Inspector Hamill talking too other people at the gate than him self as he was approaching, he does remember the police trying to speak to him and that he felt that the police was accusing him of being an organiser, to which he was not, so he choose not to say any think, with out a solicitor being present. The Police and council let Mr Cordell go and he walked across the road to the petrol station, while waiting for his friend to turn up, which he had to give a set of keys back too.

- Cad 169 8th June 14 **(is Missing Requested by Mr Simon Cordell)**
- Cad 2291 07th June 14 **(Is Missing Requested by Mr Simon Cordell)** are no were to be found apart from on **(page 174)**

Crown Road == There was no Licensable events or private parties on the 1st 2nd apart from Crown Road council freedom of information act to be provided, from local council. **(Exhibit)**

- **(Cad 3151 8th June 14 page 278)** clearly states that the rave / private party was at crown road not progress way and that members of the public were using Southbury train station, to get to this location, which is across the road, grid reference; x **(Easting)** 534960 Y **(Northing)** 196240 and that under oath to the Dj A/Insp concealed the truth true facts of their fabricated and manufacture while engineered evidence, that they support contained within the applicants bundle. Please read court train scrip off A Inspector Hamill below; (This also proofs that all the cads are linked together and corrupt)

Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill –R.O – 11.15am
Statement contained in tab 9-lead
DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.
 The rave was taking place indoors.
 I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue.
 I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday.
 I did not go inside, the gates were closed.
 I did not see any vehicles.
 D’S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day. **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. **(Progress Way)**

• **Cad 3319 8th June 14 page 283**

Southbury train STN /Crown RD **(cad 11822 8th June 14 page 302)**

Southbury STN cad 2410 8th Jun 14 page 276. Also blocked out so no person can see, apart from the makers of the bundle themselves, when creating their application towards Mr Simon Cordell, What evidence there is to support this claim is the mistake of A and J cars Enfield not being blocked out, as listed above in this document. As the same as many of the other cad numbers relating to this ASBO case, to which if it was not for this error A and J cars, being not blocked out like the rest of cad 2410 8th Jun 14, Mr Simon Cordell would never of been able to prove this part of his innocents in the ASBO case being put towards him self.

- **CAD 943 7th June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell.**
- **CAD 2649 1st June 2014 == MISSING** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date, neither was he being accused of in police statements off involvement. **Requested by Mr Simon Cordell.**
- **CAD 2989 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of any involvement. **Requested by Mr Simon Cordell.**
- **CAD 3274 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement. **Requested by Mr Simon Cordell.**
- **CAD 3754 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement.
- **CAD 5586 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement.
- **CAD 7983 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement.
- **CAD 8190 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement.
- **CAD 8528 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement.
- **CAD 6851 7th June 2014 MISSING & Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 1012 MISSING Crown Road Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 1380 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 1571 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 2456 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 2906 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 3326 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 4015 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 4809 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 8931 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 10844 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 2525 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 2757 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 3436 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 4322 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 10311 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 3838 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 5571 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 2291 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 2904 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 4598 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- **CAD 10462 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **8th June 2014**

- CAD 930 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 1646 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 2456 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 2766 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 2904 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 5644 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 1081 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 1667 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 2608 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 2796 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 2942 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 3179 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 3350 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 5897 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 749 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 1206 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 1768 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 2654 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 2854 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 2845 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 2948 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 3194 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 3515 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 1341 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 169 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 1631 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 2764 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 2890 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 3132 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 3260 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**
- CAD 3946 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- Only 36 have been provided, this is the list of incident numbers with cads below.
- **CAD1047 7th June 2014 At 01:59** Police 999 officers on duty, was mistaken to believe, that Mr Simon Cordell was with Tyrone Benjamin his brother and that they had been seen together earlier in the day, before any police officers had arrived, to have been able to of seen them or any 999 call, (**cad 1012**) is the first police intelligence in relation to Progress way contained within the first applicants bundle and is time stamped at 01:53 dated 7th June 14.
- **CAD 1323 7th June 2014 at 02:41** I had arrived by 01:50 on the 8th June and had walked out of the side alley leading up to the gate of progress way along side tops tiles, with Inspector Hamill as stated in his statement (**page 33**) he states “we all moved to the bottom of progress way “I then Left in my car after waiting for my friend to give him his key back by 02:20 on the 8th June 2014.

- **CAD 1608 7th June 2014 at 03:34** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 1722 7TH June 2014 at 03:58** I was not present at this time. **(P154)** wrong address.
- **CAD 1816 7th June 2014 at 04:15** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 2141 7th June 2014 at 05:50** I was not present at this time. P160 wrong address, Repeat caller.
- **CAD 2672 7th June 2014 at 08:16** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 10471 7th June 2014 at 22:45** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 2255 7th June 2014 at 06:24** I was not present at this time. P165 wrong address, Repeat caller
- **CAD 3005 7th June 2014 at 9:22** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 5206 7th June 2014 at 13:57** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 10967 7th June 2014 at 23:25** I was not present at this time. P250 grid no wrong address, Repeat caller
- **CAD 2271 7th June 2014 at 06:27** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller
- **CAD 2601 7th June 2014 at 08:09** I was not present at this time. P187 wrong address, Repeat caller
- **CAD 2854 7th June 2014 at 08:56** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 3037 7th June 2014 at 9:20** I was not present at this time. P179 wrong address Repeat caller.
- **CAD 4323 7th June 2014 at 12:25** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 10393 7th June 2014 at 22:38** I was not present at this time. P225 wrong address.
- **CAD 10506 7th June 2014 At 22:44** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 2637 7th June 2014 at 08:18** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 3252 7th June 2014 at 10:07** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 3986 7th June 2014 at 11:47** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 8841 7th June 2014 at 20:07** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 10742 7th June 2014 at 23:01** I was not present at this time. P246 grid no: wrong address, Repeat caller.

8th June 2014

- **CAD 340 8th June 2014 at 00:29** I was not present at this time. This cad has also got no Att Locn p260.
- **CAD 3151 8th June 2014 at 09:08** I was not present at this time. P278 grid no Crown Road: wrong address.
- **CAD 3319 8th June 2014 at 09:39** I was not present at this time. P283 grid no Crown Road: wrong address.
- **CAD 625 8th June 2014 at 00:54** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 47 8th June 2014 at 00:00** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 793 8th June 2014 at 00:10** I was not present at this time. This cad has also got no Att Locn p268.
- **CAD 2410 8th June 2014 at 05:03** I was not present at this time. This cad has also got no Att Locn p273.

CAD numbers 10471 / 10481 / 10506 of the 7th June 2014 = Please take note every day the call centre starts at CAD 01 and goes up to the average of 10,742 to 15,000 callers per day. (We can tell this by the number of cads incident numbers supplied, within this bundle and the supported evidence supplied such as **(Exhibits 1, 2, 3)**)

On the average with 300 callers per hour as time stamped below.

If **(CAD number / Incident Number 10481 7th June 14)** is the 10,481 call of the 7th June 2014 time stamped 22:47

How can a CAD numbered **(CAD 10506 7th June 14)** externally inputted 25 calls later, have an earlier time stamp of the 7th June 2014 at 22:44 hours.

(CAD number 4323 7th June 2014 at 12:25) is 1 hour and 42 minutes from (CAD incident 4325 7th June 14) and only had 33 people call when (CAD 4323 7th June 14) should have been on the average of 550 people calling the call centre, as proven in the minutes of the cads below:
 All cads relating to the 2nd 1st 6th June are missing. (And are requested by Mr Simon Cordell)

Date	Incident no	number	Time	
7th June 2014	1012	01	01:53	People 35
7th June 2014	1047	02	01:59	Mins 6
7th June 2014	1323	03	02:41	People 286
7th June 2014	1608	04	03:34	Mins 40
7th June 2014	1722	05	03:58	People 245
7th June 2014	1816	06	04:15	Mins 53
7th June 2014	2141	07	05:50	People 114
7th June 2014	2255	08	06:24	Mins 24
7th June 2014	2271	09	06:27	People 94
7th June 2014	2601	10	08:09	Mins 17
7th June 2014	2637:p187 to 190:	11 (Error)	08:18	People 325
7th June 2014	2672:p196 to 198:	12 (Error)	08:16	Mins 1h: 35 Mins Incorrect
7th June 2014	2854	13	08:56	People 114
7th June 2014	3005:p203 to 205:	14 (Error)	09:22	Mins 34
7th June 2014	3037:p179 to 183:	15 (Error)	09:20	People 16
7th June 2014	3252	16	10:07	Mins 3
7th June 2014	3986	17	11:47	People 33
7th June 2014	4323	18	12:25	Mins 1h: 42 Mins Incorrect
7th June 2014	4325	19	Missing	People 36
7th June 2014	5206	20	13:57	Mins 9
7th June 2014	8841	21	20:07	People 45
7th June 2014	10393	22	22:38	Mins 2
7th June 2014	10462	23	-----	People 182
7th June 2014	10471	24	22:45	Mins 40
7th June 2014	10481:p233 to 237:	25 (Error)	22:47	People 151
7th June 2014	10506:p238 to 241:	26 (Error)	22:44	Mins 26 = Incorrect earlier time than the previous incident number
7th June 2014	10742	27	23:01	People -----
7th June 2014	10844	28	Missing	Mins 17
7th June 2014	10967	29	23:25	People 102

END OF List of available cads for the 7th June 2014 exhausted.

• **Pages Numbers 143 to 146 Contained within the Applicants Bundle**

No police sent to Location (check still)

Incident no / CAD.1012 7th June 2014 entered at 01:53 End at 02:03 by c723401 Police officer A/ps Charles Miles states on (page 31) that he see Mr Simon Cordell on Saturday the 7th June 2014 at 0203Hrs, when in fact this was on the 8th June at around 1:50 am Hrs as A/Insp Hamill stats on (page 32)

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Victim

Att Locn: OPPOSITE Progress way Great Cambridge Road / The ESSO Petrol Station.

Inc Locn: OPPOSITE Progress way

Call Locn: **(Blocked out) Please can this be explained**

Cris: Not Crimed (Why was this not Crimed)

Opening: Noise

Repeat Caller: not sure

Has this happened before: = **(Yes = No Date or Time)**

Explicitly linked to: (CAD no. 943 June 2014 =Missing from file.)

Caller states: some kids have broken into a property and seem to be having a rave no violence just lots of kids.

<>

- **Reference to Pages 147 to 151**

No police sent to Location check

This CAD is related to;

(P147 CAD 1323 07th Jun 14 at 02:41 P333)

(CAD 10481 07TH June 14 at 22:47 p264)

(CAD 625 08th June 2014 at 00:54) Are all the same caller as 32 Crown Road were a party was happening on the 6TH 7TH 8TH June as well as previous, weeks from back dated month's from the 6th 7th 8th of June 2014 evidence provided from Enfield Local Council freedom of information Act. **(Inclusive of Bundle)**

(CAD 3319 08th June 2014 p 283 to 286) shows another occupied premises having party's on Southbury road, including Progress Way and 32 Crown RD all on the same dates of the **(8th June 2014 on page 284)** which is address **(318-328 Southbury rd.)** Comments state; these sites have a fridle roof. A meeting, which was held at 129A **(Southbury RD with members of the police and public from address 1 – 350 to 2 – 182 and 1 – 104 Southbury rd , including address on page 285 which includes Lincon Road)**

(Incident no / CAD.1323) 07th June 2014 at 02:41 End at 02:36 by c700591 decision maker 528ye

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Victim

Att Loen: Lincon Road

Inc Loen: Lincon Road

Call Loen: **(Blocked OUT)** Crown Road

Cris: **(Blank)** (Mr Simon Cordell asks why this is blank.)

Opening: Noise

- **Reference to Pages 147 to 151**

Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 June 2014 (p174 to 178)

Caller states:

Can hear load music, it has been going on for two hours.

There was similar problem's a few months ago.

Possibly an illegal rave

<>

- **Reference to Pages 152 to 154**

No police sent to Location

Incident no / CAD.1722 07th June 2014 at 03:58 End at 04:11 by c717560

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Witness

Att Loen: BLOCKED OUT = Wrong Location

Inc Loen: BLOCKED OUT = Page 154 Incident location released =24 Orcharred Terrance = **(next to Ponders End train station.) Mr Simon Cordell asks why this is like this)**

Call Loen: **(Blocked Out)** Mr Simon Cordell asks why this is like this.

Cris: Not Crimed (Mr Simon Cordell asks why this is like this.)

Opening: Suspicious Circumstances

Repeat Caller: No Details

Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 June 2014 (Contaminated as equal as the rest of the incident numbers)

Caller states: Can here smashing glass from a factory

Caller can see one long haired person u/k m or f with rucksack.

<>

- **Reference to Pages 155 to 159**

No police sent to Location check

Incident no / CAD.1816 07th June 2014 at 04:15 End at 04:28 by c720781

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party = (Mr Simon Cordell asks whether this should carry less weight in court)
Att Loen: Progress Way (How can this be possible, as the grid reference should not be in the same grid reference, if coming from a local house as this is an industrial estate.)
Inc Loen: Progress Way
Call Loen: (Blocked Out)
Cris: = Not Crimed
Opening: Noise
Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 June 2014 ===
Caller states:
 Noise coming from a factory passed the Toyota garage off the A10
Has this happened before: =yes
Repeat Caller: = Yes

<>

- **In Reference to Pages 160 to 164 Contained within the applicants bundle**

No police sent to Location
Incident no / CAD.2141 07th June 2014 at 05:50 End at 06:18 by c720781
Rec by: Ordinary
Call Type: Third party
Att Loen: Hardy Way Enfield = (This Address is in Gordon Hill Bush Hill Park and is in the wrong Location)
Inc Loen: Hardy Way
Call Loen: Blocked Out
Cris: = Not Crimed
Opening: Noise
Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 June 2014 = (This cad would have to be contaminated as it is linked to Gordon Hill Bush Hill Park and is to far from Progress way.)
Caller states:
 Rave behind his house.
Has any think like this happened before: = Yes =
Repeat caller: = Yes =====

<>

- Reference to Pages 165 to 169 contained within the applicants case bundle.

No police sent to Location
Incident no / CAD.2255 07th June 2014 at 06:24 End at 06:31 by c722310
Rec by: Emergency
Call Type: Third Party =
Att Loen: Leighton Road Bush Hill Park = Wrong Location = same as repeat caller for CAD 2141 7th Jun 14 (This cad would have to be contaminated as it is linked to Leighton Road Bush Hill Park and is to far from Progress way.)
Inc Loen: Leighton Road Bush Hill Park
Call Loen: (Blocked Out) (Why is this Blocked Out)
Cris: = Not Crimed (why not)
Opening: Noise
Repeat caller: = Yes
Explicitly linked to: Cad no. 1047 June 2014 ===
Caller states: Caller states music for 45 mins, believes it is a rave.
 (Last time this happened it was coming from the flats at Aylet Croft) This Person is not sure were the music was coming from them self. Believed it was Aylet Croft not Progress Way)
Has any think like this happened before: = yes =
How long ago: = Last summer

<>

- **Reference to Pages 170 to 173**

No police sent to Location again
Incident no / CAD.2271 07th June 2014 at 06:27 End at 06:33 by c722280
Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Third Party = (weight carried)

Att Locn: Progress Way

Inc Locn: Progress Way (location is the same as progress way)

Call Locn: (Blocked Out) =

Cris: = Not Crimed (why was it not Crimed)

Opening: ASB Nuisance

Repeat caller: = yes

Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 June 2014 =

Caller states:

There has been a rave going on all night

Has any think like this happened before: = Yes = Happened about a year ago.

◇

- **Reference to Pages 174 to 179**

(Police sent to Location before 1:00 am on the 07th June 2014 and again checked at 3:05:53 07th June 2014 to check all is ok at Progress Way)

Incident no / CAD.1047 07th June 2014 at 01:59 End at 10:56 by c228199

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Name: PC 239YE Shinnick = this is a police officer.

Call Type: Witness Staff on Duty

Att Locn: Progress Way

Inc Locn: (Blocked Out)

Call Locn: Enfield Patrol Centre = this is the Metropolitan police patrol centre

Cris: = (Blanked Out)

Opening: Police Generated Source Activity

Explicitly linked to CAD no. 943, 07 June 2014 = (Missing)

- CAD no. 943 07 June 2014 = (Missing)
- CAD no. 1323, 07 June 2014 = we have This CAD pages 147 to 151
- CAD no. 1380, 07 June 2014 = (Missing)
- CAD no. 1571, 07 June 2014 = (Missing)
- CAD no. 1608, 07 June 2014 == we have This CAD pages 184 to 186
- CAD no. 1722, 07 June 2014 == we have This CAD pages 152 to 159
- CAD no. 1816, 07 June 2014 == we have This CAD
- CAD no. 2141, 07 June 2014 == we have This CAD pages 160 to 164
- CAD no. 2255, 07 June 2014 == we have This CAD pages 165 to 169
- CAD no. 2271, 07 June 2014 == we have This CAD pages 179 to 173
- CAD no. 2291, 07 June 2014 == (Missing, no were to be found apart from on page 174.)

- **Police Officer Caller states:**

Rave at location organizers Tyrone Benjamin and Simon Cordell have attended earlier there are about 200 people at the location all well natured and there is sufficient fire and safety equipment. Inspector Hamill made aware. Inspector Hamill states that he see me coming out of the gates page 33, to which he is mistaken as I was just arriving.

- **Point 1:**

Tyrone could not have been present due to his injuries; he was involved in an ATR on his moped dated the 10th April 2014. He was air lifted to hospital. He had life changing injuries due to the accident.

- **Point 2:**

On the date of the 7th Mr Simon Cordell did not attend the premises of Progress Way and did do so on the 8th June 2014 but never went in, due to meeting police officers and people in attendance with the police, who Mr Simon Cordell now knows to be council officers, who he had meet as the police were talking to the occupiers of the premises at the front gates, as Mr Simon Cordell approached the occupied building as stated in witness statements.

- **Point 3:**

The police believed that Mr Simon Cordell came from inside the land, CAD 1047 page 174 to 179 notes a call made by a police officer about Mr Simon Cordell and Tyrone being in attendance and seen earlier when cad 1047 clearly states that this the police first point of contact and intelligence about the situation, so how can this be possible as for fact Mr Simon Cordell was first seen when he was arriving to meet a friend.

- **Point 4**

CAD 1047 states 01:59 07th June 2014 page 174 to 179 clearly states that police was not given entry to the premises also noted on (page 33) in police statements.

- **Point 5**

CAD 1047 state at 01:59 a call was made and states Police attended on the 7th so this would have been before Mr Cordell attended too progress way to give his friend his keys as he left them at Mr Cordell's flat before hand and needed them back, this is why Mr Simon Cordell's friend had called him.

- **Point 6**

Police statements state (page 32) A / Inspector Hamill 201566 dated 06/08/2014 leading on to the 7th and the 8th of June 2014 was on duty early hours of the 6th going on to the 7th June 2014 and attended progress way. He was back on duty the 7th June 2014 and again attended at around 200 hours with two environment officers he believes he spoke to some body and asked them for an organizer then he Mr Simon Cordell and accused him of being an organiser to which A Insp Hamill admits that Mr Simon Cordell would not speak to any officers, so if Mr Cordell had come to speak to him because some body had informed him that a police office wanted to speak to him as in pretence as if he was the organizer then Mr Simon Cordell would have been sure to have spoken to him or it would be logical that he would have never approached him in the beginning, Mr Simon Cordell will explain that police are mistaken to take Mr Cordell as to of being the person to which some one had gone to get or he would have come back with that person.

In all the statements it seems the police have there days mixed up they say they me and Tyrone was seen by officers but have never given a name of an officer who was meant to have seen us. But the fact is they could not have seen Mr Cordell and Tyrone walking into the building as they was not there and neither was Tyrone. It was even said at the trial by the applicant that it was not the 7th but early hours of the 8th which was the case I went on the 08th to give my friend his keys as he called me.)

Point 7

The party was advertised on face book, (Evidence) provided that the party started on the 6th June 2014 and this is also proved in (CAD 10967 at 23:35 on 07th June 2014. p250 to 254 on page 252 (Caller states that this happened last night)

<>

- **Reference to Pages 179 to 183**

No police sent to Location

Incident no / CAD.3037 07th June 2014 at 09:20 End at 09:42 by c724202

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Witness

Att Locn: Enfield

Opening: Noise Nuisance

Repeat caller: = Yes

Inc Locn: Enfield Safe House (This location is to far for noise to be from Progress Way, The noise was in fact coming from a party that was on crown road which is much closer, This party was opposite Southbury train station Crown Road related to cads/ incident numbers:

- **CAD: 32 08th June 2014**
- **CAD: 3319 08th June 2014** (south bury road / Crown RD Book 33) pages 283
- **CAD 11822 08th June 2014** (south bury train station /Crown rd) pages 302 to 304
- **CAD 3151 08th June 2014** (south bury road / Crown RD pages 278 to 282)
- **CAD 47 8th June 2014** (safe hall unit, grid 534380,195513 pages 255 to 259)

- **CAD: 2410 08th June 2014** (A&J cars pages 273 to 277 on page 276)
- **CAD: 5206 07th JUNE 2014** (This has been blocked out of Book 19)
- **CAD: 2456** = All the cads / incident numbers that are in the ASBO folder, when check are Explicitly linked to each other, police (**CADS 2456 07th June 2014**) are MISSING and contaminated to Crown Road as well as cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day Crown rd (**CADS 340 8th June 14**) (**CAD 793 8th June 14**) (**CAD 2410 8th June 14**) (**CAD 3151 8th June 14**) (**CAD 3319 8th June 14**).
- **CAD 3037 07th June 2014** Enfield Safe Store grid ref 534375,198125 this is miles to far and is closer to Crown Road party. Local council freedom of information act (Exhibit)
- A & j cars CAD number (**pages271 to 282**) is related to crown rd.

Street name Tynemouth DR linked to CAD 2637

- **CAD: 340 08th June blocked out** book 28
- **CAD: 793 08th June 2014** book 30
- **CAD: 2410 08th June 2014** book 31
- **CAD: 2601 07th June 2014** book 11 Ayley croft house party possible police or bailiff raid has happened before. This is gentlemen explained were he believes the sound is coming from and that is not of Progress Way.
- **CAD: 1722 07th June 2014** Blocked out Linked to cad 1047

Opening: Noise Nuisance

Cris =

Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 June 2014 ===

Caller states:

Many of the cads are missing from the 93 incident numbers I am being accused of to which I only have 36 CADs in regards to this ASBO application, including CADs relating to the 6th that are mentioned including the 7th and the 8th June and all the 1st and 2nd June including Any with the ATT Location and INC location marked as progress way or just simply Blocked out should have been provided so I can stand to my rights in a fair and speedy trial.

◇

- **Reference to Pages 184 to 186**

Book 10 No police sent to Location caller told police aware

Incident no / CAD:1608 07th June 2014 at 03:34 End at 03:37 by c721222

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Third Party

Repeat call: = no

Att Locn: Great Cambridge Road / Progress Way

Inc Locn: Great Cambridge Road / Progress Way

Call Locn: BLOCKED OUT=====

Cris: = BLANK

Opening: Suspicious Circumstances

Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 / 8841 June 2014 === Both CADS are in the ASBO application.

Caller states:

Caller states there is rave going on in a ware house next to his.

◇

- **Reference to Pages 187 to 190**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 2601 07th June 2014 at 08:09 End at 08:15 by c723097

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Rowdy or Inconsiderate behaviour

Att Locn: Cambridge road/Ayley Croft Enfield grid ref 534219,195697 (**Location is wrong for progress way**)

Inc Locn: Cambridge road/Ayley Croft Enfield grid ref 534219,195697 Location is wrong

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: (CAD 2456 07th June 2014) and is (MISSING) and contaminated to Crown Road party on the same day as (Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cads 793 8th June 14) (Cads 2410 8th June 14) (Cads 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: =

Caller states:

At 08:30 Last night on the 6th June 2014 there was a lot of noise and it is till going on.

Has this happened before? No

Caller States:

4 +5 cars are in and out causing a nuisance.

He thinks a raid is going on.

He can see a red Mini with trims on.

There is also a removal lorry.

List of Cads that are found to be related: Cad2456, Cad2637, Cad2255

<>

• **Reference to Pages 190 to 195**

No police sent to Location checked: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 2637 07th June 2014 at 08:18 End at 08:26 by c722296

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Noise

Att Locn: Progress Way Enfield warehouse

Inc Locn: Progress Way Enfield warehouse

Call Locn: BLOCKED OUT=====

Cris: = BLANK

Explicitly linked to (Cads2456 7th June 014), (Cad 303 7th June 2014) and (Cad 3037 7th June) is in the ASBO Application related to (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) which is Missing and believed to be contaminated to a party that was on the day of 32 crown Road related to (Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14)(Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = yes 7/6/2014

Caller states:

Rave is still going on.

Police State they are already aware.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 196 to 198**

No police sent to Location checked:

Incident no / CAD: 2672 07th June 2014 at 08:16 End at 08:33 by c724203

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Burglary other than dwelling (Suspects on premises) same as Cad 3005 7th June 2014 (the time stamp is in Error), police aware since the 6th June 2014

Att Locn: Progress Way Enfield

Inc Locn: Progress Way Enfield

Call Locn: (Blanked out)

Cris: = (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: Explicitly linked to (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) is Missing and contaminated to Progress Way as well as CADs to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day crown rd (Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Blank

Caller states:

(Page 197) caller states reporting an illegal rave = Why burglary if caller states this.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 199 to 202**

No police sent to Location check:

Incident no / CAD: 2854 07th June 2014 at 08:56 End at 08:33 by c724203

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Noise

Att Locn: Progress Way Enfield

Inc Locn: Progress Way Enfield

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: Explicitly linked to (**Cads 2456 07th June 2014**) and are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day Crown Road (**Cads 340 8th June 14**) (**Cads 793 8th June 14**) (**Cad 2410 8th June 14**) (**CAD 3151 8th June 14**) (**CAD 3319 8th June 14.**)

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Caller states:

Caller states illegal rave is still going on.

<>

- **Reference to Pages 203 to 205**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 3005 **07th June 2014 at 09:22 End at 09.29 by c723097**

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Burglary other than a Dwelling (Suspects on Premises) Same as (**Cad 2672**)

Att Locn: Progress Way Enfield

Inc Locn: Progress Way Enfield

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: Explicitly linked to: (**Cad 2456 07th June 2014**) which is Missing and contaminated to Progress Way as well as Cads to 32 Crown Road party on the same day Crown road (**Cads 340 8th June 14**) (**Cad 793 8th June 14**) (**Cad 2410 8th June 14**) (**Cad 3151 8th June 14**) (**Cad 3319 8th June 14.**)

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Caller states:

That a rave is happening and that there is drugs.

Music still ongoing

<>

- **Reference to Pages 206 to 209**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 3252 **07th June 2014 at 10:07 End at 10:18 by c723258**

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Rowdy or Inconsiderate behaviour

Att Locn: Progress Way Enfield

Inc Locn: Progress Way Enfield

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: (**Cad 2456 07th June 2014**) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (**Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14**) (**Cad 793 8th June 14**) (**Cad 2410 8th June 14**) (**Cad 3151 8th June 14**) (**Cad 3319 8th June 14.**)

Repeat Caller: = No

Caller states:

Members off the public are urinating and being, inconsiderate with there behaviour.

Caller believes they are taking drugs.

Caller states that the warehouse has been empty over one year. They put the block out side to say the premises are un-occupied.

Caller would like to stay anonymous.

<>

- **Reference to Pages 210 to 213**

No police sent to Location checked: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 3986 07th June 2014 at 11:47 End at 11:52 by c718168

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Noise

Att Loen: Progress Way

Inc Loen: Progress Way

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes 2 Years ago

Caller states:

There is an illegal rave in a ware house going on.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 214 to 217**

No police sent to Location checked: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 4323 07th June 2014 at 12:25 End at 12:33 by c723094

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Noise

Att Loen: Progress Way

Inc Loen: Progress Way

Call Loen: BLOCKED OUT=====

Cris: = BLANK

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = First time caller page 216

Caller states:

He claims there is an illegal rave at the rear of his house been going on since 02:00 this morning.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 218 to 220**

No police sent to Location checked: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 5206 07th June 2014 at 13:57 End at 14:05 by c192061

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Rowdy or Inconsiderate behaviour

Att Loen: (Blocked Out) = Crown RD

Inc Loen: (Blocked Out) = Crown RD

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = No

Caller states:

There is loud music from rear of house.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 221 to 224**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 8841 07th June 2014 at 20:07 End at 20:12 by 079328 / L3144

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Noise

Att Loen: Progress way

Inc Loen: Progress way

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad1608, 2456 7th June 2014) = (Cad 2456 7th June 14) are MISSING (**Cad1608**) is ok.

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Caller states:

Rave is happing people are climbing over his back garden.

Has this happened before: yes, no date and time?

<>

• **Reference to Pages 225 to 233**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 10393 07th June 2014 at 22:38 End at 22:57 by c723886

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Robbery Bladed Article

Att Loen: Great Cambridge behind Top Tiles

Inc Loen: Great Cambridge behind Top Tiles

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = No

Caller states:

This Gentleman went to an illegal rave and has been robbed by males with knife.

There is a mention of a car jacking but no information, as it has been blocked out.

No response all police cars unavailable.

Caller states:

2 x Black Males

1 x White Male

1 x Mixed Race Male = Mr Simon Cordell was not present at the time of 22:38 till 22:57 noted by police.

Knife was about 6 inches 2 x Black Males held his hand while others took his money = £22 pounds sterling.

Caller wants to stay anon

Police went to scene.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 233 to 237**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Related to P147 (Cad1323 07th Jun 14) at 02:41 P333 (Cad 10481 07th June 14) at 22:47 p264 (Cad 625 08th June 14) at 00:54.

Incident no / CAD: 10481 07th June 2014 at 22:47 End at 22:51 by c722309

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Noise

Att Loen: (Blocked Out) but is Progress way, GRID REFFRENCE OF 534657, 195453

Inc Loen: (Blocked Out) but is Progress way, GRID REFFRENCE OF 534657, 195453

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes 07/06/2014

Caller states:

A rave is going on in a factory down the road, the music is very load.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 238 to 241**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 1056 07th June 2014 at 22:44 End at 22:51 by c720782

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Noise

Att Loen: Progress way

Inc Loen: Progress way

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Caller states:

Caller states rave has been going on since last night and he can get no sleep.

Has this happened before: = Yes No Date and Time

<>

• **Reference to Pages 242 to 245**

No police sent to Location checked: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 10471 07th June 2014 at 22:44 End at 22:51 by C720782

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Witness

Opening: Noise

Att Loen: Progress way

Inc Loen: Progress way

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes 07/06/2014

Caller states:

Noise started again at Progress Way

<>

• **Reference to Pages 246 to 249**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 10742 07th June 2014 at 23:01 End at 23:11 by C101091

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Noise

Att Loen: Lincon RD Enfield

Inc Loen: Lincon RD Enfield

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = yes No Date and Time

P 248 first quarter (Blocked Out)

Caller states:

Believes a ware house has been making noise since last night.
The Local Council is aware.

<>

THIS IS THE LAST 7TH OF JUNE 2014

- Reference to Pages 250 to 254

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / Cad:10967 07th June 2014 at 23:25 End at 23:38 by C717554

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Noise

Att Loen: Great Cambridge RD Grid 535375,202125 = (the grid number takes you to Cheshunt miles to far.)

Inc Loen: Cambridge RD Grid 535375,202125 ==the grid number takes you to Cheshunt miles to far

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes 6th June 2014

Page 252 (Blocked Out)

Caller states:

Caller says lots of cars turning up and can hear music.

50 people down the back.

<>

PART 3

THIS IS THE FIRST 8th June 14

There are 37 CAD/ Incident numbers for the 8th June 2014, to which there is only 7 in the ASBO application and only Cad Number 47 represents Progress Way, the rest represent 32 Crown RD another premises being occupied under section 144 lazppo 10 minutes away from progress way.

By the statistics, the call centre receives on the 8th June 2014, 300 people call per hour. Cads 2410 and 3151 should equal 741 callers the same as Cads 793 to Cad 2410 Cad 3151 Caller is 3 HOURS: 25 Minutes, Please can this be explained.

Date	Incident no	number	Time		
8th June14	47	01	00:00	Progress Way	People 293
8th June14	340	02	00:29	Crown RD	Mins 29
8th June14	625	03	00:54	Crown RD	People 285
8th June14	793	04	01:10	Crown RD	Mins 24
8th June14	2410	05	05:35	Crown RD	People 168
8th June14	3151	06	09:08	Crown RD	Mins 16
8th June14	3319	07	09:39	Crown RD	People 1617
					Mins 3hours:25mins
					People 168
					Mins 03hours:33mins
					People 325
					Mins 1h: 35mins (Bad)
					People 168
					Mins 31

- Reference to Pages 255 to 259

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / Cad: 47 /08th June 2014 at 00:00 End at 00:11 by C720796

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Noise

Att Loen: Progress way

Inc Loen: Progress way

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blocked Out)

Explicitly linked to (Cad. 169 8th June 2014) and (Cad 2456 June 2014) which is

MISSING: = (Cad169 missing from every were)

Repeat Caller: = Yes 07/06/2014

Caller states:

Caller would like to report an illegal rave that is going on, and has been for the past two hours.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 260 to 263**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / Cad 340 08th June 2014 at 00:29 End at 00:32 by C080128

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Rowdy or inconsiderate Behaviour

Att Loen: (Blocked Out) = No Grid

Inc Loen: (Blocked Out) = Crown Road

Call Loen: (Blocked Out) = Crown Road

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = No

Caller states:

Illegal rave is happening; this is not the problem people peeing in her garden.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 264 to 267**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Related to P147 (Cad1323 07th Jun 14) at 02:41 P333 (Cad 10481 07TH June 14) at 22:47 (p264 Cad 625)

Incident no / CAD: 625 08th June 2014 at 00:54 End at 01:11 by C060648

Rec by: Ordinarily

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Noise

Att Loen: (Blocked Out) = Crown Road

Inc Loen: (Blocked Out) = Crown Road

Call Loen: Lincoln rd to far wrong

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Caller states:

Loud music has started up again from the estate.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 268 to 272**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / Cad: 793 08th June 2014 at 01:10 End at 01:30 by C722768

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Rowdy crowd

Att Loen: (Blocked Out) = Crown RD

Inc Loen: (Blocked Out) = Crown RD

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes 07/06/14

Caller states:

Caller wishes to make a noise complaint it is keeping him up.

The same thing happened last night.

◇

- **Reference to Pages 273 to 277**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 2410 08th June 2014 at 05:03 End at 05:43 by C723395

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Witness

Opening: Drugs

Att Locn: (Blocked Out) = Crown Road

Inc Locn: (Blocked Out) = Crown Road

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Happened before: = No

Page 276 == A & J cars Enfield ===Crown rd ==I would not have been able to prove my innocence in this case if it was not for A & J CARS being left in text, and no this is the same fro many of the other Cads contained within the ASBO application.

Caller states:

Drugs are being openly sold all over the street, caller noticed on the way home.

- **Reference to Pages 278 to 282**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 3151 08th June 2014 at 20:07 End at 20:12 by 079328 / L3144

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: (Contact Record)

Att Locn: South Bury RD / Crown Rd = Crown RD

Inc Locn: South Bury RD / Crown Rd = Crown RD

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blocked Out)

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Caller states:

Caller States Rave is still going, states she still can not get any sleep, she has contacted environmental health but they say they close at 03:00 hours.

Previous commands noted by police.

- **Reference to Pages 283 To 286**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 3319 08th June 2014 at 09:39 End at 20:12 by 079328 / L3144

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Witness

Opening: Noise

Att Loen: South Bury RD / Crown Rd = Crown RD

Inc Loen: South Bury RD / Crown Rd = Crown RD

Call Loen: 93 BROADLANDS AVENUE, ENFIELD = Wrong location it relates to Crown RD

Caller TEL: ==0208-443-4251

Name: MR Jennings

Cris: = Blanked Out

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: =

Caller states:

END OF CADS FOR THE 8th June 2014

- **Reference to Pages 2 TO 3 also pages 77 to 94**

5: = 20.06.14

Mr Simon Cordell was involved in the organization of and/ supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at 1 Falcon Park, Neasden Lane, NW10

(A)

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was at home; Address Burncroft avenue Enfield and did not cause any Anti social behaviour. He will also State that he did attended a friends home address, who had hired equipment of him self and that he had hired the equipment in good faith, Mr Simon Cordell will also state that he attended the premises of (1 Falcon Park), this was due to police involvement, after he was contacted by his friend at the time of; 01:00am, Mr Simon Cordell will sate that he was travelling that day in his vehicle for 2 hours of the 5 hours 15 mins before arrival to (1 Falcon park and arrived at around 03:00, as Mr Simon Cordell was asked to collect his equipment. Mr Cordell, will then State that he went home by 05:15 hours and was told by police to collect his equipment at a latter date, to which he did do.

(B)

At no point is Mr Simon Cordell being accused of acting in an anti social manner on the 20:06:14 within the ASBO application.

(C)

There are no Cad numbers in the ASBO application in regards to 1 Falcon park,

(D)

Mr Simon Cordell has never been arrested for any incident, relating to 1 Falcon park, as he had no involvement in the organization, neither did he attended on 20:06:14 to the event in question.

(E) Face Book (Evidence)

- **Reference to Pages 2 TO 3**

6: = 19.07.14

Mr Simon Cordell was involved in the organization of and/ supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at Carpet Right Show room on the A10 Great Cambridge Road Enfield.

(A)

(B)

(C)

(D)

- **Reference to Pages 2 TO 3**

7: = 24.07.14

Mr Simon Cordell was involved in the organization of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty ware house on Mill Marsh Lane

- (A)
- (B)
- (C)
- (D)

- **Reference to Pages 2 TO 3**

8: = 24.07.14 Mill Marsh Lane

Mr Simon Cordell admitted to police officers that he organized illegal raves

(A) **Alma Road** INSP Edgoose that he was the organiser of any rave or that he hired sound equipment for the use in raves.

(B) **Carpet Right** INSP Skinner that he was the organiser of any rave on the 19th July 2014

(C) **Ponders end**

(D) Progress way INSP Skinner that he was the organiser of any rave on the 7th 8th June 2014

(E) **Mill Marsh Lane**

- **Reference to Pages 2 TO 3**

9: = 10.08.14

Mr Simon Cordell was involved in the organization of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty ware house on Mill Marsh Lane

Mr Simon Cordell further actively sought to encourage a large group of people to breach the peace.

(A) Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not encourage a large group of people to break the front line of the police.

(B) Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not organise any raves at mill marsh lane.

(C) Mr Simon Cordell will state that Mill Marsh Lane does in fact contain warehouses that were being occupied under section 144. **(Evidence Google screen shoots (Evidence of picture taken at the location)**

(D) Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not have Nitrous oxide and was in fact carry Co2 Canisters)

(E) Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not carry any sound equipment as he was travelling in his car)

Cases and dates mentioned on other pages

INFO REPORT

- **Reference to Pages 107 to 139**

7th April 2013

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had two off road bikes going out on a Sunday with friends off road in, and was pulled over by police officers and wrongfully accused of no insurance and public order. He provided evidence in court and his innocence was proven. This happened at the same point of time, as the proceeding of the ASBO application.

INFO REPORT

- **Reference to Pages 104 to 106**

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he caused; No anti social behaviour.

24th may 2013 at the Old police station

INFO REPORT

- **Reference to Pages 101 to 103 Created by Alan Brown**

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he caused; No Anti Social Behaviour on the 20th April 2014 at 420 Hyde Park

INFO REPORT

- **Reference to Pages 140 to 142 INFO REPORT**

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he caused; No Anti Social Behaviour at Wood Wharf

- **Reference to Pages 5 of the ASBO application as this is the first in the bundle.**

Point 1.

On the 13th of august 2014 the local authority and the police held a consultation meeting in regards to my self Mr Simon Cordell and reached a decision to be taken in this matter.

- Mr Simon Cordell will state that; An anti social behaviour order (ASBO) is / was a civil order made in the United Kingdom against a person who has been shown, on the balance of evidence, to have engaged in anti social behaviour and the order was, introduced by Tony Blair in 1998, within the protocol to create a successful ASBO application it states Voluntary solutions and other remedies should be considered by the applicant prior to the multi agency cases conference regarding ASBO'S. Any of the following voluntary solutions and alternative remedies should be considered prior to an application for an ASBO being considered such as;

Mediation;

Verbal and written warnings from the relevant authorities including Police

Support Packages;

Diversionary schemes and activities;

Rehabilitation;

Criminal investigation;

The above list is not exhausted to it limit.

- Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has never been given the opportunity neither has he been asked to attend this meeting or another prior to this as this would have been the opportunity to talk to him about a pre warning or other actions that could have been taken.

point 2.

- made on page 5 is the statement that there was no conflicting work in progress with the local authority with the name of Mr Simon Cordell, which in fact is a conflict with the aim of the ASBO application under the crime disorder act 1998.

Mr Simon Cordell will (Exhibit) evidence supporting the fact that he was working at Kemp hall, as a Voluntary Worker and that this is leased and owned while under Enfield local Authority Management, at all times.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was working and had the keys and alarm code to the building and was a member on the board while trying to help Debbie the main manager at the time and (**Exhibit**) of emails as well as texts from her on his phone and computer on dates off 00/00/2014 to 00/00/2014.

- **Reference to Pages 1 application**

Point 1.

It is alleged that the Mr Simon Cordell has acted on the dates between Jan 2013 to 10th august 2014 in Enfield in an anti social manner likely to cause harm alarm or distress to one or more person not of the same house hold as him self.

Witness Statement of Steve Elsmore

Witness statement Steve Elsmore who is a police officer attached to the anti social behaviour team, Community Safety unit.

It is to be relied upon by members of the applicant's application under section 1(c) of the crime and disorder act 1998, as amended by the Anti Social Behaviour Act 2003.

- This ASBO application does not meet the criteria and key elements as listed below.
- This is a Stand-alone ASBO application in the Magistrates' Court against Mr Simon Cordell.
- He will State; at no point of time, did he take any part in any form of Anti Social Behaviour that he did cause or was likely to cause, neither did he cause any Anti Social Behaviour that was likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.
- Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not organize any events within this ASBO application.
- Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence that might have caused or was likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

- At no point have I committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

The PNC holds information in regards to:

Arrests:

Point 1 about Arrests:

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not hold or organize illegal raves and did not on the dates in question. There is no reason; he will also state that he should not be accused of doing so on dates in question in this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has been wrongfully arrested at (CARPET RIGHT) Great Cambridge Road dated 19th Jul 2014 and detained for a possible breach of the peace, that Police have stated ('believed might happen') which at no point was Mr Simon Cordell involved in and that he did not commit any form of Anti Social Behaviour, on the 19 July 2014. He has never been charged and that he was just detained and released with no option of an interview.

A police office Inspector Hill Moore states ("he believed, that by arresting me that no further raves would happen.)

Mr Simon Cordell Will State that he was not involved in the hiring of equipment or organization of any said rave, neither was he on the freehold of the land nor did he attending a rave as he is being accused of.

CAD Incident number 10635 19th Jul 14 pages 291 to 301 on page 294 clearly states that 20 white males and females attended the occupied premises, it also states all the address of the people police officers spoke to on the land contained by police within the building and outer surrounding gates of carpet right.

- **Case Progression**

Point 1 about case progression:

M Simon Cordell will also state that he did not hold or organize illegal raves and that he is of Mix Race British Nationality, so there would be no truth in information leading to case progression held on the police national computer re Mr Simon Cordell on the dates in question.

- **Previous convictions**

Point 1 about previous convections:

Mr Simon Cordell also reverses his rights of the rehabilitation Act and state time spent is of all convictions on his criminal recorded. And that he is sure of the fact that of being that he does not have any previous conventions, nor has he been charged with any similar natured offences with relevance to an ASBO application.

There are errors on his PNC record which he has been trying to rectify and there for does not agree with any records of his criminal record

- **Vehicle ownership**

Point 1 about Vehicle ownership:

Mr Simon Cordell inserts and instates his Rights of the Freedom of Movement. As expressed in article 13 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, it asserts that:

A citizen of a state in which that Citizen is present has the liberty to travel, reside in, and/or work in any part of the state where one pleases within the limits of respect for the liberty and rights of others. At no point has Mr Simon Cordell used his vehicles to organize a rave or attended an illegal rave knowingly. (Mr Simon Cordell challenges the hearsay statements compiled by Steve Elesmore)

"His statement aims to show supporting evidence of the course of behaviour of Mr Simon Cordell acting in an Anti Social Manner).

Steve Elesmore: Provides statements of hearsay obtained by police and witness, been witnessed first hand by officers, been witness by independent witness. Please see a copy of the court transcripts as listed below.

Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill –R.O – 11.15am

Statement contained in tab 9-lead

DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday. (This was in fact early Hours of the 8th around 1:00am.)

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D'S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendant's van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjourning RD but not on that day. **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. **(Progress Way)**

Witness – Pc Elsmore – R.O – 14;10 EIC

Tab 6 – pg ?14?

DEF XEX

Council **(unreadable text)** curfews **(unreadable text)** that PNC info on statement adds no **(unreadable text)** probatory **(unreadable text)** value of info re:

Witness being "afraid of D" What he puts down to the way he worded, but he meant that people actually are afraid of possibly giving evidence in court.

R V CORDELL

4

DEF

Counsel argues that officer's statement is designed to cause an evidence reaction of this of no value and speculative in nature.

DJ

How many calls from public did police receive?

Witness

In excess of 15 calls – how many to the same venue and not other address.

Doe's not know the number of callers that are in relation to each of these occasions.

On page 15 – Allegations re: Millmarsh Lane, evidence from officer not first hand – relied on cads and other Intel.

Query Re: "3 massive nitrous tanks"

DJ

Were did you get such info officer.

Witness

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimits Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

COUNSEL

Officer you signed a statement of truth **(unreadable text)** to other witness statements.

DJ

We all know that on ASBO apps hearsay is allowed.

R V CORDELL

5

Counsel

Why did officer not rely on Pc King's statements later than on the Crimits reported.

Officer not involved in taking info from Pc King.

(Confesses he did it.)

He did not notice the discrepancy regarding official statements.

Have heard of Every Decibel Matters – They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.

No evidence D is involved in running these operations.

No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.

No reason to why you didn't /contact the company.

I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.

(At Page 16 1st paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014)

All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officer's own words – same applies from Cads that had no input.

Has not made attempts to contact owners of premises.

Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.

Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell's evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what's written in

Statements – another example of untrue cut and past.

DJ

Ill ignore because no convictions of class A drugs or supplying is present on the criminal record.

Counsel

You can not assist with witness reliability of info contained, can you?

Can Intel be wrongfully inaccurate? No

Officer

On that particular re post, it appears to be right.

I did not speak to Parcell he is force @ seven boroughs.

I believe he was not included in the email, because Intel **(unreadable text)** Email sent to LDE only.

Searched **(unreadable text)** for info on Cordell's convictions.

Moving on to statement on Page 30

Does PO investigating unit have more info than it is letting on?

Officer

No

Are you aware that Miss Cordell has spoken to other officers Re: Rave?

This suggests that you do not want DS Tanner to be examined on these proceedings because she has information Re knowledge of raves and them not being connected to W/D.

Spoke to Pc Tanner but not written what – spoke to **(unreadable text)** this year

You have no recorded that you emailed her but then spoken to her.

Emails have been deleted and no copies kept on record.

Mr Simon Cordell challenges all the witness statements by all officers.

Mr Simon Cordell challenges all the witness statements by all independent witness.

(Mr Simon Cordell challenges the hearsay statements compiled by Steve Elsmore)

"Independent witness to frightened due to reprisal."

At no point Cad relating to the independent witness statements provide any information relating to offences or civil matters that should lead to an ASBO application that, Mr Simon Cordell should be convicted off as he is innocent in regard's to organizing Illegal Raves or acting in a Manner likely to Cause Alarm Harm or Distress."

Mr Simon Cordell requires each witness to be called individually to give evidence at court.

Mr Simon Cordell will challenge the statements made by Steve Elesmore "Simon Cordell Is known to the police to have 28 convections a copy is available of his criminal record"

Mr Cordell feels that this is misleading due to the errors in his criminal record as police are aware. Evidence will be provided as proof of my statement.

Mr Simon Cordell feels that the data from the PNC representing his Criminal Recorded is information not true to its facts and is misleading. Mr Simon Cordell has been checking with the courts and challenged the validity of the PNC record and has been going throw the process of have 5 cases rectified due to critical errors that have been added in error that have had a major effect on my life even in the ASBO application being put against him self.

BOOK 6

ILLEGAL RAVES:

"Deaths at raves: the most resent was young 15 year old male who died at a rave at Croydon"

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is deeply concerned as well as upset for any life lost and he is heart felt for all effected by this tragedy of a young life lost.

Mr Cordell would like it noted that he was on curfew and had been since June 2013 for a case he has already proved his innocents in.

In regards to the statement below Mr Simon Cordell feel that this is Slander of character and the two statements below should not be justified as (1) he is not a drug pusher or user. (2) he was on curfew and had been since June 2013 and have not done any think wrong to be punished so.

Mr Simon Cordell feels that the statement, (Simon Cordell is free to continue to organize such events, is un-justified.)

"Raves are known for drugs."

"Please take note Mr Simon Cordell states he has nothing to do with drugs, but he has used cannabis for personal use." **(It was also said in the court transcripts that this was in error.)**

"This type of illegal event can not be tolerated and action must be taken to disrupt these events, and if a court order is not made then Simon Cordell is free to continue to organize such events."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he feels that this is also Slander of his name and darkens his character and is not true as he was on curfew when Andrew Rio sadly passed away and had been since June 2013. Mr Simon Cordell did not put on events on the days in question and does not see how this has any reference towards an Anti Social order being put towards himself self as he did not act in an Anti Social Manner.

Mr Simon Cordell was wrongly put on curfew for an offence and was found not guilty, at the same time of all the ASBO application and does not have internet at his house address this can be checked with any internet provider and the ip attached to any profiles, his mental heath has suffered due to this, as he spent nearly a year on curfew for something he had not done, and just before his curfew was lifted by the court, my Nan became very unwell this had a big effect on him mentality, and he needed some time out, to then be accused of the offence within the application being brought against him self.

In the early June 2014 the family found out that Mr Cordell Nan was terminal ill with cancer, of the liver, spine and ribs. Mr Cordell was spending a great deal of time with his Nan and family, but mentality his health was suffering. So when he was invited out by friends he took their offers.

Mr Simon Cordell's Nan passed away on the 30/08/2014.

It was just after this The police came to his address, he states at that point of time he felt a bit unstable, at this time due to what was going on with his life and that of his families and the lost of his Nan.

Mr Cordell will state that he had put everything that he had gained out of life into the development of his company to take his mind

of what was going on around him and because of contentious police harassment that he had locked himself away in his flat. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had friends around his flat on this day of the 12/09/2014, who were trying to help him, the police knocked on his front door. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he saw the police as he looked into the keyhole of his front door without opening the front door, as he was not expecting any others that day to his home.

I called out to ask them what they wanted as due to the problems he has had with the police over many years.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was worried at why the police had come to his flat. The police stated on that day that they wanted to talk to him, Mr Simon Cordell took caution as to opening the front door, he opened it a little to see what the police wanted to say to him, knowing his friends was watching for his safety, they then forcefully tried to put some think in to his flat with out showing any ID, to which Mr Cordell closed the door before the police could do so and then told them that he would not accept anything from them.

The police then spoke to each other as to what to do, then Mr Simon Cordell will then state that he heard, one of the officers say just leave it out side by his door, which they did and then left.

Mr Simon Cordell would not allow anyone to get what had been left out side of the front of his flat front door and called his mother and told her what had happened, he was very unstable at this time due to what happened and his friends were trying to claim him down.

Mr Simon Cordell mother picked up what is now understand to be the ASBO application bundle documents. When she picked them up and read what was inside she was shocked to see the data that was in the file, and took it to the police station and collected a lost and found receipt for it (**Exhibit 0000**). Till date 08/02/2016 that folder is still in the police property room. Mr Simon Cordell does believe that this is a breach of the data protection act, as what was within the files held people(s) personal data. The files before being taken to the police station were in fact copied by way of being scanned of all files that was in the bundle. A letter of complaint (**Exhibit 0000**) was handed to the police.

Mr Simon Cordell states he could not be 100% sure of knowing if any documents were missing by the time his mother had picked the documents up. And that he has never been re-served them to date 08/0/2016.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not openly admitted to officers that he runs raves and has even bragged about it to the police.

Mr Simon Cordell will challenge this statement not to be true to its article, What Mr Cordell will state is as for a matter of fact, all that he ever talks about when talking to any person, it is of a good natured law obeying positive and productive future, of his life and business.

- **Reports involving Simon Cordell: Millmarsh Lane: 10th August 2014**

Ref: vert00376728. PS king

(1) Mr Simon Cordell will State that he had no part in any of the "Young people milling around trying to locate the rave." Or who;

(2) "Was found on the North footway just by Gregg's factory."

"At no point did Mr Cordell have any think to do with, organizing any event (s) on the 10th August 2014 nor did he travel with this group of people and that he had no effect in there decisions made on 10th August 2014. Neither did he take part in any Anti Social."

"Strip of concrete completely open air."

"To my understanding and my own vision Tents was present as well as the occupiers, occupying the free hold of the land and the bricked premises located on the land. People were occupying a building on the

land one of many attached to the freehold of the land and was being occupied under section 144 LASPO. Mr Simon Cordell will State that he lives in his flat and has done so for eleven years so have no need to live anywhere else unless staying at a friends place of residence.

Police State that "Simon Cordell was at the gate on police arrival."

Mr Cordell will state "At no point did he have any reason to stand on the gate acting as a occupier, organizer or suppler of equipment or was he involved in the organization of any event on the 10'h August 2014.

"Police statement () claims that Mr Simon Cordell's car contained three massive nitrous oxide tanks." "The vehicle index MA57 LDY Mr Simon Cordell States; he was driving and was in fact carrying empty CO2 cylinders and did have safety stickers for the carriage of gas cylinders, placed on the boot in clear view for all pubic to see, as this is the regulations when carrying cylinders. Mr Simon Cordell does do so in accordance of the law, known as The International Carnage of Dangerous Goods by road (ADR), implemented by the Carriage Regulations and had broke no laws nor had he cause any Anti Social Behaviour.

"When queried by police it is said that Mr Simon Cordell had admitted that he had seen the news article about the dangerous effects nitrous oxide has and how the government would probably regulate it." "Mr Simon Cordell does agree to the statement made about talking about Nitrous oxide and the confiscation being partly about a news article and the government actions toward regulating it, at no time. He will also state that he was not asked if the cylinder's he was carry was in fact nitrous oxide, nor was he asked if they were full, because the answer would have been that he was getting them refilled with co2 for welding."

• **Page 3 of 3 of statement made by PS King**

"Police state that Mr. Cordell was told police superintendent had gave authority to seize sound equipment"

"Mr Simon Cordell will State that at no point was any section or peace of paper served to him, nor was he told verbally of the statement above as he was not the occupier to the freehold of land neither an organizer to any event as listed, within the ASBO application or did he supply any equipment, this includes any form of Anti Social Behaviour.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not pack any sound equipment away, as he did not have any to pack away, as for fact he was driving his car, a car Ford Focus which can not carry a large Amplified Sound System, plus as stated by witness statement PS King "3 massive nitrous oxide tanks," This would not fit into his car Index MA57 LDY a Ford Sliver Focus"

"Police officers state that Mr. Cordell started to pack away his equipment"

"This is misleading as noted by police officer, Steve Elsmore in his said witness statement, that Mr Simon Cordell was driving car index MA57LDY, If This is true then how was Mr Simon Cordell, meant to pack away a large Amplified Sound System plus have 3 massive nitrous oxide tanks, all this would not fit into his car."

"Whilst stood waiting for him to leave"

"Mr Simon Cordell will challenge this statement, and will state that he was in his car also that he had no sound system or any involvement in organizing said party including any form of Anti Social Behaviour."

"100 teenagers turned up / going to storm the rave."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that it is not right for him to be blamed for something that he took no action in organizing.

Also that he should not be accountable for other people's decisions unless he had advised other people to have acted in such a manner, or have leaded other people, to conduct them self's in such a negative manner and at no point did he do so. Mr Cordell will state at no point did he cause any Anti Social Behaviour."

"Group throwing cones and general road furniture towards the police."

"Mr Simon Cordell is upset that this has happened, he also state that he was not the organizer neither did he supply any sound equipment, nor should he be accountable for other people's actions; and that he had no involvement in the organization of the event or supplying any equipment. At no time did Mr Simon Cordell act in an Anti Social Manner.'"

"Again Simon's car was present."

"This proves that Mr Simon Cordell did not have any large Amplified Sound System, as his ford focus index MA57LDY can not hold such equipment because of size. Mr Simon Cordell does in fact own a van and if he was to have been hiring out any of my sound equipment for said party would have done so within the legal constants of the law and in such instance would have been using his own van to carry his equipment in. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not involved in the organization of said party and did not have any equipment to pack away"

"Simons Cordell's attitude is that he is a modern day business man and the actions of the group had nothing to do with him."

"Mr Simon Cordell does agree that he is and still up and coming am to be a modern day business man. As the police are well aware due to the number of times he gets stopped and spoken to by police, in such times he feels that he is always asked what he has been up to in his life by the police and he would reply to police or anyone that had asked me, the same answerer because it had become a routine, when asked such questions. Mr Simon Cordell was in the process of setting up his business. He will state that he had not taken any part in the organizing or the hire of any equipment on Date 10th August 2014."

- **On the 27th July 2014**

Ref: yert00376227 pc chandler:

"Information had been received that a rave would be taken place."

"Mr Simon Cordell believes if sourced by way of a information request this could prove his innocents in the allegations presented in this police statements and believes that the public order unit at Scotland Yard does in fact hold the information to all dates in question contained within this ASBO application, which would prove Mr Simon Cordell was not the organizer,"

"Statement: Police drove down and found the rave."

"Mr Simon Cordell would like to see proof that this was a rave and the answer **‘(’has there been anyone charged with holding a rave on this date in question.’)**

"Statement: of which people at said rave had the keys for."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not the occupier of the land and he did not have any keys to it."

- **Ref: yert00376227 pc chandler:**
"Police spoke to people inside."

"At no point did any police speak to Mr Simon Cordell as he was not involved in any form of the organization of what he is being accused off such as an Illegal rave."

- **Ref: yert00376227 pc chandler:**

"There was a big stack of speakers which was being powered by a van belonging to Simon Cordell."

"Mr Simon Cordell van is a ford transit 2002 this can not power any think above 12v and a sound system is 240v, the size of Mr Simon Cordell generator is the size of a transit van and would have been noted down by a police office due to this Mr Simon Cordell exhibit a picture his generator on his mobile trailer as (Exhibit 0000.)

Mr Simon Cordell did not hire any sound equipment, or have any involvement in the birthday party, he will state that he just new some one, who was treating the premises as there home on the date in question and was living in the local squats in and around Enfield around the dates in the ASBO application, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was at the premises as a guest."

Ref: yert00376227 pc chandler:

"The rave accused of it being was a 20th birthday party for one of the occupiers. Not the person Mr Simon Cordell was there to visit."

The police talked to the persons whose birthday party it was. Mr Simon Cordell does not agree with being accused of organizing his birthday party or any form of Anti Social Behaviour on this date in question, Mr Simon Cordell will state it was not his birthday and he did not hire out any equipment, nor was he involved in the organization of any rave."

Ref: yert00376227 pc chandler:

"Police State The rave was organized by Simon Cordell"

Mr Simon Cordell will state that this said rave was not set up him. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has never been charged for the organization of this said rave and believes that if this had been a correct statement that he would have been arrested.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that if this was not his birthday or party, that he was just merely invited due to knowing someone who was living at the premises. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is not homeless and that he does in fact live in his own council flat. Mr Simon Cordell will state that this at no point did he in fact cause any actions that was likely to cause Alarm Harm or Distress.

"Police officers state that this was connected to another rave on Alma Road."

"Alma Road is a road just of Green Street, to which Mr Simon Cordell will state that he lives, with mostly private housing developed on it, there is a few long term companies. And a few businesses and that he does not know of any rave or location along alma road that a Rave has ever taken place, or of any place people have lived as he keeps his private life to him self and only in exceptional circumstances over official governing body(s) of relevance towards them issues, that may be of concern contained within their departments. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has checked face book and applied to Enfield local council to be told no rave has happened on Alma Rd as well, asks please can you supply evidence supporting your claims " Connected to another rave on Alma RD " .

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not involved in any said rave and has never been to a party on Alma Road.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does in fact drive down Alma Road a fair amount due to his Nan Once living just off there and living two roads away.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that that he does also travel down Alma Road to get from his flat and his mothers address.

The only event on Alma Road involving the metropolitan police, that Mr Simon Cordell remembers was when he was pulled over on a Thursday, in his car index MA57 LDY which the case has been added to this ASBO application. Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point was he Anti Social towards the police that pulled him, or he would have been arrested for a section 5 or of a similar offence and he surely would not have walked away, with out even a ticket. He will state that he did in fact shake the police officers hands as he left.

Thursday 24th July 2014, At around 16.25 hours: Alma Road:

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was driving index MA57LDY as he stated down Alma Road and this is a road that he travels down regally.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he uses this road to travel between his mothers house and his own flat, as it is one of the only routes of access between both flat and house, and it is also the fastest route to take. Mr Simon Cordell will state that this Nan also lived just off Alma Road before her resent death. On travelling from his mothers house on Thursday 24th July 2014 from seeing his Nan and mother due to his Nan's illness he was going home to his flat and used Alma Road as a route to travel as he always does do so.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he noticed an unmarked police car, as it was indicating to take a right turn the opposite way from which he was travelling.

The reason he new this to be an unmarked police car was because he new the police officer who was driving from seeing him on active duty within the local area.

As he drove past it changed its indication to the way he had been heading, which was a left direction.

The unmarked police car continued to follow him in turn putting on the blue lights in there vehicle. he pulled over to the left had side of the road opposite the BMW repair centre along Alma Road, on the left hand side of the pavement leading to the back entrance of Durant's park.

A male office got out of the passenger side and approached Mr Simon Cordell drivers door, he un done his car window to a jar asking why he had been pulled over to which the police office replied he was not sure and said his college had instructed him to do so. He then went back to his police car and then reproached his car window with his college the driver of the undercover police car.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was asked again why he had been pulled over to the reply of the driver of the police car pulling out his police truncheon forcing me to get out my car or if he declined his window will be smashed.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he got out of his car as he did not have any think to hide, neither had he committed any traffic or criminal offence, nor was he wanted. The reason given to Mr Simon Cordell was for being stopped, then being accused of driving to close to the car in front of him. This car did not

stop nor was it pulled over by police. Mr Simon Cordell will then state that he was then accused of having drugs; He was searched and so was his vehicle and nothing was found.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was asked by police what he had been up to and that he told them that he was setting up his catalogue that he and his friend had been building. That is why Mr Simon Cordell's website was well underway to being completed, and he was trying to establish positive effects within his business in today's society, within the business industry. This was a Thursday at 16:25.

Then once the police had checked every think that they had needed to, everybody parted and shock each others hands and went then, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he made his way home.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he can not understand why the police officers have said that he was driving in this manner as this would have been classed as dangers driving, and he would have been punished accordingly. Mr Simon Cordell will state that there is no way that some one can drive 1'' from the car in front of each others cars bumpers; this would have been clearly in possible. If the males car in front had been stopped or went to the police stating that, Mr Simon Cordell had being do this action, would have be taken against Mr Simon Cordell for YR then surely the police would have taken the persons details in there 101 Book of reports PC EDGOOSE.

• **19th July 2014: Carpet right A10 great Cambridge road Enfield:**

Ref: yert00376024 Inspector skinner

On this day Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was travelling down the great Cambridge road heading home in his vehicle. When on the other side of the road he saw a man he knows to be homeless detained by the police outside the gates of carpet right. He wanted to make sure he was ok so to do this he had to drive up the road to the traffic light next to the Odeon cinema and turn around, which he did do. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he noticed a car park a few premises before the carpet right named magnet open to the general public, so he parked there as he could see the police had blocked all accesses to the front of the carpet right car park, you can not park out side any premises at this point of the A10 Great Cambridge Road.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that he locked his vehicle and walk up the pavement towards his friends and the police officer detaining him out side carpet right front gates. On doing so, a police officer approached him and told him, that he was under arrest for breach of the peace, to which he was realized latter from police custody, without any charge or fine for any offence committed, neither was he served any official paper work.

(A) Keys to carpet right are in the premises

(B) Police surrounded front gates and building entrance

(C) police too details of all people present contained within the application and Mr Simon Cordell's, name is not present amongst them.

(E) The 999 caller states it was all white males and females at first point of police intelligence.

(F) The Inspector contradicts himself by stating that Mr Simon Cordell was inside the gates of Carpet Right and then goes on top state otherwise.

• **Ref: yert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"20 people inside premises."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not one of the 20 people inside the free hold of land and at no point of time was he the hired sound system contained on the premises. At no point did he have any

involvement in any matter, involving the activities or decisions of others, who were occupying carpet right. If he had been a charge would have been put towards him self."

(CAD 9840 19th July 14 pages 287 to 290)

Incident no 9840 at 20:51 on 19th July 14 by 083891/L2843

Rec by: Ordinary

Call type: Third Party

ATT Locn: 198 Great Cambridge Enfield: Carpet Right

Inc Locn: = Blocked Out

Call Locn: Blocked Out

Opening: Noise (Trespass)

Cris: Not Crimed

Location Based Comments: Blocked Out

Caller States:

- 20:56:06 He has attended the location to find a notice that persons are squatting in the building
- 22:12:53 274ye 10-20 squatters are inside. Police entered to make sure no sound equipment was inside.
- **Pages 291 to 301 CAD 10635 19th July 14 End:**

Incident no 10635 at 22:07 on 19th July 14 by c723688 Incident is Tagged 2 x

Rec by: Ordinary

Call type: Third Party

Inc Locn:= Martinbridge Trading Estate, 240 Lincon RD,

Call Locn: Blocked Out

Opening: Noise (Trespass) (Alcohol)

Cris: Not Crimed

Location Based Comments: Blocked Out pages 292 and 293

Linked to: CADS11644 and 11822 19th Jul 14

Caller States:

About 20 pulling up on to an estate looks like to have an illegal rave

Caller States:

They have brought in alcohol and decks.

Caller States:

He can see them bringing in boxes and are definitely not there to work.

Caller States: (page 294 **They are Males and Females all White People, So how can Mr Simon Cordell be getting accused of this as stated in the new skeleton bundle, Insp Skinner states that Mr Simon Cordell was the organiser of an illegal rave, in a premises on the 19th June 2014. page 95 Police state more units please and all people and cars contained on the land on carpet right present)**

Has any think ever happened like this before: = Yes No date and time.

Caller States: page 295

There are a number of vehicles here Pages 295 and 296 including 297 of Copy's of DVLA Records from the PNC, Including all the peoples names and addresses, from when checked inside carpet right and Mr Simon Cordell was not one of the people neither is any vehicle he was driving, at that present time in life.

- **CAD 11822 19th Jul 14 PAGES 302 TO 304 Ends:**

Incident number 11822 19th July 14

Rec by: Emergency

Call type: Third Party

ATT Locn: Southbury BR STN

Inc Locn: = Southbury BR STN

Call Locn: Southbury BR STN

Opening: Noise (Noise Nuisance)

Cris: Blanked Out

Location Based Comments: Blocked Out

Linked to Cad10635 19th July 14) and (Cad11644 19th July 14)

Caller States:

Large group outside causing a dist outside the STN and there is at least 200 people blocking the Rd and pavement.

Caller States:

I do not no what they are doing but need to be moved on.

No More Cads Left for the 19th

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"With sound equipment which they was about to set up."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not hire any sound equipment to this event nor did he organize it, police intelligence will show this, Val Tanner attached to public order unit Scotland yard.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was has since sourced information THAT SHE contacted and accused another person other than him self, of being the organizer and attended addresses leading to this date, Prior. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like to call her to court under oath to prove his innocents in this case.

At no point did he go on the open air land or the premises attached to that land and that the police had said occupiers /potential organizer in the said land including the sound system contained within.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that it has also come to his attention from sourced information, that the public order unit Scotland Yard has information to other dates that are included in within this ASBO application, which will prove further to the facts that he did in fact not commit the offences that he is being accused of within this ASBO application.

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**
- **"The main organizer was spoken to by police."**

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is not the main organizer on the 19th July 2014 as-Val-Tanner- attached to the public Order Unit Scotland Yard understands. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not supply any equipment. **(Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like to summons Val-Tanner- attached to the public Order Unit Scotland Yard under oath to his trial)** as he states that he knows she holds evidence of his innocents in regards to the ongoing of the current ASBO application.

Because this so called event and the unit she works for holds information to the date of the 19th and other dates in this ASBO application, as this was explained by her to my mother on the telephone.

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"It is said that Mr Simon Cordell admitted to police that he was an organizing to the party and said he was expecting several hundred people."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that this is not correct as stated the keys were found on the premises and he never was on the premises, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was arrested outside on the pavement as shown in Inspector Douglas Skinner statement and that he could not have left the premises as said by Inspector Douglas Skinner the police had secured the premises before he had arrived."

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"As a result the people inside the venue all left."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he never went in the premises or venue at any time, He mealy stopped out of care off a fellow companion, To be detained by the metropolitan police wrongfully without charge or interview. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he feel this shows the way he has been treated over the years and discriminated by police. He states that the facts are the police had secured the premises, they had a sound system contained in the premises, and occupiers in the premises, one of these people was arrested then de arrested (Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has found this out since he has contacted the director at company house of every decibel matters, who has provided a statement as he was one of the people detained inside the premises, by the police to then latter be released.) Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was outside and was arrested for no reason."

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"Elliot Laidler accused of stating it was his first time he had worked for Cordell."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not know a Elliot Laidler, neither at no point was or has he worked for him, (Please show Mr Simon Cordell Evidence or an invoice that he was working for him), Mr Simon Cordell will state that his company was not running at this point it was still being setup, Mr Simon Cordell did help some charities out with there events in the process to help get his company established.

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"Clearly Mr. Cordell makes a living by organizing raves in Enfield."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has been establishing his company and have not hired any equipment on the 18th or 19th of July 2014 - Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not make a living from organizing illegal raves neither did he cause any Anti social Behaviour on this date."

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"Police state that it is possible that Cordell has obtained the key via Security Company or ex employers."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that this is not true as he never went on the land or in the premises or did he hire out any equipment or organize this said event."

- **Ref: yert00376024 inspector skinner P 5 of 5**
6. Cris 1914855/14 CAD 11854

20th June 2014

PC Haworth

"Police discovered a rave being set up at 1 Falcon Park Neasden lane NW10."

PC Haworth.

"Seized from the Dee Jay again."

"MR Simon Cordell will state that he has never been a Dee Jay and does not know how to Dee Jay. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he received a call from a client/ friend asking if he could help him out with a sound system and van which was also asked for, to carry the sound system in. This was a pro bono hire which would lead to hire contracts under a hire agreement. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did take a deposit which was not returned due to a breach of his teams and conditions of agreement and due to his sound system being seized.

MR Simon Cordell will state that he received a phone call dated; 20/06/2014 around 00:00am from the client who told him that there had been some problems with police and was told the police were going too seize the hired sound equipment and hired van. He was very upset but agreed to attend, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he then left his home address, and it took him around 1 hour to get there as the roads were quite.

Upon getting there Mr Simon Cordell will state that he saw a lot of police around the premises, to which Mr Simon Cordell will state that he started to speak to the police. Mr Cordell showed the police the invoice for the hire of his sound system. he was allowed access by police to the building to pack his sound system away. While in the building an inspector come and spoke to him and told him that he was going to seize the sound system and van. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he spoke to the inspector explaining and showing him the invoice, he also giving him a copy.

To which his sound system and van was till seized, to which he was later allowed to collect from the police station after they had done there enquiries, this was a few days later.

At no time was he charged, arrested or served any official paper work from police,

- **Progress Way Enfield EN1: 7th June 2014**

- **Ref: vert00374531.Pc Shinnick**

"Police officer PC Shinnick states he saw Mr Simon Cordell and Tyrone Benjamin and they have set up a rave in the empty warehouse."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that is not true as his Brother was taken to hospital in fear of his life as he had been in an ATR and could not walk and suffered many other damages to himself dated 10/04/2014, He still is having treatment at The Royal London Hospital 16/02/2015 and this will be ongoing, this is a life changing accident.

I did attended progress way but did not going inside. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was on his own at about 01:45 on the 8th June 2014, and police tried to speak to him outside the gate as he was trying to give his friend back a set of keys; he waited at the petrol station across the Road then went home.

- **Ref: vert00374531.Pc Shinnick**
Unit 5 ST Georges Industrial Estate White Heart Lane N17: 25th May 2014
 At 23:21 hours.

I am Up to here 00:59 09/02/2016

Ref: yrrt00323197 Pc Hoodlese

Contacted by security guard at the venue stating suspects were on the premises."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he spoke to his friend that he new to have problems due to being homeless at the time and that he had been trying to help out by offering them work from the local council such as Ponders End Festival, Winch more Hill Festival, Lock To Lock and more. There were no profit events Mr Simon Cordell has provided proof of the events that they did engage in.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had also been letting friends stay at his flat and that he cooked them food and other living accessories such as trainers and cloths while giving them a place to sleep and wash. Mr Simon Cordell will state that his friend called him earlier in the day and explained to mr Cordell that he was living at Unit 5 St. Georges Industrial Estate White Heart Lane N 17, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he went and meet him. That he did not hear any alarms nor would he be on any CCTV cameras committing any offence on this date in question. That he did in fact arrive and had ordered food. He used his van to travel from his home to where his friend was staying. Due to storage space and the size of the speakers, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he could not remove the speaker boxes on his own because of the size and weight of each box and used his van as storage on some occasions.

On the 25th May 2014 the police checked the index CX52 JRZ and there were two speaker boxes with no speakers in them that Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had keep in the van. There was no amps decks or any other equipment to power or create a full sound system just 2 speakers that he keep in there for storage. The police could see there was no way to run a sound system and allowed him to leave.' Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is not sure if the people were still allowed to stay in there home by the police.

"Approx 20 young males and females ran out the rear of the premises."

"At no point was Mr Simon Cordell one of the males or females that run out of the building."

Ref: yrrt00323197 Pc Hoodlese

"Approx 20 people claiming to be squatters."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that At no point was he one of the 20 people occupying Unit 5 St. Georges Industrial Estate White Heart Lane N17, living under a section 144 Laspo treating and respecting it as there home, as for fact he was a guest and has his own home.

"Several males were still inside the premises calming to be squatters."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that as said people were occupying the building and that he went home.

Ref: yrrt00323197 Pc Hoodlese

"Police had footage of several suspects causing damage to the security cameras and door locks, Mr Simon Cordell will state that was not one of them people."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point will he be on any of the said camera evidence as he never committed the offences stated, he believes if he were on the security cameras then criminal charges would have been placed on him. At no Time have any charges be placed against him Mr Simon Cordell will state that as he was not one of the suspects causing any damage. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like the security cameras footage, shown in court to prove this.

"At the venue."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that this was a commercial building being occupied under section 144 Laspo. as far as he was aware and had been told by the occupiers they had been living there for weeks before this date, they had there belongings and bedding at the premises."

"On camera opening the venue upon opening the premises."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he will not be on camera, that he was invited into the premises by the occupiers that were living there."

Ref: yrrt00323197 Pc Hoodlese

PAGE 19 is MISSING FROM HERE NOTE

CONTINUE LAST PAGE STEVE 20

• **Ellesmere Street E14**

PC Scott

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he remember that morning very well to be a Sunday as it was pre arranged to meet a few friends at there house' Ellesere street E14, because he had planed to go out with friends on their off road scramblers for the day, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was looking forward to this day very much.

There were two other vans ready to go with bikes in them and the van he was driving index CX52 JRZ, which had two off Road scrambles in the back.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was approached by police and asked to prove insurance which he did by way of insurance certificate.

he was challenged at to the status of my insurance policy being real or up to date and that he had paid for it to be a valid insurance certificate. The reason the police officers were showing issues of concern was because Mr Cordell Insurance policy was not showing on the mid databases, to what he explained was no fault of his own, he explained that he had made many complaints trying to rectify the problem by way of email to his insurance company as well as the police and the MID database, he had done this by making many phone calls and sending many emails while asked the police to check there own system to verify this.

Having his vehicles seized had become a regular event since 2013 Proof attached on weekends he is mostly pulled over by police.

The reason being as the police MID Databases did not show his vehicles as being insured as well as it being a Sunday leading to all insurance companies being closed.

This has left Mr Simon Cordell have to pay the recovery cost as well as other expenses including the loss of day as well as the embarrassment that comes with being punished for some think that he knows he is paying a services for and knows that is not right in the begin.

His van was search for a TV before it was seized and was proved to be false allegations.

Informant had seen a group of male's load a flat screen TV into rear of white ford index CX52 JRZ.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did a TV get put into his van."

"At 14:46 he was arrested for section 5 and no insurance."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is still having many issues with his insurance for this policy with KGM and all the seizer he suffered due to the error in the MID, he has provided proof of insurance, Letter of Indemnity from KGM for Policy Number MT3574694 of his innocents."

• **12th January 2013: Canary Wharf**

"Supplying information to the vehicles involved in gaining entry and carrying equipment."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not supply any sound equipment nor was he involved in the organization of this said event.

This night he was taken to hospital as he was stabbed in the head and his ear and stomach was cut."

Medical records have been sent of for by Michael my solicitor in this case.

(BOOK SEVEN UPDATED PAGES 26 TO 30)

21/12/15

Statement of Simon Cordell Further to my statement

Dated 00/00/00

In regards to Steve Elsmore Statement further to his statement

Dated 11th August 2014

Amended on the 14/01/2015

In relation too wards an application for an Anti Social Behaviour Order regarding the defendant Simon Cordell.

Point 1

PC Steve Elsmore States;

On the 5th November 2014 at Highbury Corner Magistrates, that I Simon Cordell made certain representations in regards to my company Too Smooth.

I Simon Cordell State;

I did explain that I was establishing my company and had become a valid member at Kemp Hall Community Centre there for committing my self to working for my local community centre, I did also say that I had been establishing my company brand and reputation, by way of provision of hire under a pro bono agreement with companies working on behalf of them self's, in connection with Enfield Council as they were all licensed outdoor events within the borough of Enfield contained within the local parks and such land marks.

Point 2

Pc Steve Elsmore States;

That he has searched the police systems in reference to my statement made in court, "that I was in fact on curfew and had not been leaving my place of residence.

I Simon Cordell State;

I do agree that I did state that I had been of police curfew during dates 28/06/2013 to 21/05/2014 during court proceedings which I was found not guilty for on the 02/07/2014.

Through out the Interim stage of the ASBO order held at the Magistrates Court, I do not understand how PC Steve Elsmore when checking the Metropolitan police databases could not find my statement to be true,

As on the date of 03/08/2015 at my trial the clerk of the court checked her computer system in front of the DJ and all else present in the trial proceedings and found my statements to be true. I had been on curfew from the 28/06/2013 until the 21/05/2014 a long with other bail conditions.

Point 3

PC Steve Elsmore States;

That he had discussed entertainment licences with the police and Council Licensing Officers and they had informed PC Steve Elsmore, I would not need to apply for licensing if premises was already in place of licensing or that I can apply for A Ten Note if on outdoor land.

I Simon Cordell State;

I had been in negotiations with Lee Valley in regards to Premises and Licensing to hold an out door community event within my local borough which was going well until the court proceeding became too much inclusive of the conditions imposed upon my self.

With regard to Barley Land Farm, due to everything that was going on in 2013, 2014, I had to take a step back from the event I wanted to host at Barley Land Farm, this was going to be picked up after I proved I had not done anything wrong which was the case. Barley Land Farm I did want to host this in 2014, but knew this could not happen as the case I was on lasted over a year, before I was found not guilty. I was due to pick up contract with regard to hosting this for 2015. This was stopped due to this ASBO order and the ongoing court proceedings becoming the priority over all in my life.

I was made manager of club Juice Brimsdown Enfield and trusted with the keys and all operations of the company till the police made this impossible to manage due to being continuously being pulled over out side.

It was agreed for me to be the manager of the lunch of White Sands night club once known as the Beach club Brixton Hill, till the police publicly embarrassed me and shamed my name by arresting me out side at an arranged meeting with the owner, to which I proved my case at court, I had committed a lot of time towards the launch of this venue prior.

Point 3

PC Steve Elsmore States;

The Licensing officer had checked in Steve Elsmore presence that I Mr Cordell has never applied for licensing regarding entertainment.

I Simon Cordell State;

I had no reason at this time of my life to apply to the council as pickets Lock, Barlylands and all festivals, inclusive of Night clubs and community halls I was committing my personal time to while establishing my company and representing my brand, have or had licensing all ready in place, I have also listed a few more companies names I was working with and for below with correspondents.

Lock To Lock

Muswell Hill Festival is a fundraising community event for children with cerebral palsy and their families from across London.

Enfield Town Fire Works

Ponders End Festival

Durant's Park Festival

HD Festival

At the same time I was constructing and in development of my website with help from my mother and friends, hosted at www.TooSmooth.co.uk

Point 4

PC Steve Elsmore States;

That he has spoken to Enfield Council with regards to my self Simon Cordell hiring Generators to them for events also that I had only hired out a human gyroscope to Enfield Scout for the local town fire works display dated 2013.

I Simon Cordell State;

As listed above is the name's of some events I was working within and for at the dates in question mainly before the interim stage and while other ongoing court proceeding progressed to which I was being accused of, to which I rightfully was found not guilty in my plea of innocence.

I had a curfew so could not stay with the equipment over night and as a company getting ready to start to trade I could not afford the higher of an experienced employee, so in turn I lost the contracts and faith in the justice system that was the main contribution towards the key elements need to cause my self to lose the contracts I and others had worked so hard to gain, due to the value of the products it was impossible to comet my self to a contract of hire any longer, at the same time the probationary conditions thought the interim stage imposed that represented the ASBO order made it once again even harder to continue forward than it all ready was.

Point 5

PC Steve Elsmore States;

A company House Check has been conducted under the name Too Smooth and Mr Cordell’s post code and there is nothing registered. I am also asked by Steve Elsmore to provide my company number.

I Simon Cordell State;

My company name was registered on the 10/03/2015 and this was shown to the court at trial. I think there was a mistake in how I explained myself and due to this think you believe my company had been registered before this date. However what I was trying to explain was my domains had been registered since 2010, and 2013. The reason my company was not registered in 2013, which it was meant to be was due to the court case and the conditions of bail I was on. I could not do the contracts I had in 2013 so my company was put on hold until after I was found not guilty at court on the 02/07/2014. But then as soon as I had been found not guilty for that case, I then had to deal with this ASBO order.

Point 6

PC Steve Elsmore States;

On Wednesday 10th 2014, in regards to obtaining Mr Simon Cordell’s role also inclusive of any more information that could be obtained relating to him at Kemp Hall Community Hall. Diana Johnson hall manager was unavailable due to being sick, PC Elsmore spoke to assistant Hailey “Football Team Manager” who stated she was not sure of Mr Cordell role and had not seen him for about Two moths in advance to the last meeting to which he had attended due to being ill.

I Simon Cordell State;

Regards Kemp hall, I Simon Cordell was given a Business card by a friend of a woman name as Luvinia De-Terville. Her business card represented a company known as Dems event management who provides licensed out door and indoor events, so I called the number in hope of making good relations relating to professional business possibilities, a meeting was agreed and went well. I was later contacted by Dems Management to help with the on goings of a charity event in aid of a charity called Bliss that helps premature babies, this event had been cancelled and was supposed to have taken place at another community hall other than Kemp Hall to which she had lost her deposit. I arranged another meeting with her and asked her to bring all documents for the event so I could see if there was away to rectify the problems, I took on the project to re launch the event at a new location and Kemp Hall was chosen, a meeting was arranged at Kemp hall for 19th September 2014 with Diana hall manager and Dem, at the meeting I noticed the community halls absinth of articles of association and the down full in the maintenance of the hall, it was explained by Diana that she was having issues with managing the hall due to a lack of communication with committee members and local Authority and that the hall was absent of licensing and no constitution was in place neither funding, on taking a tour of the hall I took a list of problems I could fore see for holding an event for Dem’s and that could be rectified for Diana. I prioritised the list as I was going around here is some of the problems I listed.

- No lights in girls/ woman’s toilets / this was winter so it was dark early.
- No Baby changing mat
- Girl’s toilet door no hinges.
- Boys / Mans toilets no lights

Décor dull
 Guttering out side Missing
 Front Car park needs cleaning
 Rear fence broken
 No safer foods controls for regulated provision of food or sale of alcohol
 No fridge controls
 Combustible papers in fridge's no temperature controls
 Electrical fuse board needs testing and cables 3 double plug sockets are burnt out
 Stage dangers and needs maintenance.
 Dance stage in main hall need reconstructing
 No internet
 No telephone
 No CCTV 8 cameras not working
 Kitchen facilities out of date
 New 1 new PC missing a grant was issued by local authority for 8 new laptops
 No sound Equipment
 No TV facility
 Pool table Broken
 Tennis table broken

The list went on, I agreed to come back and help out where I could, and did do so at my own expense, I fixed most of the listed above over time and a lot more to which I still have all the notes of and information relating to the contract work. I have and provide evidence of Diana hall manager thanking me for my help and that she had not meet any one in 15 years that she could trust with the keys and management of the hall and because of this she had neglected time with her own family in the aid of keeping the hall running for the local children and community. This was because she trusted me with full management of the hall under her supervision. I can provide the information.

I also do not understand why PC Steven Elsmore would want to obtain more information as to my role at Kempe Hall and why he would speak to someone he does not know about me and any role I have at Kempe Hall. If the person who currently run Kempe hall was not there he should have asked for a number to contract her on, not gone about speaking about me to someone else he did not know what role they had in Kempe hall.

Point 7

PC Steve Elsmore States;

On Sunday 23/11/2014 police stopped the following two males who were seen walking around an industrial estate Stockings Water Lane Enfield at 01:10 hours.

Both males seem to be under the influence of drugs.

On page 28 the CRIMINT reference states the other male, This has been blacked out, it also states that this person was living with my self Simon Cordell.

I Simon Cordell State;

On the 22/11/2014 I had been at my home address with a friend named Josh who was homeless when my mobile phone rang a person claiming to be a police officer spoke to my self he called me unexpectedly it was around 23:45 hours to 00:20 hours I got the call.

This person said they had been at my flat earlier but could not get the entrance code to my front door, they had returned to the police station to call me and get the door code, to which I thought and knew to be out of place I asked for the police officers badge number to which the person speaking would not give it to me, making me not believe this was a police officer I was in fact speaking to I would not give the door code out, on putting the phone down I called the police and asked if it was them asking me for my entrance code to which the reply was no.

This worried me even more because I live in a communal building that does not have an intercom system or CCTV. You must know the door entry code to the main entrance and you can get to my front door.

This made me and my friend scared, and could not understand how someone had got my number and called and wanted the main door code.

I called my mother who told me and Josh to come to her house, my mother had been cooking something to eat so I turned everything off and left my flat with Josh.

We walked along Green Street, towards Brimsdown train station going towards the river lee.

I had called my sister and she agreed to pick us up in a cab at Ponders End train station as it was raining very badly.

As we was walking the police pulled us over they said they were the police care team and asked us what we were doing, I told the police what had happened about the call and was told it was them that had called me for the door code.

They said that they had been at my flat early and could not get in, so had gone back to the police station to get my number to call me to get my door code.

I asked why they wanted my door code and why they wanted to see me, they would not tell me.

We both got searched by the police and then let go, me and my friend Josh was not under the influence of drugs, and the police never found anything on us when they searched us both. They asked Josh why a boy from East London was in North London and he told them to see his friend.

I called my mother again and was really upset as the police was not leaving me alone, I had done nothing wrong and never went out of my flat any more, but the police kept coming to my flat when they wanted.

When we got to Ponders End my sister was waiting in a cab, which we got into and went to my mother's home, my sister then left in the cab to go home.

My mother was really upset and made a call to the police at 02:04 to find out what was going on and why the police kept turning up at my flat CAD 1129:23/11/2014.

She was told that I called the police, she asked me and Josh if we had called the police which me and Josh replied no to, I told her I had only called the police after the police had called me. She carried on talking to the police on the phone.

Calls that were made on the 23/11/2014 by my mother to police due to what happened on the 23/11/2014.

02:04 lasted 12:00

13:57 lasted 07:00

14:52 lasted 04:00

Please see print out of my mums phone bill with times and date of the 23/11/2014 CAD numbers 1129:23/11/2014. and the email that was sent to my solicitor by my mother.

Point 8

Pc Steve Elsmore States;

On Friday 19th September 2014 at approximately 14:15 AT Kemp Hall

I Simon Cordell State;

This date Friday 19th September 2014, I was at Kemp Hall with Luvinia De-Terville we were due to have a meeting with Diana hall manager in regards to the higher of the hall for the charity Bliss.

Yes I agree the police did come to Kemp Hall while I and Luvinia De-Terville were there in the meeting with Diana hall manager about hiring the hall for the bliss charity.

I did speak to them as they spoke to me; I told them why we were there about hiring the hall for the bliss charity the police could also see we were in a meeting. They seemed interested about the charity, and I went on to say about my goals for my company and showed the police a project I was working on, on my laptop, the police did ask some things which I told them.

I do not see how the police was utterly bemused I was not the only person who was talking and mostly it was the manageress talking to the police, as the police was there to speak to her and our meeting had run over and we were waiting for the police to leave to carry on talking to the hall manageress.

I did not tell the police I had 3 lockups, the police know where I keep my equipment and that is not in lockups.

I do not understand why PC Steve Elsmore has gone on in his statement to say about GMG members nor do I understand why gang members have been included in his statement. Nor do I understand why he has included in his statement about issues with Kempe Hall.

I had done nothing wrong and had nothing at this time to do with Kempe Hall I was there about hiring the hall for a charity event run by Dems.

I also do not understand why the police when got the opportunity did they start to ask the manageress tactfully how long she had known me, they knew already the reason I was at the hall and that was for a meeting about hiring the hall for a charity event.

Shortly after this date the police started to publicly make a bad example of me and started to harass me by pulling me over as I was attending the hall one method was to strip searching me in there van in the front car park in front of all the children and other community members that I was there trying to help which is not mentioned and there are no Cad's relating to within the ASBO application, the police also attended the hall more than the twice mentioned by Steve Elsmore and the once I mention when I was publicly embraced by the police in relation to questions regarding my self, I gave up and walked away as I did with the night clubs, as the police were set out to destroy all myself and every one had worked so hard to gain.

PC Steven Elsmore Updated statement dated the 26/06/2015

PC Steven Elsmore again says about Kempe hall page 30B as said above I stopped going to Kempe Hall around Dec 2014 due to what the police was doing, but was still getting emails from them I believe they did not take my email out of the email list. The police are aware of this as I have had more calls that the police have been there asking about me. PC Steven Elsmore states that Kempe Hall was taken back into possession of the council due to the way in which it was being run.

This is not correct it was taken back due to accounting not being completed on time that Enfield council was asking for. Please see email dated 21/01/2015 from Monica.Kaur@enfieldhomes.org

Also please see email dated 17/02/2015 from Monica.Kaur@enfieldhomes.org and Simon.James@enfieldhomes.org

Also please see Letter dated 25th February 2015 From Simon James.

So by 18th March 2015 Kempe Hall was already back in Enfield Councils possession when the police did a search of the grounds and found a firearm. And I had not been at Kempe Hall since Dec 2014.

Book 8

Witness Statement

Made By A/PS Charles Miles 724YE

Dated :02/8/2014

Accusations Dated: 7th June 2014

Time 02:03 hours

Progress Way EN1

A/PS Charles Miles 724YE "On SATURDAY the 7th JUNE 2014 I was on duty in full uniform, working as YE3N section Supervisor.

A/PS Charles Miles 724YE "At 0203Hrs approximately I attended a disused warehouse at Progress Way EN1, where an illegal rave was being held. I attended with Inspector Hamill VEIN and representatives from the Environmental Health Office at Enfield Council, approaching the gates and asking to 'speak with the organizer."

A/PS Charles Miles 724YE "There I spoke with a man who I recognized as Simon Cordell, from previous illegal rave events on Enfield Borough. I would describe him as a light skinned black male, AA35 and at the time he was wearing a white long sleeved T shirt and Grey bottoms, he is

approximately f509 tall and of medium build. He refused to provide his details to the council representatives in order that a noise abatement order could be served, however he was provided with a copy. Approximately 10 minutes later we left the scene having risk assessed the incident."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was attending an occupied building that was being lived in under section 144 LASPO around the time of the 8th June 2014 as a visitor. And not on the 7th June 2014.

His intentions were to drop keys to a friend which had been left at his flat.

When he approached progress way a man he now no to be a police office from the statements provided, approached him while he was walking down a public foot path leading to the occupied building. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was accused of being an organizer to which he gave no replay and decided at this point to cross the road and call his friend to come out side to give him his keys back, to which he had, came to visit.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he then left and headed home and at no point did he except any paper work of any person(s) nor did he give his name or personal details to any body for his personal details to be on any official headed piece of paper, to which in the statement he is being accused of being presented to him.

It is also noted that in A/Insp Hamill 201566 statement that he did not note that a copy of the paper work had not been handed to anyone. Which Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is sure he would have noted in his statement. As from his statement he was the main person dealing with this matter.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like to ask for any noise abatement order made on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014"

It is also noted that police statement were written on the 02/08/2014, 26 days after therefore Mr Simon Cordell is asking for a copy of the 101 book.

A/PS Charles Miles 724YE " states that he returned to the venue approximately two hours later, he again asked to speak with the organiser however none came forward, he asked the two men on the door, who appeared to be party goers to let him in to have a look around. He walked around and there was extremely loud drum and bass music playing, with approximately 100 people dancing. Party goers observed him in Police uniform and ran away into the large open area, presumably because of drug misuse matters - there was significant evidence to suggest illegal drugs were being used such as discarded self seal bags, and empty canisters consistent with 'laughing gas' use."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "As officers where not permitted access into the venue it is unknown to the extent of drug and alcohol abuse which may or may not have taken place within."

A/PS CHARLES MILES 724YE "At approximately 06:30Hrs we received a call to nearby Woodgrange Gardens, to reports of a male assaulted. Following an initial investigation this individual matched the description of a male earlier observed on the warehouse roof. It appeared that he had fallen off of the roof and into some bushes and his injuries were consistent with a fall from height. He was heavily under the influence of alcohol and quite probably illegal drugs. He went to North Middlesex Hospital with the London Ambulance Service."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "At 05:04hrs CAD 2290 8th June police were called to a male assaulted in the street. Officers and LAS have attended the location of WoodGrange Avenue, where the male had injuries of suspected broken wrists and a bloody mouth, he initially stated that he had been attacked from behind but on investigation it transpired that this male had been one of the people seen on the roof earlier and had fallen whilst getting down."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that it was only ever noted by PS 92YE that 1 male was seen on the roof, but if the call came 05:04hrs CAD 2290 how is it his statement it says a call came in at 06:30Hrs this is 1 hour and 26 mins after the first call was made and A/Insp Hamill 201566 had sent officers to the location.

Witness statement

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did notice when approaching the building a Section 144 Laspo notice was in place, in turn meaning occupiers were occupying the free hold of the land sleeping in the commercial building and treating it as their home." Him self,

Mr Simon Cordell will state; Please take note "No body could have spoken to him or his brother Tyrone Benjamin, or see Tyrone as his brother Tyrone Benjamin was involved in an ATR involving, a vehicle LRO9BMV he was knocked of his moped on the 10th April 2014 the injuries his brother occurred has changed his life for ever. On the 07/06/2014 Mr Simon Cordells brother Tyrone Benjamin could not walk, he was Air lifted to The Royal London Hospital. Mr Simon Cordell will disagree strongly that his

brother was at this event dated 06th 07th 08th June 2014 or any case in question presented within this ASBO application, nor did he attend. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he arrived at progress way about 01:45am on the 8th but on his own and on arrival police spoke to him out side the front gates and he then left and went home.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that allegations of misleading information is being held under his and his brothers name on the police national Computer, and he has been trying to get this rectified, He has provided his brothers medical notes as proof of this as well as stated many other facts and provided a copy of the Police National Computer and the errors that have tarnished his life agreed by the courts. He would also like to make it noted that the police already have on there system the people they were prettying while he was on curfew for some of the cases within this ASBO application and that the police had contacted other people leading up to dates of the incident numbers but not Mr Simon Cordell in relation to illegal raves. The public order team has confirmed on the phone to his mother and Essex police have too.

It has taken months to gather this information relating to the dates within this ASBO application so that Mr Simon Cordell can clear his name.

Book 9

Witness Statement

A/Inspector Hamill 201566

Friday 6th June 2014

It is noted that your statement was written on the 06/08/2014 this is 62 days after the fact, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is therefore asking for a copy of your 101 book."

At no point did Mr Simon Cordell take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was did not organize any events within this ASBO application. And at no point in time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he at no point has he committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 On Friday 6th June 2014 when on duty in full uniform working as the Duty Officer for the Borough of Enfield. Was working between the hours of 2200hrs to 0700hrs.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "During the early hours of the 7th June I was made aware of a potential Rave that was in progress in a discussed Industrial Building on Progress Way."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "I have had a CAD created reference 1047I 7June dispatched officers to the location to access numbers, crowd dynamics and gather information around times the event is likely to run until ----and also to make contact or identify the potential organiser. Officers have reported back that Tyrone Benjamin and Simon Cordell where at location and to be the believed the event organisers, there were approximately 200 people in attendance, the event was covered by security officers who had stated that they were volunteers and not licensed through SIA. Officers have spoken with staff to confirm that all fire escapes where clear, that there were sufficient fire extinguishers in place and that there were first aid kits available."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that this incorrect and not to be true as his brother had medical injuries stopping him from being mobile or transported. Evidence will be supplied.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not in attendance to attained any rave in fact he was dropping keys to a friend as they had been left at his address when he was there last."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Police Officers have reported back: The police sent by inspector Hamill reported back to him and said they had spoken to Security officers at the gate of progress way, who stated that they were volunteers not security as believed by police offices. "Who made this statement?"

If they were believed by police officers to be security, but had said they were volunteers, what makes the police sure beyond reasonable doubt that the people in question presented to be security acting as volunteers could have in fact off been the organisers. As Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not the organiser neither did he hire any sound equipment nor did he take part in any form of organization on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 or act in an Anti Social Manner. In the new skeleton argument the inspector

clearly states that he now trust the security guards when officers state that they believed they were security but said they were volunteers and looked like party goers.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Police Officers have reported back: Staff was forthcoming with information, but refused to allow offices inside the venue."

"As stated they never believed the information provide by said staff at the gates of progress way to be true, as it was believed the security was to be presenting them self's as volunteers, so why would any information provided to officers can be classed and stated as forthcoming be classed as to be true, if not believed to be true by the person writing the statement in the beginning, as said by police officers, the people at the gate also refused to allow police officers inside the venue."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Due to call demand during the shift and low policing numbers it was inappropriate to enter the premises to seize the equipment and close the event, but he deployed officers to conduct regular visits to the venue, where number at their peek where 500 but reported to be quite and peaceful."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not involved in any event or Anti Social Behaviour on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014, he only went to drop some keys off to a friend that he had a call from due to him leaving his keys at his address the last time he was there and his friend needed them back."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Local authority noise team were contacted reference T548832. The event was expected to run until 0700hrs on Saturday 7th June, with plans for the event to continue again later in the evening on the 7th June. During the course of the shift we received a total of calls from local residence complaining about the noise of the rave."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "On Saturday 7th June 2014 I was again on duty in full uniform working as the Borough's Duty Officer for the hours of 2200hrs to 0700hrs, as with the previous evening I was made aware again of a Rave at an empty Warehouse of Progress Way. As with the previous evening, I have posted officers to make regular visits to the venue to access numbers, crowd dynamics and general intelligence around the event.

During the course of the number numbers at the event were around 300.

At 02:00hrs I have attended the venue with A/PS Miles and two environmental officers.

The entrance to the venue was located off progress way, down the side off "Tops Tiles". The warehouse was at the bottom of this side road behind a metal gate, the gate padlock had been removed and security officers were opening the gate to allow access. As Insp Hamill and A/PS Miles and the EO have approached the gate they have closed the gate preventing us access."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that for Inspector Hamill: To be able to state the gate padlock had been removed." By this statement made Inspector Hamill was this close to pay this much attention to such an object as a pad lock on the gate, he then states a security officer was opening the gate to allow access. Followed by they have closed the gate, with so many people walking in and out of such numbers of 300 people in attendance Mr Simon Cordell will state that he believes the inspector see Mr Simon Cordell and took his own believes.

A/In s p Hamill 201566 "I have introduced myself and asked to speak with the event organisers, to which a member of staff has disappeared into the venue and returned with a male who I would describe as light skinned black male, Approximate age of 35, wearing a white long sleeved t-shirt, grey bottoms. I recognized this male as Simon Cordell.

Inspector Hamill introduced him self and asked if we could speak at the bottom of the' road where the noise levels would allow us to talk. We have all moved to the bottom of Progress Way where I have introduced myself and explained the purpose of the visit and asked "It's Simon isn't it?" to which he has replied "Yes" I have then further asked "Simon Cordell" to which he has indicted that it was but not verbally confirmed the answer. I have introduced the two EO's the Simon who have explained the purpose of their visit and the fact that they were going to severe a noise abatement order, they have produced the paperwork and asked the male for his name to which he has refused to provide his details, It was explained that without the name of a person from the venue the EO's are unable to serve the

paperwork. As we have been unable to progress this line of action, I have made the request to Simon Cordell to turn the music down."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that Inspector Hamill: States he approached the gate and spoke to security, but the police are not sure if they are security, the day before the people called themselves as volunteers. The case is the police did not know who they was they could have been security/volunteers or organisers. The police only believed Tyrone Benjamin and Simon Cordell could have been the organisers, which is not the case.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that Inspector Hamill: Asked to speak to an organiser and has said that a member of said staff disappeared into the occupied building. For a male Inspector Hamill recognised to be Simon Cordell to approach him. This could not have been the case as, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not in the building and that he was walking up to the building when he was approach by Inspector Hamill and others.

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does remember this day 08th June 2014 a friend who had stayed at his who had forgotten to take his set of keys with him, when he left his flat prior to the 06th and 07th 8th June 2014.

He will state that his friend had contacted him and told him that he need his keys back and wanted him to meet him at progress way were he had been residing and asked Mr Simon Cordell to drop the keys to him.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was given a post code and had never been to this location before. That he travelled by car and parked outside a company that he remembers to be tops tiles, as he approached he could hear music, after finding the address given to him he had to walk down a side ally leading to the front gates to be seen by a man he now know to be Inspector Hamill from the statement provided he asked him his name to which mr Simon Cordell will state that he gave no reply to his question.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that as he see the police leave the people on the gate he was already chatting to him and asked Mr Simon Cordell to follow him to the road side which he did, at no time did Mr Simon Cordell talk to any police officers or any other person(s) as he felt he had not done any think wrong and new how the police was with him and he just did not want any problems.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was the police officer was with other people, who Mr Simon Cordell now know to be environmental officers due to the statements. he remember feeling like he was being accused of being an organiser by the way in which the police officer was talking to him.

This is the reason he did not want to talk to the police as he new how they was with him from over many years of being harassed by the police.

UP TO HERE SO FAR 03:58 09/02/2016

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he just wanted to leave so he decided at this point to cross the road to the local petrol station and call his friend to come out side to give him his keys back.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no time did he speak to police and give any details and did not take any paper work from anyone, the police did not follow him across the road to the petrol station where he called his friend to come and get his keys.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did notice sound coming from said occupied building and at this point in time he would like every one to make a note that he did not hire any sound equipment or any other form of equipment or neither was he involved in the organisation of any events on dates of the 6th 7th or the 8th June 2014.

He then gave his friend their keys and headed home, at no point did Mr Simon Cordell except any paper work of any person nor did he give his name or personal details to any other body, for his personal details to be on any official piece of paper.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like to ask for said paperwork Noise abatement order" A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill: Asked "It's Simon isn't it?" to which he replied "yes he then further asked "Simon Cordell" to which he has indicated that it was but not verbally confirmed the answer."

Iam up to here now 11:12 09/02/16

"As stated above at no point did Mr Cordell speak to any police office to give his name and does not under stand how he could have done so in a none verbally manner as he did not shake his head or shake the police offices hand to indicate this to be true. Police states that MR Simon Cordell replied yes than states but would not verbally confirm the answer"

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill: To which Mr Simon Cordell refused to provide his details."
"He further verifies I did not in fact speak to him"

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill: It was explained that without the name of a person from the venue the EO's are unable to serve the paperwork. As we have been unable to progress this line of action."

The police see me walking up to the front gates from pc Shinick statements time stamped 01:59 7th June 14 but this was on the 8th June 2014 and knows that Mr Simon Cordell was in attendance with Inspector Hamill at 02:00 hours on the 8th June 2014 and that Mr Simon Cordell walked back to were his car was parked on the Great Cambridge Road Enfield, if Mr Simon Cordell had walked into the building it would have been in there notes. The police also understand that this party had been going on since the 6th June 2014.

And know that Mr Simon Cordell did not speak to any one as said in police witness statement, so how could he have accepted any form of paper work as no one new his details.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill states: I have made the request to Simon Cordell to turn the music down."

"I had left the grounds and waited in the petrol station for my friend to come out of progress way to me next to the petrol station and get his keys, then left and went home."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill states: During the course of the 8th of June 2014 we had approximately 40 calls complaining about the noise."

"I would like to make note that I only attended on the 08th for about 30 mins max and left to go home. The Cads that have been provided are contaminated with cads such as 1046 progress way and 32 crown rd, the same as CAD 2456 both the 6th 7th 8th June 2014, and are the main two cads representing the opening to all the CAD numbers Linked.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill states: During the course of the shift police had contact with several groups that had been attending at the Rave all of which where extremely intoxicated and there behaviour had clearly been using drugs which they all confirm they had used but on police contact did not have any drugs on them."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he travel with any of the said people, nor did he invite them to any place or attended to supply any equipment or source of entertainment for them or any drinks or drugs."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill states: as officers were not permitted access into the venue it is unknown the extent of drugs and alcohol abuse which may or may not have taken place within."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that police say that no officers were allowed in the building yet police officer A/PS Charles Miles 724YE says people allowed him to enter but you have not been told nothing about this, in your reports from the police officers."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill states: A call from CAD 2410 of the 8th June received at 05:35hrs stated that drug's were openly being sold."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not go in to the occupied building neither does he sell drugs or advise or in courage any other person to do so"

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "At 03:10hrs on the 8th June PS 92YE noticed a male from the roof of the adjoining building to the venue. The venue backs onto that of the police parade site which did mean as officers entre and left the premises they had a full and unobstructed view of the rear of the rave premises, officers have attended the venue, however the male had already come down of the roof. Staff where given advice as to ensuring that people do not get onto the roof again."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had no involvement in this said event on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 and does not feel that he should be held responsible."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "As noted the police arrived at 03:10hrs to deal with the matter of a person on a near by roof of the occupied building located in progress way, however the male had already come down from the roof.

"Staff occupying another building was notified.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time, would he have been notified as he was not in attendance on the 6th 7th June 2014."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states" at 05:04hrs CAD 2290 8th June 2014 police were called to a male assaulted in the street. Officers and LAS have attended the location of wood Grange Avenue, were the male had injuries of suspected broken wrist and a bloody mouth, he initially stated that he had been attacked from behind but on investigation it transpired that this male had been one of the people seen on the roof earlier and had fallen whilst getting down."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he finds a time laps in the statements made by police PS 92YE attended at 03:10hrs and noted the boy had come down from a roof in Wood Grange Avenue the rear of Progress Way and then Police spoke to staff at progress way.

But CAD number 2290 8th June at 05:04 states the same boy is in wood Grange Avenue again and made a 999 call making a claim of assault 01:54 mins after and is believed to be the man fallen of the roof at 03:10 who was seen getting down safely and police state that they can see the roof top clearly from there police service centre.

It is also noted A/PS CHARLES MILES 724YE "statement at approximately 06:30Hrs we received a call to nearby Woodgrange Gardens, to reports of a male assaulted. Following an initial investigation this individual matched the description of a male earlier observed on the warehouse roof. It appeared that he had fallen off of the roof and into some bushes and his injuries were consistent with a fall from height. He was heavily under the influence of alcohol and quite probably illegal drugs. He went to North Middlesex Hospital with the London Ambulance Service."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that these times do not match up as in A/Insp Hamill 201566 statement he says he sent someone to the attack at 05:04 CAD 2290 and in A/PS CHARLES MILES 724YE statement he said the call did not happen until 06:30Hrs.

Was it 5 or 6 hundred hours or at 1 hundred hours and if it was at 100 hours why did police leave him to go and speak to people at the gate of progress way, if his injuries were so server he had to go to the hospital at 5 Or 6 hundred hours?

Mr Simon Cordell will state that the police already have on there systems, the persons name they were in contact with leading up to this. The public Order Unit at Scotland Yard would hold the information. And also the police in Essex would have information.

It has taken Mr Cordell and his mother months to gather information in regards to the dates in this ASBO application, and he feels that the police already hold the information that he is being accused off. This has come at a time when he was very unstable in his health due to the police actions toward him self over many years, and also the lost of his Nan's life which no one should have had to deal with in the manner she passed away, when Mr Simon Cordell should have been taking time with his family and trying to deal with his own health, while dealing with his brothers health, and personal family problems.

BOOK 10

WITNESS STATEMENT

Book 8

Statement taken by PC Donald Mcmikan

Dated 14 August 2014.

In regards to dates: 6th 7th 8th June 2014

This statement refers to an illegal rave which took place between 6th June and 8th June 2014 on the industrial Estate near Woodgrange Avenue.

On Thursday 14th August 2014, I spoke with a resident who lives in Woodgrange Avenue, Enfield. The resident is an elderly female and both she and her husband are retired.

She has stated that on Saturday 7th June 2014, she contacted Police regarding a rave that was happening on the industrial estate close to her home address. Her reason for contacting Police was because the music noise was horrendously loud and this was disturbing their peace and had been going on for sometime. She states that both her and her husband were extremely distressed about this whole incident because something similar had happened in the past.

She states that lots of youths had been jumping over fences and she was very concerned and frightened about this and feared that something would happen to them or one of their neighbours. 'This made them both extremely anxious, nervous and made them worry.

This lady is worried that an incident like this could happen again. She did not want to provide Police with a direct statement as she is frightened that the organizers could trace where they live and make their lives even more of a misery.

She is extremely concerned that something like this may happen again in the future. I Simon Cordell State:

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

He will state that he did not organize any events within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he at no point of time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

At no point has Mr Simon Cordell committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not supply any equipment on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014.

• **BOOK 11**
WITNESS STATEMENT

Book 9

Statement made by: PC Donald Mcillen 759YE

Police officer

Dated:14 August 2014

Referring from phone caller taken.6th 7th 8th June 2014

Regards Unit 6 Progress way

Victim off statement is to remain anonymous

This statement refers to an illegal Rave which took place 6th 7th 8th June 2014. On Thursday 14th August 2014 police spoke to a resident in Woodgrange Avenue N9 who wished not to be named and remain anonymous.

The resident stated that the rave/Party at Progress Way started on the Friday 6th of June and ended on the Sunday 8th June 2014.

He stated that he and his wife had contacted the Police numerous times regarding the level of noise. This was so loud that he and his wife had to go and sleep in a different part of the house.

He mentioned that an Ambulance had to attend an incident that happened in the street, apparently someone had fallen off a roof and the ambulance could not gain access. The ambulance men had to attend on foot.

He states that he had discussions with local neighbors during that weekend, who stated that youths had been climbing over fences, and causing damage to the fences.

He stated that this whole incident caused both him and his wife a great deal of distress over this particular weekend.

I Simon Cordell State:

At no point did I take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

I did not organize any events within this ASBO application.

At no time did I encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he at no point of time did he committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not supply any equipment on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014.

• **BOOK 12**
Douglas Skinner Duty officer

Statement made dated 15/08/2014

States, On Saturday 19th July 2014 he was on active duty as a officer for Enfield borough.

At 2210hrs

Douglas Skinner made his first statement 29 days after the 7th June, and has made additions to his statements 3 months 4 days after. In total 4 month 5 days ===70 days after said incident.

Douglas Skinner states: 20 people pulling into an estate, the information thought was the 20 people were tried to set up a rave.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he at no point of time, was he one of the 20 people talked about.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not take part in organising any event on the 19th 20th July 2014 or supply equipment and did not attend the occupied premises to rave. In fact he was pulled over as he see a friend being detained out side carpet right and at this time he had been helping with food and washing cloths for homeless people.

The CAD number of the call that came in referred to in his statement to 20 people pulling into an estate, the caller states 20 males and females are all white people and the address are listed in the CAD, with names and DVLA records of vehicles.

Doglas Skinner states: The crowd was by an empty building called carpet right and had gained entry to the rear premises.

If the building had not been occupied under section 144 LASPO the 20 people seen and contained in the premises would have been arrested for trespassing or burglary and was not in fact arrested. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was arrested out side the old carpet right and had taking no part in any activity that happened in the premises, of the old carpet right 198 Great Cambridge Road Enfield Town Enl Luj.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point was he one of the people or vans referred to on the land of carpet right or was he attending a rave, neither was he acting in an Anti Social Manner."

Doglas Skinner states: Sent officers to the scene to stop any one else gaining entry to the premises. "

This was the 1st set of officers sent to The old carpet right 198 Great Cambridge Road Enfield Town Enl luj

Doglas Skinner: Made his way to the scene.

"2nd set of officer's who attended the scene was Doglas Skinner Duty officer."

Doglas Skinner states: There was a metal gate across the entry to the car park but this had a thick chain and a padlock around it so that it could not be opened.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time had he been to this location before the date in question and he did not put any lock chain or padlock on any gate and at no point of time did he instruct any person to do so.

Doglas Skinner states: He walked around to the rear of the premises where there were several vehicles and about 15 persons.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time was he one of the 15 people or vehicles being mentioned in this Doglas Skinner statement"

Doglas Skinner states: I saw a large black box which had sound speakers and sound system inside them.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he hire any sound equipment to any body on the 19th 8 2014 neither did take part in any event organized on the 19th 8 2014."

Doglas Skinner states: I received a call from our control room stating they believed up to 100 people were going to arrive at south bury road train station to attended a rave at this location. As a result to this intelligence I believed that the premises were going to be used for a rave.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state please take note to the statement above being paragraph one dated 15/8/2014 of witness statement by Doglas Skinner now please take note to witness statement Doglas Skinner dated 15/8/2014 paragraph three,

"Dugles skinner I explained to him, him referring to Mr Simon Cordell that police were expecting 100 plus people to turn up at Southbury road were the rave was happening" While speaking out side Carpet right.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like to know the CAD number and to receive the transcripts of the call made of intelligence of 100 people attending."

Doglas Skinner states: I saw a male I knew to be Simon Cordell who came out of the building.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he go on the land or in the premises related to Carpet Right."As stated by Doglus skinner the police had contained all occupiers and sound system and vehicles on the land and in the premises, as well as having police officers at the front gates stopping people gaining entry to the premises otherwise mentioned in statements as the old carpet right along the a10.

"take note to the statement above being in paragraph two dated 15/8/2014 of witness statement by Doglas Skinner now please take note to witness statement Doglas Skinner dated 15/8/2014 paragraph

three, " Out side carpet Right I spoke to Cordell " This is right I did speak to Inspector Doglus out side the old carpet right 198 Great Cambridge Road Enfield Town EN1 1 UJ.

Doglas Skinner: He admitted that he was just organising a party for some friends and that was all. Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he organize this party as he felt that he was being accused of doing and at no point would he have said that he did do so, as he had just stopped to help a friend who he see getting detained by the police and at no point from his arrival was any person permitted by police to go on the land.

Doglas Skinner states: I explained to him I was holding him responsible, Him referring to Simon Cordell.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he at no point time should get held responsible for any offence that he has not committed.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not involved in organising or hiring any equipment on the 19th 8 2014.

He will state that he approached carpet right when the police had it contained, stopping access to any Person's other than police officers gaining entry.

He was not one of the 20 people being accused of looking for venues in paragraph one dated 15/8/2014 in witness statement made by Doglas Skinner as his name would have been noted in police books as every one else's on the land should have been.

He was not any of the accused people on the land or in the building as Doglas Skinner approached the rear of carpet right.

Doglas Skinner states: Simon Cordell was arrested and detained.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he continued to try and state his point that he had nothing to do with the event.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he believes, that it was unjustified that he had been detained and only him self not even the sound system on the land. As stated in the statement provided police officers had people detained in the land and building. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he approached carpet right after the problem had been contained by 2nd set of officer's.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he Police offices as well as his closer friends who he see being detained Named Nash Tate who is willing to come to court, see him walking down the foot to his aid of friends at Carpet Right. After he parked his vehicle in the car park, which belongs to a company called magnet three company's down from carpet right premises.

Mr Simon
Cordell will

state that he was on a pubic foot path, as he approached the officer and his friends, who were being detained and that he never had any sound system or equipment and at no point was he involved in the supply of equipment or organisation of any event 19th July 2014. The premises was contained by the police stopping entry in and out as stated in the statements at no point did he attempted or did Mr Simon Cordell agree to take part in any event on the 19th June 2014.

- **BOOK 13 missing**

- **BOOK 14**

Statement of Jason Ames

Police office 206011

Statement made 15/08/2014

Referring to date 09 August 2014

Millmarsh Lane

Officer Jason Ames States: on the 9th August 2014 he was driving a marked police car in the company of A/INSP King at 2221 hours.

Officer Jason Ames States: they were informed of CAD 9717 which relates to intelligence received that states there was likely to be an illegal open air rave.

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he attending the occupied premises to which he had been to before to visit a friends, who were living and residing on the premises at Millmarsh lane in an occupied building

and out back tents, who are an occupation, which is a collective of people. He understands that they had been treating the premises as their home since 16/05/2014, on the 15/02/2015 Mr Simon Cordell will state that he remembers this day clearly as he had been invited to a friend's private birthday party who live on the private self contained land in question along Millmarsh Lane.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he requests to see all information in regards to CAD 9717 as he believes this may contain evidence of his innocents in the events in question.

Officer Jason Aims States: The intelligence received stated that there was likely to be an open Air rave. Mill Mars Lane is 20,000 Square feet self contained land with 4 large commercial premises within. I have provided evidence supporting this and this location is in fact in (Private Air) as well as in (Open Air) and was being lived in as accepted by police Under section 144 LASPO or Trespass would have taken place.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he cause Anti Social Behaviour on this date"

I did not not organize or take part in an illegal open air rave, that was likely to take place, as stated by way of being accused in Officer Jason Aim's statements. The occupier's who was living on the land were treating the premises as there home and was in private Air. The occupiers were living in accordance to the law, living in tents and the occupied attached building on the land.

The term open air rave was used by Jason aims, on stead of on land in open air while attached to (Private Air) as defined by section 63 CDA, to which is a mistake as it was in private air on land.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not arrested for any criminal offence or neither did any person take civil action against him self as he did not cause any Anti Social Behaviour.

Officer Jason Ames States the key elements are present for a rave, he accused occupiers.

It could not be possible to create an illegal rave especially with no power supply being present.

Officer Jason Ames States: The intelligence received stated that there was likely to be an illegal open air rave.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he organize or take part in a illegal open air rave that was likely to take place, as stated by way of being accused in Officer Jason Ames Statements. The occupiers living on the land were treating it as there home to his knowledge from doing research in effect to this case the land and therefore the buildings on the land are private, counselled and contained by way of security gates from the general public. Occupiers were living under section 144 Laspo and treating the premises as there home.

The closest/house to the occupied site is 1 mile/away.

Officer Jason Ames States: He attended Millmarsh Lane at 2232 hours.

Officer Jason Ames States: He could see small pockets of young people walking east along Millmarsh lane. " Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time was he one of the people in question or did he organize the accused rave of being. He was invited to a birthday party as noted in the statement within this application made by Aaron King Dated 15/08/2014 Of the officer stating it was a birthday party, Which as stated by Mr Simon Cordell "He was invited to"

On the 9th august 2014 Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not encouraged or neither did he invite other people or take part in actions that may have led to a open air rave in the region of Millmarsh Lane. Or does he no the people referred to.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he take part in any organisation or

supplying of equipment towards any rave on the 09/08/2014.

Officer Jason Ames States: We worked out these youths were making there way to an open air rave. Mr Simon Cordell will state that this was a private birthday party to which he was invited and never believed to be a illegal rave until police notified him that the key elements were believed to be in place and stopped the private birthday party to which he had been invited to, this was on private land contained by security gates to the premises.

Officer Jason Ames States: This area appeared to be the ground on which a building used to stand.

"There was an occupied building at the rear of the land. The land in question is a forecourt to the occupied building."

Officer Jason Ames States: It was fenced off and the front gates were chained shut with a motorcycle chain and padlock.

Officer Jason Ames States: He could here music coming from the venue.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that no sound could be played as there was no power, "The land was fenced off and the front, gates were chained shut with a motorcycle chain and padlock as in police statement

made by Aaron King dated 15/08/2014 referring to the 9th August 2014 " I explained to Mr Cordell that he needed to come on the site to see what was going on for all he new he could be damaging it or steeling from it. Mr Simon Cordell state at this time the occupiers of the land was present and had been from the start of police arrival and he was a guest as explained on the 9th August 2014. Aaron King states: Eventually after promising he would not remove anyone squatting/ occupying the land that were treating it as there home under a section 144 Laspo. Aaron King and PC Ames could come in if they also treated it as the occupiers of the land do, as there private home of residence, as noted in statements provided there was no power or generator present to the self contained private Land and premises. Any amplified music on the 9th June was coming from the next door premises in fact from a car.

Officer Jason Ames States: I could see small numbers inside and a couple of tents.

Officer Jason Ames States: We exited our vehicle and approached the gates in order to speak with the organizer.

Officer Jason Ames States: Manning the gate was a mixed race man I know to be Simon Cordell.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he remembers this day very clearly and what happened. It was a Saturday and he had been looking forward to this day as he was visiting a friend of his, at were he was living, Mr Cordell latter found out it was one of his friend birthday and they were having a get together of friends and family. As he attend the premises in question on the 9th august it was about 8pm. he stayed and had some birthday cake and dinner, until the point of police arrival when in fact he was sitting in a car Index MA57LDY 200 yards from the gates within the self contained land, he remembers this because as he arrived because he had been invited the gates were unlocked as his vehicle and him self gained access as a visitor, by the occupiers of the land.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that as stated he had been invited to attend a friend's birthday party not a illegal rave by a man who lived at Millmarsh Lane.

Officer Jason Ames States: I was aware of a lot of intelligence on our indices that suggests Cordell is known to be the organizer of most of the raves that have been happening in the Enfield area.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has never been arrested and charged and feels that this is slander of definition of character, and for such here say to be admissible as court evidence or reference of character is criminal and unjustified, no weight should be taken.

Officer Jason Ames States: We asked if we could come in to the venue and speak to him. Cordell refused initially starting that there was no rave.

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was just a visitor and had no right with out consent of the occupiers to unlock the gate, at no point did he have the key to the gate, To which the occupiers use to unlock the gates to allow access for the police to come in.

Officer Jason Ames States: He stated that it was a private "conference."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did say he had also gone to have a conference with his friends in regards to get the empty co2 gas cylinders he was carrying to be re filled as well as to attend to see his friends.

Officer Jason Ames States: He stated that there have been a few people camping on the land as they had no were to go. The people were in fact the occupiers of the land and building on the premises, who were at the gate on police arrival.

Officer Jason Ames States: He stated that they are having a few friends over for a private party.

Officer Jason Ames States: After persuasion Cordell allowed A/Insp King to gain entry to survey the area.

Officer Jason Ames States: Inside he could see around 20-30 people milling around, 2 small tents, a large set of speakers and sound system and a supply of bottled water. AT no point did I take part or organise a birthday party or a illegal rave or bring any equipment leading to a large sound system on said premises as it would not fit in my car Index MA57LDY a ford focus as mentioned in police statement for me to be driving on the 9th June 2014.

Officer Jason Ames States: Cordell was informed that the rave was going to be closed down and despite a slight resistance to this by him trying to quote legislation to us he agreed to pack up and leave. Yes when asked to leave by police.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did get into index Ma57Ldy and go home to his flat 109 Burncroft avenue Enfield to be he lives and reside every night.

Officer Jason Ames States: Cordell was informed that the rave was going to be closed down and despite a slight resistance to this by him trying to quote legislation to us he agreed to pack up and leave. "At no point would he go against police directions"

Officer Jason Ames States: He was reluctant but co-operated at this stage.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point would he go against police directions"

Officer Jason Ames States: The venue had more or less emptied but the organisers were still packing their equipment away.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he have any equipment in fact by this time he had left to go home but got detained by way of a police road block at the top of Millmarsh avenue soon to be realized with other members of the public.

Officer Jason Ames States: Approximately 100 people arrived in Millmarsh Lane at the same time.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that as stated at no point of time did he take part in organising any event on the 9th June 2014 he did in fact travel alone to attend a friends birthday party not an illegal rave as he is being accused of and at the point mentioned did he meet any of the people in question out of the 100 people or advise them to attend.

Officer Jason Ames States: This appeared odd to me that so many people turned up all at once.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that as stated above he was just attending a friend's birthday party not a illegal rave as suspected of it being.

Officer Jason Ames States: The crowd appeared to be angry at the fact that police had interrupted their evening and were shouting and advancing at officers.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did go to Millmarsh lane driving index MA57LDY in a silver ford focus on his own to attend a friends Birthday party. He has been to Millmarsh Lane before the date in question. His reason for this is he had been invited to do so at any time. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had been invited to a birthday party at no point was he attending a illegal rave, neither at any point did he take part in the organisation of this birthday party or supply any equipment and that he was present only as a civil citizen up holding the UK Law.

Officer Jason Ames States: One of the group shouted lets just storm it."

Officer Jason Ames States: Cordell appeared to have realized that this crowd was in attendance and half emerged from the venue and appeared to be encouraging the crowd to act up and try to false their way into the site.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point would he knowingly encourage such behaviour as to in danger others, as this is not who he is, so the believe that he appeared to take actions, such as stated that he would in fact in danger life's of others would not be true to it statement' of facts.

Officer Jason Ames States: Officer Jason Ames States: there were also reports of missiles being thrown at officers.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that as stated above he travelled alone and was in attendance as a visitor of a friends birthday party and no point of time on the 9 /8/2014 did he take part in the hiring of any equipment or organisation of an open air rave as stated, or did he have any influence or encourage any others to any events that occurred on the 9th June 2014

Officer Jason Ames States: A male and a female that was present did not back down and leave, they were arrested by officers.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not know who the people are that officer Jason Ames refers to as the male and female, who got arrested neither did he have any involvement in the events leading to their arrest.

Officer Jason Ames States:

The events from the 9th June 2014 have a negative impact on Enfield Borough and a strain on police forces across London's 33 boroughs'.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did I cause any Anti social behaviour.

BOOK 15

Statement of AAaron King, Police officer PS 91YE,

Statement made 15/08/14,
Referring to 9th August 2014
Mill Marsh Lane

Officer AAaron King States: On Saturday 9th August 2014 I was on duty in full uniform posted as Acting Inspector. Shortly before 2230hrs I was informed via our GPC that Intel had been received via social media that there was going to be a large illegal rave somewhere in the region of Millmarsh Lane, Enfield, EN3. I was advised that this was being advertised on Face book by "Every Decibel Matters" who run unlicensed events.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he understands that the information received by police via social media, was that there was going to be a large illegal rave, this was said to be some where in the region of Mill Marsh Lane, Enfield En3. This intelligence was passed to police Intel Unit public order team, who had been in contact with the director of Every Decibel matters, prior to the information being passed on to AAaron King, police had attended a location and had spoken to members of the public in regards to the private birthday party to which Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not present, it then got stopped and moved to the location to where he was at to no arrangement of his own. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is not a director of this company; neither was he working for the company name every Decibel Matters on this date.

Officer AAaron King States: At this time I was in company with Ps Ames 123YE and we made our way to the location. On route, I informed the control room of what was potentially occurring and accepted the offer; from some units to attend the location to assist me. On arrival in Millmarsh" Lane it was obvious that something was about to happen. There were a number, of groups of teenagers who were milling around clearly looking for something.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not one of the people in question; neither did he take part in any Anti social behaviour, organising or should he be accountable for other peoples actions..

Officer AAaron King States: After a brief search I noticed two metal gates next to the Greggs Factory which suddenly closed as we passed them. We stopped and I got out and approached the gates. Although dark, street lighting was on and I could see a male was using a chain and lock to secure the gates.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not the person locking the gate and he did not have a key as he was not an occupier of the land and that he was just a visitor. Who was sitting in his car Ma57ldy parked next to the gates as the police arrived.

He could hear music coming from further inside.

There was no power source and the music was coming from a car related to the same land in another ware house owned by the same land lord as the land connected to this incident being rented out.

Officer AAaron King States: Stood by the gate I immediately noticed an IC3 male who I know to be Simon CORDELL. I recognised Mr. Cordell as I have previously spoken to him recently at illegal raves where I have seen him setting up sound equipment and subsequently taking it away.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has nether been arrested or charged for illegal raves.

Officer AAaron King States: when confronted by Police...I explained to Mr. Cordell why we were there but he immediately denied it was a rave. Mr. Cordell stated first it was a private conference but then said it was a birthday party.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was there to have a conference with a friend who lived at the premises at the same time another occupier of the land agreed to have a friend's private birthday party at the location, to no involvement of his own.

Officer AAaron King States: When asked about permission to be there he stated friends were squatting on the land and they had said he could stay.

This is true.

Officer AAaron King States: I explained to Mr. Cordell that I needed to come onto the site to see what was going on as for all I knew he could be damaging it or stealing from it. Eventually after promising I would not remove anyone squatting and only myself and Pc Ames would come in, Mr. Cordell agreed that we could come in.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did get involved and speak to the police as they new him by name and had already chosen to involve him.

Officer AAaron King States: Near to the gate was a silver Ford Focus index MA57LDY which I knew was Mr. Cordell's, -The boot was open and I noticed it contained three large thin industrial gas bottles. From experience I knew this was likely to contain nitrous oxide which is currently used on the rave scene as a legal high. As we passed the car Mr. Cordell quickly lowered the boot. I queried Mr. Cordell about the gas and pointed out that it was on the news earlier how Nitrous oxide was dangerous and Mr. Cordell stated that the Government would probably ban it soon like everything else.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does remember talking to the police in regards to Nitrous Oxide but at no point did he cause any Anti Social Behaviour or was he breaking the Law.

Officer Aaron King States: Mr Cordell was polite and showed us around the site which appeared to be a large concreted area that was completely open to the air.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that this location was being occupied under section 144 and also has self contained warehouse on it, evidence supplied in case bundles this is not open to air land.

Officer Aaron King States: There was a large sound system to the rear which was amplified though I could not see any power source.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he this proves the fact that music could not have been made by any one spoken to by police.

Officer AAaron King States: There was a number of people wearing yellow hi-vis jackets who Mr. Cordell stated were first aiders and there was a pallet of water near to the sound system as well as a couple of tents closer to the gates.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that a female who had just past her first aid test, who is a occupier of the land was present, wearing a yellow hi vest jacket as it was cold and a

load of yellow hi - vest jackets had been donated and he does remember everybody

present talking about her doing so. "

Up to here so far 09/02/2016 13:32

Officer AAaron King States: I could see no obvious Toilet facilities nor shelter from what had been forecast as a stormy night. In Side the venue mostly just stood around in small groups were about 30 people, mostly teenagers.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that no police officer's walked into the part of the building being occupied were there was running water and toilets.

Officer AAaron King States: Mr Cordell stated he was an entrepreneur and was awaiting licenses from the council so that he would soon be legitimate.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was and still does intended to create a festival if this ASBO case stops darkening his name.

Officer AAaron King States: When I explained all the "ingredients" for a rave were present Mr. Cordell began to try and argue his point that it was not a rave and that it was a private party. I spoke at length with Mr. Cordell explaining the legal situation and how by definition this was a rave and that ultimately there were too few people present at the time to stop police and so on this occasion I could act and close the rave.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour neither did he organize or hire any equipment or was he attending a rave on the 9th June 2014 in regards to the allegations presented within the ASBO application, he did attended a friends birthday dinner party as a guest.

Officer AAaron King States: Whilst on an industrial estate it was my opinion that such was the proximity to local housing and my knowledge of the volume music is played and the duration it is played for, often throughout the weekend that a rave would constitute serious disruption.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that Google Earth shows the closest house to Mill Marsh Lane the premises in question, to be one mile from the closest house. **(Exhibit)**

Officer AAaron King States: Mr. Cordell was clearly not happy but did not want his equipment seized so agreed to start packing up the sound equipment.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that as noted by officers and officer AAaron King he was present in a ford focus and with three empty welding cylinders, so he could not have been carrying any sound equipment as this would not have fitted into his vehicle.

Officer AAaron King States: Whilst talking with Mr. Cordell there were small groups of teenagers arriving at the site and entering via a break in the fence, (the gates still being shut at this time). I got Ps Ames to get units to us to prevent further people trespassing on the land and to discourage people from attending the location and exited the venue to a wait.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he should not be accountable for other people's actions that he took no part in. For people to further be trespassing some one would have had to be arrested for trespass in the beginning, who is this person.

Officer AAaron King States: Mr. Cordell's exit with the sound equipment. Whilst waiting I radioed for the on-call Superintendent so I could get the various Rave legislation approved so that I could seize the sound equipment and enforce a rave cordon on Millmarsh Lane to prevent people entering.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that Inspector AAaron has been told this third party and he knows that he has stated the true facts in his statement's of truth, that Mr Simon Cordell was present in a car and would not able to carry such large sound equipment.

Officer AAaron King States: Whilst stood by the venue a number of people began leaving, most were laughing but the odd one was blaming police for stopping the event. Suddenly there was a huge number of mainly teenagers walking towards me from the direction of Mollison Avenue. Apparently this group had all arrived together from the nearby railway station. Straight away some of this group headed straight towards us saying they were going to storm the place. I had been joined by a few team officers and we advised them that the rave had been closed down and they would not be allowed to enter. There was some verbal confrontation but the large group which was up to 100 strong moved off round the corner with some overheard saying they would break in round the corner.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he take part in any one else's Anti Social Behaviour and he did not cause Anti social Behaviour.

Officer AAaron King States: As they began to move off Mr. Cordell stood by the break in the fence and shouted words to the effect of, "Come on, there is more of you".

And he quickly went up to Mr Cordell and told him to stop or he would arrest him to prevent a breach of the peace. At this Mr Cordell went back and stayed away.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time would he say this and he would never in danger another person's life in such a manner. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would never encourage activities that would lead to incitement of a riot, and as there

was more than 12 people present he know if this statement was true he would have been arrested under offences contrary to section's 5, 4A, 4, of the Criminal Justice Act 1967 and or section 91.

Officer AAaron King States: The large group did indeed try to get into adjoining premises that they thought led to the rave venue hut were stopped by officers and moved off back into Millmarsh lane, although one officer Pc Wale was injured- during a struggle. T requested the attendance of as many units as possible including dogs and TSG as the group were becoming more hostile towards officers despite there being no music now and being informed of the closure. A short while later officers I had positioned at the junction radioed that there was now an even bigger crowd advancing on them. I arrived at the junction to see a very large number of people, now upto 200 walking with purpose towards officers stood in the road. Suddenly objects began to get thrown from the crowd towards police. I saw traffic cones, cone lights, bottles and stones begin to land near Officers so that they had to quickly move out of the way. I again heard phrases similar to "storm them". Fearing imminent violence I drew and extended my baton as did my colleagues. I could hear shouts of "get back" but the crowd continued to throw items, some of which were landing on cars that had been temporarily stopped due to the group. We had been joined by two dog units who took the lead in dispersing the crowd. At this point there were two arrests to my left and along with the dogs this seemed to make the crowd withdraw. I told my officers and the dogs not to follow the crowd as they were now by the train station with nowhere to go as the barriers were down. There was a tense stand off for some time but the group eventually got onto trains and left the area.

Officer AAaron King says I could hear shouts;

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not the person shouting or causing any Anti Social Behaviour neither did he take part in the organisation of the private birthday party.

Officer AAaron King States: I was informed by another unit that Mr Cordell had also left with his equipment.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that this proves police were told third party, but all ready new Mr Simon Cordell was in his car that was full because he was carrying cylinder bottles in accordance to the law of The CARRIDGE OF DANGRESS GOODS CDG.

Officer AAaron King States: I tasked arriving TSG with local reassurance patrols but shortly after they started I was advised that most of the group were wandering around

near to Ponders End. I tasked TSG with following this group and was informed by their Inspector that their unmarked unit had overheard talk that the 'rave was now going to be South West of the original location.

I WAS NOT INVOLVED IN THE ORGANISATION OF ILLEGAL RAVES
NEITHER WAS I ARRESTED AND GIVEN THE RIGHT TO DEFEND MY
SELF.

I was aware that TSG subsequently saw Simon Cordell by the Crown lane Industrial Estate where he has held a rave before and had stopped the group from forcibly breaking into this location.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that this is two occupied buildings of 6 within a 2 mile radius, that were all being occupied in Enfield, within the same Local Borough that he has lived in and resided in since his Birth, and he does not think that it is right for police to say who he can and cannot have as friends or as associates.

Officer AAaron King States: Finally after close to three hours later, the group dispersed and I was informed that social media was indicating the rave would now be Epping Forest.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not go to Epping forest on this date.

Officer AAaron King States The whole incident took a vast number of resources to police and there were two arrests for drugs possession and two for drunk and disorderly behaviour. One officer was injured with a deep cut to his elbow requiring first aid by the Police FME and emergency calls whilst answered were subject to delay.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is sorry to hear that any police officers had been hurt and understand the offenders faced criminal prosecution for the offences they had caused.

BOOK 16

- **Statement of Aaron King**
Dated 07/09/2014

Further to his statement Dated 15/08/2014

Regarding Saturday 9th August 2014

AAaron King state's: Further to his statement Dated 15/08/2014 Regarding An illegal rave on Saturday 9th August 2014

The version of events declared in the statement of Aaron King Dated 07/09/2014 and 15/08/14 are both in correct and misleading to each other as pointed out;

Aaron King states: I could see a male was using a chain to lock and secure the gates. " Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point was he this person, as there was no reason for me to have a key as he was just a visitor."

"As Aaron king states I could see a male was using a chain and lock to secure the gates he then states, while stood at the gates i immediately noticed an ic3 male who I no to be Simon Cordell,

Mr Simon Cordell will state that to which is true as he was sitting in the car index MA57LDY parked close to the gates, when approached from the street, As noted by AAaron king Near to the gate was a silver Ford Focus index MA57LDY, which he new was Mr Cordell's. This statement was made 15/08/2014 seven days after the occurrence of accused events referred to on the 9th August 2014 then another statement was made to amendments of this statement dated 07/09/2014 stating they no it was Mr Simon Cordell locking the gate a mix ic3 male who they no to be him self. which is a contradiction of events that have been noted on two different dates by the same police officer leading to events within his and there witness statements, that Mr Simon Cordell is being accused in that should not justified towards an Asbo application and should not have no effect on him self by way off effecting his civil liberty's human rights or acting as a bad marker in his name of reference, to which he feel punished for and now in turn has effected his life.

AAaron King state's: I have been asked to clarify the role that Mr Simon Cordell had during the incident.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not see how any person can preserve his role off being an organizer, as he was only being helpful and polite and curites, in his friends place of residence towards the police, while being a invited visitor. It was his friends birthday and he had been invited for dinner. At no point did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, nor did he organize or hire any equipment and he was not in attending to a rave on the 9th June 2014.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did attend a friend's birthday dinner party as a guest.

Aaron King states: as a male quickly locked the gates upon apparently seeing my marked police vehicle. This male was Mr. Cordell

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he could not have locked the gates as he was only a guest and at no point in time had the keys to the lock on the gates.

Aaron King states: It was initially Mr. Cordell who said he could not entre and it was him who was very much in charge of deciding if police were going to be let in.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was asked by police if he would let them in to which he explained he was not the occupier and never had any keys. At this point in time one of the occupiers went of to get the keys and let the police in.

- **BOOK 17**
- **WITNESS STATEMENT**

Statement of PC Donald Mcmillan 759YE**Dated: 19th August 2014****Police officer****Unit 6 Progress Way**

Referring to 6th 7th 8th June 2014

This statement refers to an illegal rave which took place between 6th June and 8th June 2014 on the industrial Estate near Woodgrange Avenue.

On Thursday 14th August 2014, police spoke with a resident who lives in Woodgrange Avenue, Enfield. The resident is an elderly female and both she and her husband are retired.

She has stated that on Saturday 7th June 2014, she contacted Police regarding a rave that was happening on the industrial estate close to her home address. Her reason for contacting Police was because the music noise was horrendously loud and this was disturbing their peace and had been going on for sometime. She states that both her and her husband were extremely distressed about this whole incident because something similar had happened in the past.

She states that lots of youths had been jumping over fences and she was very concerned and frightened about this and feared that something would happen to them or one of their neighbours. This made them both extremely anxious, nervous and made them worry.

This lady is worried that an incident like this could happen again. She did not want to provide Police with a direct statement as she is frightened that the organizers could trace where they live and make their lives even more of a misery. She is extremely concerned that something like this may happen again in the future.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point in time did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

And he did not organize any events within this ASBO application.

At no time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time has he committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this Asbo application.

He will state that he did not supply any equipment on the 6th 7th / 8th June 2014

- **BOOK 18**
-WITNESS STATEMENT

Statement of Jhon Andrews**Police Officer****Dated: 19/08/2014**

Reference to 6th 7th 8th June 2014

Refers to an illegal Rave which took place between 6th June and 8th June 2014. On Thursday 14th August 2014, I spoke to a resident in WOODGRANGE AVENUE N9, who wished not to be named and remain anonymous.

The resident stated that the rave/Party at Progress Way started on the Friday 6th of June and ended on the Sunday 8th June 2014.

He stated that he and his wife had contacted the Police numerous times regarding the level of noise. This was so loud that he and his wife had to go and sleep in a different part of the house.

He mentioned that an Ambulance had to attend an incident that happened in the street, apparently someone had fallen off a roof and the ambulance could not gain access. The ambulance men had to attend on foot.

He states that he had discussions with local neighbours during that weekend, who stated that youths had been climbing over fences, and causing damage to the fences. He stated that this whole incident caused both him and his wife a great deal of distress over this particular weekend

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point in time did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not organize any events within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point in time has he committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this Asbo application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not supply any equipment on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014.

- **BOOK 19**

I am a resident living at Wood Grange Gardens and have lived at this address for 28 years. I am retired and live with my wife, who suffers from diccasion? As a result of the rave that took place at the warehouse, my wife and I have suffered as a result of my wife's conditions, As the noise is so bad that even low my house is double glassed the noise penetrates though the wall. On the last occasion we had people spilling out from the rave onto the Rd and they are very noisy. This is a lonely area but things change when the party is on.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not organize any events within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point has he been convicted or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not supply any equipment on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014.

- **BOOK 20**

Statement off: Eric Baker

Police Officer 219382

Dated 19/08/2014

He is a police officer in London Borough of Enfield and has been tasked to contact residents of the Borough who had called police to inform them of an illegal rave that took place over Friday 7th June 2014 and Saturday 8th June 2014, in a warehouse in Progress Way Enfield

On Tuesday 19th August 2014 I contacted the caller of the CAD 10471/07 June 2014 by telephone, who was happy to give an impact statement regarding how illegal rave effected her and her husband over the above dates mentioned.

The caller wishes to remain anonymous. I will refer to her as complainant "A" The original notes taken from the below statement are present in my pocket book serial 370/14, page 1.

Complainant "a" said it was a warm evening and we had to keep the windows shut because of the noise. The next day we could not even go out into the garden because of the noise. It kept me and my husband up all night, and made us very anxious the next day. The illegal rave totally ruined our weakened" This concluded what complainant 'A' said regarding this matter.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour and he did not organize or hire any equipment or was he attending a rave on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014th.

- **BOOK 21**
- **Statement:pc Edgoose**
- **Dated: 31st August 2014**

Alma Rd

Referring to: Thursday 24th July 2014

Officer Pc Edgoose States: On THURSDAY 24th JULY 2014 I was on duty in plain clothes as operator of an unmarked police vehicle in company with APS 212YE MARTIN, PC 151YE ROBERTSON, and PC 229YE O'NEILL. At around 1625 hours on Alma Road EN3 we had cause to stop a silver Ford Focus VRM MA57LDY due to the manner of its driving. The driver was a male I know to be Simon CORDELL dob21/01/1981.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has no disputes with reference to statement made by pc Edgoose above, apart from the manner to which MR Simon Cordell is being accused of driving.

Officer Pc Edgoose States: I know him as I have dealt with on a number of previous occasions. He was initially hostile about having been stopped, but once he had calmed down he engaged in conversation with us.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point was he acting in an Anti Social Manner

Officer Pc Edgoose States: He stated that he is staying out of trouble now, and he does not get involved in any of the things he used to.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has not caused any offence since he was much younger; and that he just gets accused and harassed by members of the metropolitan police a lot.

Officer Pc Edgoose States: He stated that he has 4 brand new speakers at home which are suitable for use at raves, but he does not use them and has offered to lend them to any "youngsters" to use.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had been on curfew for one year for a case he proved his innocents in and had been working hard in his Local community trying to make a positive effect towards his self and other that he could help, so he had been spending his time building his company and would not link him self to illegal raves,

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did say he had been getting his equipment ready and proposals for pickets lock and barley lands ready and had been in contact with both venues. Mr Simon Cordell will state that had also been working at his local community hall as well as Muswell Hill festival ponders end festival lock to lock festival and Enfield town festival and would have been talking about such on goings only and had been working with the youngsters from Kemp Hall Community Hall.

Officer Pc Edgoose States: He went on to say that they are not interested though as these days they just want to steal everything.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that the people he meet appreciated the work he was doing for them so he does not see why this would be said.

Officer Pc Edgoose States: He said he gets inundated with requests to run raves all the time, but he doesn't get involved now. He claims to have 20,000 followers on one social media site, and 70,000 on another. He said he could organize a rave and get 20,000 people at it with no problems whatsoever.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that the word Rave has been used and he does not see how this relates to the conversation on the day or his activities as he was talking about the hard work he had been committing him self to, constrictive legal work and for the term Rave to be used with out the key elements is an injustice, which if true would have lead to criminal convection, as the term illegal rave is of an illegal formality and his PNC Criminal Record and his other recollection of events in his life state otherwise. Mr Simon Cordell will state that did not cause any Anti social behaviour on this date in question.

Officer Pc Edgoose States: He gets requests from anarchist type groups to run raves for them.

Ile went on to say that he had been asked by Occupy London, Black Block and other anarchist type groups to run a rave at Notting Hill Carnival for them so that they could cause carnage and mayhem, but he had refused.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he disputes that he would say this as he knows that he is not black neither is he white. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is mixed race of British Nationality and that he has neither heard of a group called Black Block, neither would he promote verbally of such a group the same as he would not verbally promote such anarchist type groups such as the kkk because he has been created by both.

Officer Pc Edgoose States: Whilst on public order duty at Notting Hill Carnival I saw Mr. CORDELL walking through the area I was deployed around TAVISTOCK ROAD. He was pushing a wheelie bin, and he was approached by members of a group of around 10 - 20 people who had been waiting at a junction near our location. This group had been playing drum and bass music and had told officers they were heading to an event but were awaiting the location. It was somewhere between 2200 - 2300 hours when I had seen the group, and Mr. Cordell.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he cause any Anti Social Behaviour or Alarm harm or distress on the date in question.

- BOOK 22
Statement: Pc 577ye
Dated: 12th September 2014

109 Burncroft Avenue
Referring to: Friday 12th September 2014

On Friday 12th September 2014 I attended the address of Simon Cordell in Burncroft Avenue EN3 with A/PS 556YE PETRUCCI, PCSO NASSEER and PCSO TILLEY. I knocked on Simon Cordell's front door at 1230 hours and he opened the door and asked what we wanted; I asked him if he was Simon Cordell, to which he replied, "Yeah." I stated to him that I was here to issue him with a summons to attend Highbury Corner Magistrates Court on 6th October 2014 at 1:30pm. Mr. Cordell stated, "What is this for?" I informed him that it was for an ASBO; I showed him the summons and the folder and as I went to hand him the folder and the summons Cordell stated, "I am not accepting that, I'm not having that." Cordell then placed the folder on the floor, outside his door, in the hallway. I stated to him that he does not have to accept it and that I have already informed him of the date, time and where to go. Mr. Cordell then shut the door before I could hand him the summons, so I posted it through his letter box. Mr. Cordell was also told to inform his solicitor of this. Mr. Cordell was a light skinned, mixed race male, with short black hair and was of medium build.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that On this date he caused not Anti Social Behaviour that might lead to Harm Alarm or Distress to any other person.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he disputes the fact that he was served the Anti Social Folder Paper Bundle as it was not handed to him self at no point of time. (

Copt of Complaint Sent)

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this down for Simon Cordell to a incident that happen 12/09/2014 around the Time off around 12:00pm Of concern to all of many factors such as British Standards relevant to good business practice.

Human Rights, Laws protecting our community governed by the United Kingdom well as many other relevant factors. as of date prior explained in this chapter what happened leading up to events today at address. 109 Burncroft Avenue EN3 7JQ on the 12/09/2014

Mr. Simon Cordell was at home making plans for positive future development in regards to his company and future proposals as well as relevant documents and data, To the surprise of a knock on his front door, this was a surprise because he has no intercom and was expecting no visitors.

So with this all explained he was couscous to open the door as he approached the door with caution of un-expected visitors he looked into the keyhole on his front door, He could see it was the police through his keyhole. He asked them without opening the door what was wanted of him, they said they needed to talk to him. At this point Mr. Simon Cordell opened his door a little to see what the police wanted to talk to him about, once the door was opened a little they then said to him that they wanted to serve some documents on him at which point Mr. Simon Cordell replied he was not willing to accept anything and closed the door.

Upon closing his close he told the police he was not being rude but he was not willing to accept receipt of any documents due to him having learning difficulties as noted on the police national police system and other governing services, which he then heard the lady police officer say through the closed door I was again looking through the keyhole watching what the police officers was doing I heard the " Lady police office say what should we do to the man police officer said just put it on the floor in front of

the door and he took some letters from the lady police officer and posted them into my letter box"

The Man police officer posted 4 pages of papers in Mr. Simon Cordell letter box and the lady police officer put a large blue file on Mr. Simon Cordell front door step outside.

My son then called me and told me what had happened but due to a death in the family I was unable to attend his address until today the 13/09/2014 when I got to Mr. Simon Cordell address I saw the blue folder that the police had left at his front door which was in plan view of anyone. It had been opened and left opened so anyone could have looked into it.

i was shocked to see that inside the document there was full details of Mr. Simon Cordell and also other people names under the data protection act the police should have never left this folder outside Mr. Simon Cordell address which would give anyone access to it.

I am going to the police station to hand this back to them as it was never served on Mr. Simon Cordell and he will not accept it from the police. I am not sure if any papers are missing from the folder Cl. I said it 'was opened on the floor when got there.

I believe that the police when Mr. Simon Cordell did not accept the documents they should have took them back with them and arranged for signed delivery or tried to again serve them on Mr. Simon Cordell as the file is far to big to put into a letter box. This is also a complaint due to the data protection issues that the police could have avoided by not leaving the folder on a door step that anyone had access to. The folder would have never fitted in a letter box and I do not feel that the police putting 4 bits of paper in a letter box is serving anyone the full paper work which should have been done and not just left it on the door step for anyone to see and read and take data out of it if they so wished, this is a beach of the data protection act.

PNC PAGES 52 TO 62

UP TO HERE all police BOOKs are done THEN 13 THAT ARE MISSING BECAUSE OF MY COMPUTER YOU HAVE.

BOOK 13

Doglas Skinner made his first statement 29 days after the 7th June. and has made additions to his statements 3 months 4 days after. In total 4 month 5 days ==70 days after.

Take note to the three misleading facts Mr Simon Cordell has highlighted facts that he believe are of key relevance to his innocents in the ASBO application presented made by Doglas

Doglas Skinner:

Dated 09/09/2014

Addition to 15th /08/2014

Referring to 07th /June /2014

Doglas Skinner:

Has been asked to clarify how I know that Simon Cordell is an organizer of raves. "Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not no a Doglas Skinner, I do not no a Doglas Skinner."

And do not see how he can clarify that he is the organizer of illegal raves because this is not true, and at no point was he setting up a rave on 6th 7th 8th June 2014.

Doglas Skinner:

I have known of Simon Cordell for over 20 years.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not no a Doglas Skinner as stated:

Doglas Skinner will state he:

Was tasked to speak to the organizer to see how long it would be carrying on for.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that on the 6th June Inspector Hamill sent officers to the expected to be rave in the occupied building under section 144 Laspo, to see how long it would be carrying on for, to which police reported back that they spoke to organisers on the gate who were acting as security as well stating to be just volunteers police state who were quite forth coming with information. The police officer also state they see my younger brother and my self present, which at no point can be true for both me myself Simon Cordell and my brother Tyrone Benjamin on the 7th June 14,

On the 6th June me and a friend was in my flat 109 Burncroft Avenue. Around the time of the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 my brother Tyrone Benjamin was in a critical state of injury due to a road ATR on his motor cycle medical injury and could not have attended progress way, evidence has been request by my solicitor.

Inspector Hamill states that at no point did the police gain entry to the occupied building neither did he him self or any other officers dated the 6th 7th June 2014.

On the 7th June Inspector Charles 724ye states hae and Inspector Hamill attaned Progress way at 10:03pm to which stating in there statement presented within this ASBO application was in fact June 8th June 2014,

"while waiting for a female to get the organizer that Inspector Hamill and A/ps Charles were already talking to on the gate acting as security or volunteers as well, while waiting they noticed Mr Simon Cordell approaching progress way and asked him to walk back to the street the way he had just come from. Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he speak to any officers on the 7th June 2014, and on the 8th June 2014 no female ask him to speak to police as a organizer or supplier of sound equipment. Mr Simon Cordell will state that that he never attended a rave or caused any Anti social behaviour.

Doglas Skinner:

I waked to the location referring to premises in progress way and see a white van.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he drive into the occupied land under a section 144 Laspo, otherwise referred to as progress way on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 and he does not understand how any body can state other wise, as this would not be true or correct.

Doglas Skinner: In side this van was a male I no to be Cordell.

"If taken that Cordell is referred to myself as Simon Cordell I did not talk to any police on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 as stated in inspector Hamill statement made on the 06/08/2014 referring to the 7th June 2014."

Doglas Skinner: As I got closer to the van he got out and walked over towards me.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not get out of his van on the 7th 8th June 2014 and was not approach by pc Doglas Skinner Leading towards the premises in question on the 7th 8th June 2014 in progress way and does remember police officers and councillors officers walking towards him out side the gate as he was approaching and asked by police to walk the way leading back to were he had just come from back to the a10 great Cambridge road."

Doglas Skinner: On the 7th It was not Mr Simon Cordell as stated who shock his hand and said hello and talked to him about how he remembered him as a youngest over twenty years ago as he had already left.

Doglas Skinner:

END OF ALL POLICE AND PUBLIC WITNESS STATEMENTS

This document is only for Simon Cordell Solicitors to see as Simon is not a Solicitor and needs help to address what sections need to be placed in his updated statement and which parts will be used for his barrister at the appeal. This is a draft copy of what can be included to make a new updated statement and notes which the barrister will need to see.

Witness statement in pursuit of Civil Proceedings Ci Act 1967, s;9; Mc Act 1980, ss.5A(3) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1

Introduction:

- An ASBO order has been appealed against after the magistrates court, the decision had been made against Mr Simon Cordell, this was at Highbury Corner, Magistrates Court, on the 4th August 2015 in pursuant to s.1 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 this is to make him subject to an Anti Social behaviour order in order, for the Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis.
- The respondent’s case is that Our Client that we represent, has been accused of being integrally involved in the organisation of illegal raves in Enfield on the dates listed below that are in question by the applicant.

12/01/2013 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at Canary Wharf.

24/05/2013 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in looking for venues, to set up an illegal rave.

25/05/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at Unit 5, St George’s Industrial Estate, White Hart Lane, N17.

07/06/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty warehouse on progress way, Enfield.

20/06/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at 1 Falcon Park, Neasden Lane, NW10.

19/07/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at the Carpet Right Showroom on the A10 Great Cambridge Road, Enfield.

24/07/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell had admitted to police officers that he was the organiser for illegal raves.

27/07/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell had been involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty ware house on Millmarsh lane, Enfield.

09 - 10/08/2014 That Mr Simon Cordell was involved in the organisation of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty warehouse on

Millmarsh Lane, Enfield. The Defendant further actively sought to encourage a large group of people to breach the peace.

• **Reference to Pages 2 / 3**

The Defendant is prohibited from:

- A. Attending a rave as defined by s.63 of the criminal Justice and Public order Act 1994;
- B. Being concerned in the organization of a rave as defined by s.63 of the criminal Justice and Public order Act 1994;
- C. Knowingly using or supplying property, personal or otherwise, for use in a rave as defined by s.63 of the criminal Justice and public orders Act 1994;
- D. Entering or remaining in any disused or abandoned building;
- E. Entering or remaining on non residential private property on an industrial estate between the hours of 10pm and 7am without written permission from the owner and / or leaseholder of the property; and
- F. Engaging in any licensable activity in unlicensed premises;

Definition of Industrial buildings:

Industrial – This category ranges from smaller properties, often called "Flex" or "R&D" properties, to larger office service or office warehouse properties to the very large "big box" industrial properties. An important, defining characteristic of industrial space is Clear Height. Clear height is the actual height, to the bottom of the steel girders in the interior of the building. This might be 14 - 16 feet for smaller properties, and 40+ feet for larger properties. We also consider the type and number of docks that the property has. These can be Grade Level, where the parking lot and the warehouse floor are on the same level, to semi - dock height at 24 inches, which is the height of a pickup truck or delivery truck, or a full - dock at 48 inches which is semi - truck height. Some buildings may even have a Rail Spur for train cars to load and unload.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Commercial_property

Definition of Commercial buildings:

A commercial building is a building that is used for commercial use. Types can include office buildings, warehouses, or retail (i.e. convenience stores, 'big box' stores, shopping malls, etc.). In urban locations, a commercial building often combines functions, such as an office on levels 2-10, with retail on floor 1. Local authorities commonly maintain strict regulations on commercial zoning, and have the authority to designate any zoned area as such. A business must be located in a commercial area or area zoned at least partially for commerce.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Commercial_building

Under the above definitions of Industrial buildings and the Definition of Commercial buildings it is very clear Mr Simon Cordell has been limited with the conditions that have been imposed by the court and do not account for any person living a normal life or being able to live a normal life, with the conditions that have been set out in this ASBO order and without the conditions being defined clearly Mr Simon can not do many things within the whole of the UK,

Due to the definition, anything that has a warehouse to store goods would be classed as an Industrial this would include all large shopping stores as they have a warehouse attached to the back of them where they store goods for sale, also this would include hospitals, along with many other buildings.

So since 05/11/2014 Mr Cordell has stayed in his home and does not go out as he does not want to be in breach of this ASBO, His family are left to deal with making sure he has shopping and the things he needs because the way the conditions have not been defined he does not know what he can do and what he can not do as this was never defined.

These conditions relating to the ASBO application that have been bound upon Mr S Cordell are for the whole of the UK for 5 years.

When the skeleton bundle was updated most recently on 05/02/2016 the applicant supplied a book, this book is created by members of the Home Office, based within the United Kingdom and this book's nature is of such a guide to Anti - Social Behaviour Orders.

Please take note to page number (taking a strategic approach page 15) which clearly states:

“The more serious the behaviour, the greater the likelihood that the court will grant a geographically wide order. Orders that seek to operate in the whole of England and Wales will not be granted without evidence that that is the actual or potential geographical extent of the problem. Further detail about effective prohibitions is given in Chapter 7.”

To have that condition imposed of such a wide scale of areas, would be a breach of Mr Simon Cordell’s human rights, this is inclusive for any other person who might also be banned from the whole of the UK.

Mr Cordell has always lived in the London Borough of Enfield since his birth, his family also have lived in the same area all their life’s and so did Simon Nan and Granddad, Mr Cordell has never shown any intention of moving to a new area within the UK.

And it is the Application case Mr Simon Cordell has been accused of is contained within the Borough of North London Enfield namely but one accused incident.

The Judge when granting the conditions of the ASBO on Mr Simon Cordell did not address this in court, and made the order for the whole of the UK for 5 years.

It was said in court by my Barrister, that if Mr Cordell ever does need to go to a petrol station along a motor way or on a named industrial estate as many petrol station in fact are and he was to do so between the hours of 22:00 hours and 07:00 hours he would in fact be in breach of this ASBO, the judge replied and said well in that circumstance of an incident, he will be arrested and have to prove in the court that he was going to get petrol.

Also if he made a wrong turn when driving and turned into a non residential private property or into a industrial estate, that he would be in breach of this ASBO. Together Simon Barrister and Simon Including his mother, tried to ask questions about the conditions that have been imposed upon himself, Simple every day life moderately such as what if he needed to go and get milk from Tesco's or a shop and the judge said well he will be arrested, Simon cant even go to a large moderately of shop such as Tesco and many more similar new establishments between the hours of 22:00 hours and 07:00 hours, without being in breach of this ASBO. This is also shown in the above in the definition of Industrial buildings, and also the definition of Commercial buildings.

If Mr Simon Cordell was to go out for a night, were music would be played as stated in the skeleton argument, that has been provided by the applicant on page number (5 module 20), Many young people do go out to listen to music when in private air and do not need Local Authority permission as stated by the applicant, in today’s

modern society, as it is stated he would have to ask any owner to see there licensed to make sure when listen to music with less than 500 people, this should only be defined under section 63 of the crime and disorder Act, as in open Air or when Trespass has taken place.

Skeleton argument, that has been provided by the applicant on page number (5 module 18), I have never been spoken to by the police or anyone else about my behaviour, before this ASBO was served on me. I feel very upset by the words in this section as I feel that the police are trying to say they have spoken to me about problems they have included in this ASBO which is not the case.

Skeleton argument, that has been provided by the applicant on page number (5 module 19), I did not do the acts that the police have set out in this ASBO and I believe the police are well aware off this. We have said over and over in this case that the public order unit holds information to the real people who did what the police are saying I have done in this ASBO application.

No one wanted to define the conditions the applicant wanted to make this a life time ASBO and applied for the conditions on the day of trial but was denied by the Judge it was also said that after the 5 years, the applicant can apply to put a next 5 years in place because the judge would only allow the 5 years imposed and not the life time ASBO which covers the whole UK..

An Anti Social Behaviour Order should be given as the final resort, before an ASBO is considered to be put in placed on any person. Other methods should have been tried to as before the court proceedings in any ASBO application to aid in bringing about a solution depending on the offence that has been committed; this is especially in cases of unlicensed activities. These solutions should have included the possibility of mediation, warning letters and Acceptable Behaviour Contracts (ABC). An ABC is classed as a written agreement between any Known persistent offenders, to which Simon Cordell has never been arrested to any think of similar nature in fact the last time Mr Cordell was arrested, was in 2009. He has also never been spoken to by anyone about any concerns they had.

If illegal raves have not been proven which it was not the Judge said no illegality needed to be proven, then why do my conditions for the ASBO still define illegal raves?

Please see article from The World Wide Web at:

<http://researchbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/SN01889/SN01889.pdf>

What is stated in the PDF web linked above is typed below:

“Under the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994, the police have the power to stop raves. Until January 2004, these were defined as unlicensed open air gatherings of 100 or more people at which loud music is played during the night. New provisions introduced into the Anti-social Behaviour Act 2003, which came into effect in January 2004, reduced the number of people who constitute a rave from 100 to 20, and removed the requirement for the gathering to be in the open air. It also introduced an offence of attending another trespassory rave within 24 hours of a police direction, to stop people simply moving the rave to another place. There have been press reports of police in some areas holding back from using their powers for health and safety reasons, either because of the dangers of dispersing large crowds in the dark or because of other dangerous local conditions. However, there have also been reports of successful police action to control raves in particular areas. Gatherings for which an entertainment licence has been obtained are not counted as raves within the meaning of the legislation. However, there was some controversy about so-called licensed “raves” under provisions in the Licensing Act 2003 which came into force in November 2005. These allow people to get temporary event notices for gatherings of up to 499 people for events lasting up to four days. The licensed events could involve the sale of alcohol, and while the police have to review the application and object if they consider that crime and disorder would result, there is no mechanism for the general public to object. The Government is keeping this

area of law under review. These provisions would not apply to the kind of illegal raves covered by the 1994 Act, which by definition are unlicensed.”

As far as I know all locations contained within this ASBO application were in a place of fixed residence and all occupiers / residents were living under section 144 Lasbo as stated governed under United Kingdom Law here:

LEGAL WARNING

TAKE NOTICE

THAT we live in this property, it is our home and we intend to stay here.

THAT at all times there is at least one person in this property.

THAT any entry or attempt to enter into these premises without our permission is therefore a criminal offence as any one of us who is in physical possession is opposed to such entry without our permission.

THAT if you attempt to enter by violence or by threatening violence we will prosecute you. You may receive a sentence of up to six months’ imprisonment and/or a fine of up to £5,000.

THAT if you want to get us out you will have to issue a claim for possession in the County Court or in the High Court.

The Occupiers

N.B. Signing this Legal Warning is optional. It is equally valid whether or not it is signed.

Part of the Barrister submissions that represented Simon Cordell, had been that the allegations were that he was involved in the organizing of illegal raves, but the applicant hadn’t adduced evidence, of trespass which is a requirement for proving, that an indoor rave was illegal. The Deputy District Judge ruled that the applicant did not need to prove illegality, - all the needed to proven was he had acted in an anti social manner. In the view of the barrister this was a very questionable decision: firstly, the applicant based their case on the illegality of the raves rather than the fact of the raves themselves and secondly, without proof of illegality the presumption of innocence leads to the conclusion that the raves were legal, and thus, Simon being prohibited from engaging in an ostensibly lawful activity requires more careful consideration on issues of proportionality.

It should be agreed with my barrister statement as when dealing with this case I was addressing the applicant case to prove that I had not been involved in organizing illegal raves, as this is what the application against him was.

The case was proven that Simon had acted in an in an anti social manner, **yet not one police officer who stood up to give evidence said Simon was rude to them or acted in an anti social manner to them, also all witness statements have not given an ID of any person on the dates that are within the ASBO application.** but if law states such facts how can this be correct. The case against Simon was that he had organized illegal raves and this should have not been proven as trespass is present and all location refer to in private air.

The word rave can not be used, unless tress pass or money laundering is present when on private land, governed within the constraints of the United Kingdom Laws.

An abatement Notice should have been severed as all dates contained within the ASBO application, are of a fixed private air of residence.

Under Section 80 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 The Local authority Council are able to serve an Abatement Notice. A noise abatement notice requires that the noise reduces or stops by prohibiting its occurrence or recurrence. It can also require a person to carry out works and/or take other steps to stop the noise nuisance, such as seizing the noise-making equipment. Breaches of the notice can incur a fine of up to £5,000. An abatement notice can not always be served following an initial visit by an officer. Depending on the type of noise nuisance it may take several weeks; any occupiers will be advised by the officer dealing with their case of

expected timeframes to resolve the problem. Below is a copy of the form that should have been served on any premises.

Statements made by police officers are allegation made by police of criminal activities such as section 5, 4, 4a, drugs, robbery, to which Mr Cordell was never arrested on the date's within the ASBO application, nor has he been charged, neither has any member of the public put him or given an ID of Mr Cordell in a police witness statement or has any civil matters been brought before the courts, in regards to him self causing anti social behaviour,

Convection at trial in a court room that is citing in its civil manner, should not be able to deal with a case as if it were a criminal case such as reference to criminal proceedings, this is un-justified in 2016,

MR Simon Cordell feels as if he is now left with not understanding, with what has been proven against him and what he needs to prove for his appeal. As the conditions he is prohibited from doing is all for illegal raves and illegal raves were not proven.

It is unjustified also that MR Simon Cordell's name has been slandered in the metropolitan police website, stating that he was given an ASBO for organizing illegal raves, when the case for the ASBO was not proven for organizing illegal raves.

Mr Simon Cordell understands that it was proven, that he had acted in an Anti social manner, to which if justice profiles he intends to prove his innocence at his appeal on the 22th February 2016.

Mr Simon Cordell's address was put into the metropolitan police website stating that illegality had been proven in the case of illegal raves, which the prosecution rest there case upon. It has also been stated that Mr Cordell is well known for organizing illegal raves in Enfield and across London, to which he has never been arrested for any think of that nature or been found guilty off.

- <http://content.met.police.uk/News/Man-given-a-five-year-ASBO/1400033211719/1257246745756>

This has led him to having his life turned upside down. He has had his name put into all the local news papers, stating that he has been found guilty for illegal raves when the judge clearly stated that no illegality had been proved.

1. http://www.enfieldindependent.co.uk/news/13595919.Man_given_ASBO_for_organising_illegal_raves/
2. http://www.redhillandreigatelife.co.uk/news/13595919.Man_given_ASBO_for_organising_illegal_raves/
3. <http://www.parikiaki.com/2015/08/enfield-man-given-5yr-asbo/>
4. <http://www.enfield-today.co.uk/article.cfm?id=1653&headline=No%20more%20raving.....%20party%20organiser%20slapped%20with%20ASBO&searchyear=2015>
5. <http://www.northlondon-today.co.uk/article.cfm?id=1653&headline=No%20more%20raving.....%20party%20organiser%20slapped%20with%20ASBO&searchyear=2015>
6. <http://www.barnet-today.co.uk/article.cfm?id=1653&headline=No%20more%20raving.....%20party%20organiser%20slapped%20with%20ASBO&searchyear=2015>

7. <http://www.haringey-today.co.uk/article.cfm?id=1653&headline=No%20more%20raving....%20party%20organiser%20slapped%20with%20ASBO&searchyear=2015>

This has led Mr Simon Cordell health, to being effected in a negative manner. He was already ill before this case started due to other allegations made by members of the police, and what the police have done over many years, not only to Mr Simon Cordell but his whole family, there has been many complaints put into the police, due to the way they treated and intimidate him and his family over many years, there is only so much a person can take and MR Simon Cordell has taken so much over the past 20 years from the police. He is not coping any longer and he thinks the police wanted this, they new he had hopes with what he wanted to do with his life and the way the police could hurt him was by taking his dreams away, of ever doing anything that I had dreamed of doing.

The police have known for years Mr Simon Cordell wanted to do work within his local community within the entertainment field and he started this some years back, He will state that he wanted to better himself and had spoke to the police many times about this as he is stopped by way of being pulled over by the police, so much and when they ask what he is doing which they always do, I tell them what I want to do and my plans for my company, but now I have no chance of getting work within my local community or making my company work due to what the police have done as my company is based on the entertainment business, and this ASBO is the only way the police knew they could stop me.

The respondent states they took significant effort that the conditions set out in this ASBO would not have an effect of any legitimate business activities that I wished to undertake and would in no way would be inhibited by this order. That I could apply for a licences if needed and this order would have no effect on any legitimate business activities I wished to undertake.

My mother has tested this by way of making calls to local authorities within the UK to ask if an ASBO under the conditions I am bound to would have an effect of a person applying to local authorities within the UK for a Alcohol and entertainment licences for an event and there reply to this was yes it would have effect on you obtaining any Alcohol and entertainment licences for any event due to the process that is taken when someone applies for any Alcohol and entertainment licences this would include applying for

- Personal licence.
- Premises licence.
- Club premises certificate.
- Temporary event notice.
- Minor variations.

So this order will have a large effect on the business I have been setting up for years which the police are fully aware off.

Also there was not any impact assessment done to how this would affect my normal every day life.

Simon will state that he was not in attendance to any organised illegal rave, on any of the said dates in question, that is of any incidents that are contained within the applicants Bundle, nor was he an organiser to any event on such dates; He will also induce his statement of facts, contained within this document that is in regards to the skeleton Argument for the respondent.

Mr Simon Cordell will state;

From since Simon Cordell was young he has worked hard to achieve in becoming an entrepreneur, who just wanted to better him self. He states it has taken him many years to save and buy what was needed

so that he could start his company. He had to start with the help of his family and help of many others to.

By 2010 he had started to put things in place to achieve his goals he felt that he needed to start the next steps to precede forward and started plans to build a website.

He ordered his domain name <http://toosmooth.co.uk> on the 22/07/2010. Him and his mother was going to try and build the website, but money was an issue, in building the type of website that was needed and wanted, as this would have cost around £40,000 and funds were low, the website took much longer than anticipated when planning to build, part of the reasons was due to Mr Simon Cordell's mothers health and also partly because of the coding be hind the website, as it was inclusive of 4 databases that was needed for the operations of the companies objectives. By 2012 the website was coming along and two other domains was purchased; <http://toosmoothentertainment.co.uk> and <http://toosmoothentertainment.com> 22/05/2012, Too Smooth had started looking ahead to the summer of 2013 to start bridging out with contacts and doing some unpaid work for the local community, to get the company name known as a company to be trusted in the working publics domain, in turn help my local community and achieving some of the goals set. It was planned to order the company name just before the work started in 2013.

But this could not happened as the police arrested me on another accusation I was charge and this case lasted over a year, before Mr Simon Cordell was found not guilty by a judge, this was before the trial representing the ASBO application had started. One of the main problems was and still is, that had to be addressed was due to errors on Simon's PNC record. He was remanded to prison for 2 days, until an application was put in for bail. His bail conditions for this case were.

The prosecution's reason for opposing bail was:

Was the possibility of Commit further offences whilst on bail conditions, due to Failure to surrender being present on Simon Cordell's criminal recorded and on the police national computer (pnc)

Judge's decision

BAIL GRANTED WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS;

Surety £1000 from Ms Lorraine Cordell (To be surrendered to the nearest Police Station) – prior to release from Custody.

Residence @ 109 Burncroft Road, Enfield, EN3 7JQ

Not to enter the London Borough of Southwark

Surrender Passport to nearest Police Station

Report daily to Edmonton Police between 1400 - 1600

Curfew 8pm - 6am (doorstep condition – the Defendant should show himself to any officer upon

Due to the accused charge errors were noticed, the errors noticed are contained within Simon Cordell's Criminal recorded, this information is held on the police national computer, such as the case of Failure to surrender, which was held at City and London Court on the 03/03/2008, this was also meant to have been taken off all records, inclusive of the pnc, many years before this case in question had started, as it was noted to be in error in 2009, requests with proof that this needed to be removed was handed to the relevant departments and it was agreed that it would be removed as it was there in error. Mr Simon Cordell has never failed to surrender, so him self and his mother, contacted the court and asked for them to send the memorandum of conviction from the court, which Miss Cordell Simon's Mother paid the fee of £5.00 to the court and they sent her it via email. Please see memorandum of conviction, as

this was dismissed by the court. Yet on his PNC record, it has been marked that Simon Cordell has put a plea of guilty in on the 25/01/2008, this is in error and is not true as this case was dismissed by the court, "how can mistakes, be made like this and then not corrected when attention is made to it."

Simon Cordell and his mother also noticed other errors that did not seem to be right with Enfield Magistrate's Court Cases, so again they contacted the court via email; they had to contact Highbury Corner Magistrate's Court, as now this is the main court within the area that they live in and asked for the records to be checked.

Included were all of the Enfield Magistrate's Court cases contained within the pnc to be checked, which did take some time for the people at the court to overview the records. The records at this time was still held at Enfield Magistrate's Court but have since been moved now to Highbury Corner Magistrate's Court, once they were ready the court was going to send them by method of post but my Simon Cordell and his mother asked if they could go and pick them up which they was told yes that it would be fine to do so.

Mr Simon Cordell's mother attended alone to Enfield Magistrate's Court she spoke to a lady and the lady was very confused as there were a list of records that were not in the registry, The lady even showed Simon's Mother miss Cordell one of the books that records are kept in. Miss Cordell asked could they have been lost by the court or removed in such terms audited, the lady was sure they could not have been lost or removed or audited, as the books are bound and you would see if pages had been taken out or edited.

The lady gave Mr Simon Cordell's mother a copy of the records which had been checked, which 5 had a star before them, list here is the ones that were not in the courts registry and the words not in registry.

Simon and his mother have tried to get these corrected and removed from his PNC record but is still having a great deal of trouble in doing so.

Miss Cordell asked for a printed headed letter from Magistrate's Court, by way of asking my acting solicitors to write the correspondence, showing that they had checked Simon's records in turn showing evidence that there was some that was on the PNC in error that was not listed in the registry. This was asked because the print out provided by the courts was not accepted by the DJ to be good enough to prove validation of the article of facts to be a true statement, but the printout clearly shows it comes from a court email address. Mr Simon Cordell and his mother has sent many emails, made many phone calls and also had been down to Highbury Corner Magistrate's Court, trying to get a headed letter to confirm that his records were checked and proven to be incorrect, as within the ASBO application they are using his PNC record.

Miss Cordell has been dealing with a lady called Flo, who said she will contact Enfield Magistrate's Court, to see about getting the letter written, as they were the ones that checked the records. This has gone on for some time now, without any letter being written, in the end Miss Cordell Simon's mother went back down to Enfield Magistrate's Court and spoke to Benedicta Objidja, who dealt with the records being checked, she could not understand why Miss Cordell was being told that the letter had to be done by Enfield Magistrate's Court. as they no longer do this sort of work, it is all done at Highbury Corner Magistrate's Court, so after leaving she went back down to Highbury Corner Magistrate's Court, where she spoke to John Forster, she explained what was going on and this now has been going on for way over a year, she was trying to get the records her sons PNC corrected, with a great deal of issues. What was needed and said after she just come from Enfield Magistrate's Court from speaking to Benedicta Objidja inclusive of what she had just been explained, was that any letter would need to be done by this court, which he agreed, he took some details and checked there emails and said they had

Mr Simon Cordell's & miss Cordell's Simon's mother emails on there system. He then took a copy of the paper work she had and said he would talk to Benedicta Objidja, but was also confused at how many records was in error, he said to her that if they are not in the court records then the cases was never in court and asked who she had spoke to, who was working in the police station. He could not understand why this had not been corrected; Errors like this should never happen on my sons PNC record. He also stated he would put his notes into a legal advisor to get a letter written.

- **In Reference to Pages (2 / 3) of the Applicants Bundle**

12.01.13 = Mr Simon Cordell did not attended any premises on this date to rave, neither was he involved in the organization of a rave, nor did he supply any equipment for any rave at Canary Wharf.

12/01/2013 = this case was only added as a reference as to the limitation Act 1980. Which states a case must be applied 6 months prior from the date of the incident in question, to which it was not. Please read Mr Simon Cordell's last statement dated the 24/02.2015. He was in fact taken to The Royal London Hospital, after being attacked on this day. (EXHIBIT)

No members of the public mention Mr Simon Cordell as a person acting in an anti social manner on this date, as well as police officer statement's inclusive within the Applicants bundle.

Due to Mr Simon Cordell establishing his company he states he was meeting a lot of people in times of need, a lot of the people he was meeting are and was homeless, as he was looking at avenues to be able to help people.

There are no CAD's otherwise known as incident numbers in regards to this date contained within the applicants ASBO application.

- **In Reference to Pages 2 / 3 contained within the applicants bundle.**

07/04/2013 = In Steve Elsmore Statement dated 11/08/2014

07/04/2013 = Please read Mr Simon Cordell's last statement dated the 24/02.2015. He States He did not attended any premises on this date to rave, neither was he involved in the organization of any illegal rave, nor did he supplied equipment on said date.

Mr Simon Cordell will State that he was not rude to police, but he did feel like he could not even go out for the day with some of his friends, without getting stopped and searched by members of the police.

It is also noted that the caller was very clear that they saw a flat screen TV being put into Mr Cordell's van, which is confusing to why when the police searched the van they found no TV, but did in fact find two of his off road motor bikes, which is not included in Steve Elsmore statement. The police did checks on Mr Simon Cordell's Off Road Motor Bikes but this is also not stated, but should show up on the seizer notice, as Mr Simon Cordell did asked the police office to take careful note of the two of road motor bikes, as due to the high value of them.

Mr Cordell Will state that he did get a bit upset when the police said they were going to seize his van, as he did have insurance in place to be able to dirve the van in question, but there was an error on the MID database. Miss Cordell had been trying to help her son resolve the issue concerning his insurance

policy not showing on the mid data base along side with members of their local police force and his insurance company KGM too, together they had tried to work out why Mr Simon Cordell was showing as uninsured. There was information noted as intelligence on the police National Computer stating this I had asked the police to check on there systems due to this, but they would not they just wanted to seize Mr Simon Cordell's van without checking, so he new he was being wrongfully accused at this point, as he had done nothing wrong and he did have insurance to be driving and had paid a lot of money for his insurance. He states he did not get upset in the manner that the police have said he did and that he does not mean to come across as rude to police. In this case he was just trying to explain the error on the system.

In addition, the prosecution offered no evidence in respect of the charges that were brought even though they were reliant on police witnesses. Mr Simon Cordell had been wrongfully arrested for not having insurance when he was insured to drive. He also did not cause any Anti Social Behaviour on this date in question.

There are no CAD's for this date, but yet they was meant to be, a CAD referring to the pacific details that should be relating to a person stating, that they believed a burglary was in progress and of the 999 caller stating that they had seen a person who was putting a flat screen TV into Mr Simon Cordell's van.

The error on the MID database would also cause Mr Simon Cordell a great deal of problems over the years to come. Within 11 months he had his vehicles seized 9 times, this was always when his insurance company was closed, that being on a day such as Sunday when the insurance companies are closed, he would also be pulled over when it was opened, in one case a police officer lied to his insurance company causing much problems, this has know been proven to be true that a police officer did in fact lie under oath to a Jude at the magistrates court.

Mr Simon Cordell will state he has always been pulled over by police while driving any vehicle and will (supply exhibit from 2004 of letter to the police) of him stating that he is in fear of the police, for continues police harassment, especially a great deal within the past few year's, He will also state that some times the police would check his insurance documents, as he always carried them with him due to the errors, so that he could explain to the police the error on the MID and asked them to look at the police system to help aid in times when he was being pulled over by members of the police, So that he would always have information available for police about this issue, A far percentage of the police that did in fact pull Mr Simon Cordell over at road side, did check this information and let him go without a problem. But some police just did not care and seized his vehicles, which I then had to pay the costs to get them out of the police compound each time. Chariton and Perivale knows of Mr Simon Cordell by the end of that year and each time he states that they would say not again. He states that he had tried everything to get this error corrected and had called everyone about this issue and the insurance company, he had tried to work out what was wrong, no one seemed to be able to work it out, including the police. Mr Simon Cordell states in Nov 2013. He was once again paying to take his vehicle out of the vehicle compound when one of the compound staff said this is just not correct, that you have to keep paying to take your vehicles out of the compound and that this was not right, when a person has that of a valid insurance policy in place, The gentleman working for the compound started to look at Mr Simon Cordell's documents and the database printout Mr Simon Cordell had from his insurance company, all of a sudden the gentlemen noticed something strange, he asked Mr Simon Cordell to take a look at this, he pointed at the paperwork in front of them both and said I wonder if this is what is causing the problem, there was a space within Mr Simon Cordell's vehicle registry number, so it was printed as CX52 JRZ and not CX52JRZ as soon as Mr Simon Cordell got back home from the compound, he called his insurance broker and explained to them what had just happened at the car

compound and asked them to check the point of issue, to see if this is what was causing the error. It took them some time but it seems it was due to my insurance being trade and the MID allowing the space to be put in and it showed a correct upload to the MID database that caused this problem.

But Mr Simon Cordell's problems just did not stop there. He did not get summons from the court in respect of the ongoing court proceedings and was found guilty, in his absinth, for no insurance. This was due to not knowing he had a court date, this became another problem and he got a ban due to points this was inclusive a fine, email upon email was being sent to the courts but case Simon and his mother was have problems getting the issues of cause rectified and felt that as if of they were not getting dealt with correctly, nearly all of Mr Simon Cordell's insurance that was paid for during the period of 2014 to 2015, he could not drive due to the errors.

In reference to the case were the police office had lied to Mr Simon Cordell's insurance company, he had been trying to get a copy of the tape(s), of when the police office had been speaking to KGM my insurance company at the time of Mr Simon Cordell, being pulled over at road side, from the police officer(s) in charge of the case, themselves with subject access requests, to which they were not dealing with, so the case was called to court for trial and the police officer had lied to the judge, mr Simon Cordell was again found guilty, and banned from driving and fined, he submitted an appeal and the judge accepted it so now the ban was not in force until the appeal date. The judge also helped by explaining that if Mr Simon Cordell's insurance company did not hand over the data that he had been seeking to trying to get hold of by the date and time of the appeal, that he could apply to the crown court to summons the insurance company KGM to court. Mr Simon Cordell and his mother in fact did get the information before the appeal date and the recording of what the police officer said to my insurance at road side. Mr Simon Cordell also had to get a barrister for the appeal date. Again the police officer lied in court, my barrister let him, then my barrister played a little from the recording and stopped it and asked the police officer is that you. Which he replied yes, the recording was restarted which showed the police officer had lied, I won my appeal, there is a complaint that has been put in which is still being dealt with about that case. But it took Mr Simon Cordell and his mother until 2015 to clear his name for the reasons of no insurance, so to be able to clear all the bans and points of his driving license, after him self and his mother sending hundreds of emails,

- **In Reference to Pages 2 / 3 contained within the applicant Bundle.**

24.05.13 = Mr Simon Cordell was looking for venues to set up an illegal rave

24.05.13 = Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not attended any premises on this date to rave neither was he involved in the organization of any illegal raves, nor did he supplied equipment. This case was only added as a reference as the limitation Act 1980 which states, that a case must be applied 6 months from the date of the incident, to which it was not. Please read my last statement dated the 24/02.2015.

It is alleged that Mr Simon Cordell was looking for venues in which illegal raves could be held, on 24th May 2013. Mr Simon Cordell will dispute this. He will state that he had been contacted by a friend called Joshua, who was living at 204 High Street Ponders End EN3 4EZ, also known as the Old Police Station at Ponders End, as he and some others were homeless, unless this was possible.

As Mr Simon Cordell was driving towards 204 High Street, he drove his car down the alleyway so that he could park the vehicle he was in, He parked between two well known land marks, Which is were many people who do live in an around the surrounding areas, would be able to remember as the old

ponders End police station and Kinder Garden Centre. He states he knows the area very well as this is where he has lived all of his life, so he knew about the car park at the back of the two well known landmarks, as he states you can not park on the highroad, because of the double yellow lines or other restrictions. He had parked there before, He states he believes and knows that the police saw his car as he began to take a right turn to be able to drive down to were he intended to stop. He knew the police had followed him, as he had seen them pay attention to him self as he had driven past. He does remember clearly that of him self lock his vehicle as the police approached him and now was standing by his side. He states that this is normal for him and over the years of his life he has become use to the police approaching him for numerous accusations, so that has also made him used to their presents, Mr Simon Cordell states that that this is so normal for him, so he got ready for the police procedures, as they said they wanted to search him and his car because the police believed that the car he was driving smelt strongly of cannabis, Mr Simon Cordell sates that he would always consented to this. He is sure of his statements of facts and that the police can not dispute this, that of the police officers that had approached him and who had stopped him as he had just got out of my car, or how would they have said his car smelt strongly of cannabis, which is the reason that the police officers gave him the conditions of search and their consent form due to a search of him self and that of his vehicle that he was driving.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had not done any thing wrong and nothing was found on his person or in his car.

Mr Simon Cordell will dispute making any comments about being able to attract people to illegal raves and illegal 3 day events, what reason would he have had to say this.

Mr Simon Cordell will state to the applicant that he was a visitor to the location of interest, due to a call from a friend who asked if Mr Simon Cordell could loan him some money for food. He will also include that he did not cause any Anti Social Behaviour on the 24th May 2013.

Mr Simon Cordell does not know what Joshua said to the police, as he was never with Joshua. Mr Simon Cordell does not know why Joshua would have said to the police that he was his lawyer, or if Joshua said this at all to police. Mr Simon Cordell has tried to get hold of Joshua to make a statement for this case, but due to him being homeless, it has been very hard. As far as he is aware the building was being occupied by people to live in, he states he does not know anything Joshua said to police about know any think about a rave. Mr Simon Cordell did not manage to visit him on this day.

At no point is Mr Simon Cordell being accused of acting in an anti social manner on this date, or by any members of the public inclusive of members of the police, neither was he arrested.

There are no cads for this date.

- **In Reference to Pages 2 / 3 - pages 98 to 100 created by Steve Hoodless yr contained within the applicants application bundle.**

25.05.14 = was involved in the organization of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at unit 5, St George's Industrial Estate, Whit Heart Lane, N17.

25/05/2014 = Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not attended any premises on this date to rave neither was I involved in the organization of any raves, nor did he supply equipment for an illegal rave at unit 5, St George's Industrial Estate, Whit Heart Lane, N17.

In respect of Mr Simon Cordell presence at Unit 5 St George’s Industrial Estate, White Hart Lane on 25th May 2014. He attended a commercial building that the occupiers were residing in, having displayed s144 LASPO notices and in turn treating the premises as their home. Nothing was said to Mr Simon Cordell about a rave by the occupiers. He will state that he was visiting friends and they were just sitting and chatting while having a laugh. He remembers taking about ways to better life for him and his friends as well as others.

There was no music being played or about to be set up. He was not involved in the organization of an illegal rave of any sort. That he did drive there in his van VRM CX52JRZ, and he does accept that he had 2 speaker boxes in the van; however, he did not have a full sound system and the speakers did not have any drivers in them. So he and others could not have used the speaker box’s to play sound, he did ask the police to note this down, and that he was only using the van as storage, this is why the police who were in attendance allowed him to leave, while talking to the current occupiers of the premises.

I did not on the date in question have what would constitute as a full sound system like what is now being pursued by the applicant as I know that it would have been seized by the police, I was not rude to the police, I allowed my van to be searched by members of the police and nothing was seized, and I went home I did not cause any anti social behaviour on the 25th May 2014.

Since this ASBO application was served on Mr Simon Cordell, he has moved the speakers out of his van and they are still in the (open air), at his mothers address and are in the back garden still to date, as if in the first day that of when he had taken them out of his van, with no drivers in them. Mr Simon Cordell states yes at the time it would have been better to keep them in his van due to the weather, but when he does intend to go for a drive that he does not feel safe any longer travelling with any sound equipment due to the ongoing ASBO application.

It is also noted that on page (98 of the main applicants bundle) that the report was created on the 26/05/2014 for criminal damage, the event date, is noted at: 25/05/2014, but was last updated on the 19/06/2014 why would there be a need to update this report, it was proven I did nothing on the CCTV.

Since this ASBO was served a lot of research has been done in regards to the allegations contained within the applicant’s case and it seems it is a well known fact by police that the accused illegal raves in question are known to be setup on social media. And when doing a simple search at company house a director’s name other than the name Simon Cordell is present for the company name in question and no contact seems to have been made by police or local authority in regards to this issue even low a letter has been provided to the applicant by the true director of Every Decibel Matters, this letter is contained within the applicants bundle. Also the fact that no noise abasion order severed by any local governing authority has been shown as well as proof of trespass to be able to class the dates contained within the ASBO application as such of a name as an illegal rave. Also it seems there was an event called

Chaotic Waves Gully posted in >>2100+ ATTENDING<< TONIGHT!!!☆☆CHAOTIC WAVES//RIGHT WRONGUNS//BASSFACE SOUNDS//HOUSE OF HAVIK PRESENTS THE 1ST YEAR ANNIVERSARY OF CHAOTIC WAVES☆☆FT SKUNK-WORKS ARENA. This event was on social media. It seems this even got cancelled for what reason we have not been able to find out as the even page has been deleted.

It seems at the last min this event was changed to:

(Event page Chaotic Waves posted) A VERY CHAOTIC POPUP!

Also this page has been deleted.

After the ASBO application and personal investigations in to what Mr Simon Cordell am being accused of, on the date in question, a fake profile account was created and has been given access to emails which does show a lot of what was said on the event page and where the location that was once put up for friends only in regards to Chaotic Waves Private party. I would like to again state I have nothing to do with this and I am sure the police was or should already be well aware of this as it was on a private Friends profile on social media, as it is well stated in the news the police are aware of any events r private parties that are being setup on social media. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has no affiliation to illegal raves on the dates in question or otherwise.

There are no cads for this date.

At no point of time did Mr Simon Cordell do what he has been accused of which is of acting in an anti social manner on this date by any members of the public or of any member of the police on the 25/05/2014

- **In Reference to Pages 2 / 3: AND ALL CADS RELATING TO THE 6TH 7TH 8TH JUNE 2014: HIPPEY FEST PROGRESS WAY WITH ALL CAD RELATING TO THE 6th 07TH 8th JUNE 2014 in relation to the applicants bundle.**

07/06/8th June 2014 = Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not involved in the organization of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty ware house on Progress way, Enfield.

- Mr Simon Cordell will supply Supported Evidence from face book showing that he was not the organizer to any event on the 06/06/2014 07/06/2014 and the 08/06/2014. **(Exhibit)**
- Mr Simon Cordell will supply Supported Evidence from YouTube showing that he was not the organizer. **(Exhibit)**
- Witness statement Josie needs to email the gentlemen my mother has already spoken to him and his corresponding emails were sent to Josie email: The name of the gentlemen is; Adrian Coombs Specialist Operations Superintendent Essex Police. **(Exhibit)**
- Mr Simon Cordell will supply Supported Evidence, from face book showing hippy fest profile pages, in turn proving that hippy fest started on the 6th and the 7th June 2014 when he was not present. There is also no CAD present for the 8th June 2014, which Mr Simon Cordell does **(Request along side with all other missing incident information relating to the 6th 7th 8th June 2014)** Mr Simon Cordell believes that this information, does also contain other relevant intelligence that proves that Mr Simon Cordell, was not in fact involved in a rave in the occupied premises on the dates in question. **(Exhibit)**

- Neither did the police see Mr Simon Cordell At approximately at 02:03 hrs on Saturday the 7th June 2014 as A/PS Charles Miles 724ye page 32 states, but as a matter of fact did do so on the 8th June 2014 as A/ Insp Hamill 201566 states on page 32.
- Supported Evidence of proof that the police did not in fact see Simon Cordell and his brother Tyrone Benjamin together, as police statements say (**Exhibit**) Off, Supporting Medical Evidence of proof that my brother could not have attended on the 7th or 8th of June 2014 as stated by office PC239YE in;
 - CAD number 1047 7th June 2014 (page 175 under reason) which is linked to CAD numbers;
 - CAD 1323 7th Jun 14; page 147 to 152
 - CAD 1722 7th June 14; page 152 to 154
 - CAD 1816 7th June 14; pages 155 to 159
 - CAD 2141 7th June 14; pages 160 to 164
 - CAD 2255 7th June 14; pages 165 to 169
 - CAD 2271 7th June 14; pages 170 to 173
 - CAD 1608 7th June 14; pages 184 to 186

Supported Evidence, supporting the fact that the CAD's supporting the applicant ASBO case in relation to progress way and other dates in question are time stamped wrong, this evidence does include;

- Standard Operational Guidelines - East of England.
<http://www.eastamb.nhs.uk/FOI%20Docs/Disclosure%20Log/Emergency%20Ops/July%202013/F15152h%20-%20attachment.pdf>
- National Standards for Incident Recording (NSIR) Collection and recording of police;
https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/116658/count-nsir11.pdf
- Understanding Control Command; http://www.dodccrp.org/files/Alberts_UC2.pdf
- police Central Communications Command incident procedure;
https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=lkd4sarsfdMC&pg=PA28&lpg=PA28&dq=police+Central+Communications+Command+incident+procedure&source=bl&ots=663ZhaKX9_&sig=Z7DgHlgJnewLNUam0g8EBcCja-8&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0ahUKEwif39iYsMbKAhWI8A4KHdnMAoQQ6AEIMzAE#v=onepage&q=police%20Central%20Communications%20Command%20incident%20procedure&f=false

Showing evidence that is in support of the truth, relating to that of incident numbers contained within the applicants bundle off facts, proving that them incident numbers are in error, with reference to earlier times than the previous time stamps on the previous incident number / CAD numbers, as listed below;

- CAD's (**2637 pages 191 to 195**) to (**2672 pages 196 to 198**) on the 7th June 14; pages
- CAD's (**3005 pages 203 to 205**) to (**3037 pages 179 to 183**) on the 7th June 14;
- CAD (**10481 pages 233 to 237**) to (**10506 pages 238 to 241**) on the 7TH June 14;

Proving a high chance of the evidence being that of a manufactured and engineered or such marital to be fabricated and not true to there facts.

- Perverting the Course of Justice; <http://www.inbrief.co.uk/offences/perverting-the-course-of-justice.htm>

Supported Evidence showing the fact that incident numbers / cad numbers are stamped with wrong locations and if it was not for simple mistakes of certain members working on the applicant's behalf, not concealing pacific(s) information such as listed below. Mr Simon Cordell might not have been able to prove the truth to aid in his innocents in regards to the ongoing court proceedings;

- On the majority of cads / incident numbers, including (cad 2410 8th June 2014 Page number 273) the;

Call Tel, Call Name, Att Location, Map, Inc Locn, Call Location are Blocked out. Preventing Mr Simon Cordell from being able to prove, that of the nature of the members of police, who are involved within the development of the ASBO application, too be lying about the true facts of the locations, stating that police was sure that all location blocked out were in relation to progress way. Please take note to a snip lit, of the court transcripts, in respect, of the ongoing ASBO proceedings at the magistrate's court.

Statements of officers, who also admitted that the intelligence contained within the bundle has been copied from the pnc also that of the officers who reported the intelligence not being present at court.

SNIPPLIT DATED 00/00/2015

(Exhibit of SNIPLIT relating to transcripts)

**Supporting evidence of Police questioned under oath in relation to applicants ASBO on going application;
R v Cordell**

Def

Mother of D in court + potentially giving evidence.

Met

Police – No objections.

Probably the case will go over till tomorrow.

6 Witness of facts police.

1 Officer in case.

To be 6 – 22; Case statements.

Def

Just gave info, possession of new info on face book, not in bundles before court, but should be. Shows info suggesting never organised but other people did, nothing to do with w/d.

DJ

Interim ASBO made case by been well (unreadable text)

DEF

This evidence shows that Rave on 6/6/? Was nothing to do with w/d.

Miss Cordell mother has carried out her own investigations as she was not happy with results of investigating officer / so/s.

It is a large bundle to get through this late.

If material can be viewed by DJ

(Possible metered.) Then DJ can decide on admissibility of the evidence.

DJ

Producing material, however relevant, 10 minutes before a trial is not acceptable.

Met Police 1st State

DJ

Has made application for ASBO ORDER.

Inspector Hamill is to lead.

Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill –R.O – 11.15am

Statement contained in tab 9-lead

DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday.

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D'S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day. **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. **(Progress Way)**

Met Police RE-XE

My understanding is the door staff @ gate presented D as the event organiser.

Referring to page number 184 Info re: caller reporting incident. **(Please take Note here in regards to the applicant's Skeleton bundle.)**

DJ

Was (unreadable text) opp raised previously?

DEF

No

Witness 2 Pc Miles – RO – 11:45 AM EIC

Attended venue on the 7th alone – did look @ Intel before attending.

Did not speak to owners

Did not know D was with Tyrone Benjamin (Please Take note here.)

WINTNESS 3 – PC Skinner – Bundle Tabs 12 of 13 Lead

Statement 1 Tab 13

On the 7th Duty officer (+) walked in to Estate and saw a van but did not recognise van.

He saw D however who admitted he was the organiser of the rave

(Statement 2 Tab 12)

Youths were committing shop lifting out of the petrol station

I had to call for reserve intervention.

I arrested D and people dispersed and D was realised.

Rave did not take place.

No doubt rave would have continued had he not arrested D.

DEF XEX

19TH July event @ Carpet right company building was occupied.

Saw speakers – Intel were loading equipment indoors.

Details of van taken but was not D.

Carpet right had a pad lock round metal barrier.

Other car park had a front entrance.

I was senior officer attending the venue.

Latter on I instructed I sergeant to contact the owners.

I latter see the defendant getting out the van

I can't remember that, I may have updated others in relation to D getting out of van. But I may or may not have updated the system.

On the 7th June D made admissions to me not aware of squatters (of the adjust Estate.)

Met XEX

(Reefer's to a statement that is on page 76.)

Witness Pc Edgose – R.O 12:14pm EIC Read

Statement 21

Incident of 24th July:

I was in a vehicle that stopped D's Vehicle.

No threat to break defendant's window (ok)

It was all about drug issues.

R V CORDELL

3

Witness VI – Pc King 12:28pm EIC

Tab 15/16

Statement Page 41

Officer has only met D once before.

D has all ways been polite.

Has never had any problem's with the defendant.

D was really eloquent of clearly knowing the how.

Witness Pc Ames – Acting sergeant – R.O -12:46 Pm EIC

DEF XEX

Event was out doors.

Saw sound equipment substance speakers poss.

Approximately the size of witness box, but could not remember really as he was distracted by people.

No further questions.

Witness – Pc Elsmore – R.O – 14:10 EIC

Tab 6 – pg ?14?

DEF XEX

Council (unreadable text) curfews (unreadable text) that PNC info on statement adds no (unreadable text) plobatory (unreadable text) value of info re: Witness being "afraid of D" What he puts down to the way he worded, but he meant that people actually are afraid of possibly giving evidence in court.

R V CORDELL

4

DEF

Counsel argues that officers statement is designed to cause on evidence reaction of this of no value and speculatory in nature.

DJ

How many calls from public did police receive?

Witness

In excess of 15 calls – how many to the same venue and not other address.
Doe’s not know the number of callers that are in relation to each of these occasions.
On page 15 – Allegations re: Millmarsh Lane, evidence from officer not first hand – relied on cads and other Intel.
Query Re: “3 massive nitrous tanks”

DJ

Were did you get such info officer.

Witness

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimits Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

COUNSEL

Officer you signed a statement of truth (unreadable text) to other witness statements.

DJ

We all know that on ASBO apps hearsay is allowed.

R V CORDELL

5

Counsel

Why did officer no and rely on Pc Kings Statements later than on the Crimits reported.

Officer no and involved in taking info from Pc King.

(Confesses he did it.)

He did not notice the discrepancy regarding official statements.

Have heard of Every Decibel Matters – They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.

No evidence D is involved in running there operations.

No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.

No reason to why you didn’t /contact the company.

I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.

(At Page 16 1st paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014)

All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officers own words – same applies from Cads that had no input.

Has not made attempts too contact owners of premises.

Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.

Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell’s evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what’s written in

Statements – another example of untrue cut and past.

DJ

Ill ignore because no convections of class A drugs or supplying is present on the criminal record.

Counsel

You can not assist with witness reliability of info contained, can you?

Can Intel be wrongfully inaccurate? No

Officer

On that particular re post, it appears to be right.

I did not speak to Parcell he is force @ seven boroughs.

I believe he was not included in the email, because Intel (**unreadable text**) Email sent to LDE only.

Searched (**unreadable text**) for info on Cordell’s convections.

Moving on to statement on Page 30

Does PO investigating unit have more info than it is letting on?

Officer

No

Are you aware that Miss Cordell has spoken to other officers Re: Rave?

This suggests that you do not want DS Tanner to be examined on these proceedings because she has information Re knowledge of raves and them not being connected to W/D.

Spoke to Pc Tanner but not written what – spoke to (**unreadable text**) this year

You have no recorded that you emailed her but then spoken to her.

Emails have been deleted and no copies keep on record.

Met police

XEX OF Witness vii

Done oath seductions:

Nothing in the contents of this report is inaccurate to my knowledge.

DEF

Hopefully the 2 witnesses on behalf of D should be able to give evidence tomorrow.

Witness viii

Miss Cordell ATT – 16:05 – EIC

D (her son) lives separately from me but I have been trying to help him sort out inaccuracies with both his PNC and other police matters.

Police is still popping around to his house - Simon tells me and also I physically get to his flat before police have left.

He is being harassed by police.

DJ

Are 6 officers not reliant – on witness statement - there for putting a line though RD?

DEF

Material deters with PNC that was included by Met – There fore right to challenge. Plus PNC in evidence not correct.

DJ

Very little weight will be given to PNC.

DJ

Miss Cordell

Met XEX

(Bottom of Page 8) the leaving party for Dwayne Edwards.

I got there at 7:30PM and left about 9:30pm 6th – 8th June – D was also with Dwayne the days of Saturday and Sunday as well.

He was at my house for a 1 hour and half on Saturday and 1 hour on Sunday during the day. I agree I did not include it in my statement.

On Sunday it was around midday.

I was not with D from about 2AM on Sunday, no I was not.

Nor at 2AM on Saturday either.

On the 7th June I did see my son and so did all my family members that were at the party.

(At Page 14)

“Police did not have 101 books”

(2 and 3 paragraphs)

Accepts that was told to me by DS Chapman.

DS Tanner called me on 11th or 12th. I believe they have a lot more information.

I am aware of full (unreadable text) alleged involvement but not raves.

I do believe that met have a vendetta against my whole family including Tyrone – Harassment: pull them out for no reason, I would not say from every officer.

R V CORDELL

7

Miss Cordell continues

I am saying that there may be some truth but allegations of my son organising raves is horrendous.

(Been scribbled out?)

About medical statements of info has not been contained re question: D had been stabbed and was in hospital

(Been scribbled out?)

20th June couldn't give evidence as to D were about but believe he had been arrested on the 19th 20th July not witness him – did not give detailed route in statement because did not think it was relevant.

Problems with service of docs with police and would not take bundle because?? ?? With police, He panics and rings me every time he is stopped.

I have so (unreadable text) and right down all encounters with police all low not in the bundle.

DEF XEX

I accept involvement of police – they interact with her son and family.

You said Met police have a lot of info of you said “accepted involvement but not raves “

I have involvement with police of lots of data practically with Simon, but not in regards to raves, Issues other than the raves.

I don't accept he is involved in organisation of raves.

Continues Tomorrow.

R V CORDELL

2/2 DAYS

-1-

Witness XEX

So you are not yet Charity registered “Too Smooth”

Company were young entrepreneurs can advertise there Business.

Page 77

Retail brunches relating to music such as sound equipment and co involved in provision of sound equipment hire.

Never took profit money from company.

(Page 87)

Deposit of £700-.00 daily rate is £100.

It is my signature at the end of this (unreadable text) the figures have not been edited (Page 88)

All deposits are non returnable under any circumstances on this mandatory if the equipment got confiscated, I did not make any profit, and I just did it to get to no people.

Non profit – just a hobby

STATEMENT PAGE 2 – BOTTOM PAGE:

You state that I accept and aim was to rent equipment.

Its being suggested to you that the business you was designed was to make a profit.

DJ

As you own entertainment equipment – Yes –

I was not renting out equipment – being it a lot suggested that primary aim was to make a profit.

Renting him out sound equipment. (No not at all.)

Are you aware that music is a licensed activity and beliefs need a licence to play music?

I need a licence for both premises Yes.

I would not check if lending equipment to a private party.

Too Smooth Is registered but not trading because of the ASBO including Interim Order, my reputation has been ruined. Interim App on 18th 2014 so before then June 2014 (**unreadable text**) 4th September
Were any business transaction conducted during them periods.
I sold Business transactions.

I have lent to councils but not for business transactions, as a friend only.
It's incorrect that I was setting up raves.

Page 50 – bundle tab 9 – Inspector Hamill

I walked from Great Cambridge Rd towards them
Impossible for door staff to get me as, I was on the other side of the Road.
I was never on the premises.
Yes it is incorrect
Yes POs mistaken.

Page 38 – Tab 13 – Detective Skinner 2 events

Page 75 – Tab 24

D denies knowing people alleged to have worked for him on the night – either Pc or person mentioned in statement is wrong.
Reason why you're found in these raves is because you help organise them.
(Page 141)

Vehicle was owned by me but was sold and now brought back
Statement (Page 3)

(Page 104)

I was not with Holly Field on that day.
(Page 99)

Accept I was there in the van inside the unit.
The report is wrong; I had 2 boxes in the van – No speakers – I was not in the premises.
Did not help organise Rave and sound equipment was not mine.
I have tried to hire equipment but organisation of event – Birthday party nothing to do with me.
Is Pc Chandlers report wrong as well? Yes

9 / 10 – August 2014

Bottom Page 7 (Statement)

Accept I attended venue – for Birthday dinner – I was invited
200 People turning up had nothing to do with me.
With social networking it is easy for some one to have 200 friends.
I had cylinders in my vehicle, requires legal authorisations, I have them on my car, for welding - I do welding continuously. I do it as a hobby.

I was not at the location for a large rave.
I do remember many people turning up.
I remember police being in attendance.
I would never shout @ crowd – to busy talking to the police.
Pc statements are wrong.
There's a possibility that I did say to police that it was a private conference.

DJ

Do you no that 20 people is the maximum – Yes

Def xxEX

Was Pc Edgoose out of car? – I know two of them come out of car and approached me.
24th May Incident - Do you remember speaking with Pc Jackson? Do not remember names.
Paragraph of T and C'S Re Falcon Park (Statement)
Deposit does go back unless damage or loss stopping due to breach of agreement.
Amounght = No Fee.
NFO.

R v CORDELL

-3-

DEF

Additional witness is not here.
Because the statement can be read but contain less weight because witness are not here.
Witness 2 can be here in one half hours.
Half evidence.
Half (**unreadable text**)

13:30pm

DEF

NF Witness.

(unreadable text)

Closing subs.

Statutory test key:

Whether D has acted in an Anti Social Manner: to that did cause Alarm or Distress.
Astonishing of the council too make out that the whole eleven officers were wrong.

D's evidence is also not merit able and neither his witness statements.

D's Mothers evidence – totally irrelevant – her evidence is based on conspiracy police have against her family.

7th June Witness Inspector Hamill and Sos .Miles and witness Cordell (D) Inspector Hamill (**unreadable text**) miles points to D being the organiser.

Disruption and concern Rave caused outlined by Cad Reports and officers statements.

19th July Inspector Skinner describes a rave and Cordell being organiser, another statement as far as D is concerned, which is totally wrong,

Crimits reports show D as organiser of large raves according to officer's statements.

Test mode out of submissions above.

Consistent Patten of behaviour as by of D concerned.

1) Test of (**unreadable text**) Nuisance (**unreadable text**) does not (**unreadable text**) delaminates (**unreadable text**) of fact, but from Cad Re: alarm distress etc. Shows this has happened.

The impact this has on police resources looking @ noise levels and potentially speculating out of control. – Disorder due to shutting events down.

2) Pc Elsmore: Description levels other D was subject to order has reduced – only 3 – when D was active was significant more.

3) The order is necessary and attention drawn to carefully word interim order.

Def Closing subs

1) Test to be passed can the allegations be proved? Deceived that alleged it may be illegal, it does not need to cause Alarm or Distress.

Page 2 and 3

Hearsay from Steve Elsmore is a copy and paste job.

Pc Parcel not correct to file evidence, of Crimits, which contained incorrect evidence that can't be backed up, of D known for class A drugs and or supply – info is widely inaccurate.

Totality of evidence is hearsay as well as reports at Cannery Wharf.

No proof this was an illegal rave, as S.63 CJO 1994, No proof of Tres Pass – determination not proved to Criminal Legal Standards.

I did xex Officer of @ no time did he indicate where info had come from.

24/05/2014

2nd Allegations – App relies on Hearsay again and (Crimits.) Pages 104 – 107 noted from evidence.

2nd Could hearsay from Josher Holyfield who allegedly confessed that was looking to set up raves (Crimits.) steward not her again.

Page 98-100 – hearsay – from a Pc again – all in 3rd person, no indication that Pc attended himself.

No evidence that it was illegal rave.

??Show determination in view of illegal rave and no proof has been submitted or covers witness as victim.

No allegations where app. Produced 1st hand evidence.

The particular (**unreadable text**) of allegations states illegal rave and no proof of required standards has been submitted, nothing adduced.

It may be unlikely for presumption that given but it's possible.

In XEX. App ?del failed to Enfield Council who did not pursue.

Does it show the organiser or just some one getting involved in things he shouldn't.

Hearsay be (**unreadable text**) grounds are not here.

No evidence police confirmed D to be organiser.

D spoke to police – he gives reasonable Intel calming he can't keep his mouth shut.

A man was states his someone else's lawyer.

This is a rave said to have lasted 3 days but evidence is weak.

Tyrone's presence was untrue due to life threatening injuries – No competent evidence.

Police had Intel Re: Every Decibel Matters of with no further line of investigation.

Additional hearsay, only evidence of van of equipment of hired equipment for free.

19/07/2014

Carpet Right – Inspector Skinners evidence – indoor test of legality is proof of trespass and nothing adducted.

Mystery why no statement taken from owner of keys (**unreadable text**) And whether or not consultations had been given to access the premises.

R V CORDELL

-5-

On another occasion: Mr Cordell gave explanations to his presents.

24/07/14

“D accepted he organised”, Pc Edgoose Page 50 – statement said he “did organise illegal raves” Admissions alleged from evidence, Entirely of conversations of others, not clear.

27/07/14

Same as Millmarsh Lane, hearsay evidence of number of Pc's called and gave evidence.

Interesting that some one other than D (**unreadable text**) led a (**unreadable text**)

Evidence of people living and potentially other's on the land treating it as home.

Further evidence inaccurate Shoplifters.

9/10 August

Evidence of Pc officers does not match up with allegations in application – on his duties odd their being squatters, also did not try to contact owner while on duty suggesting D there at private party – due to lack of suitable equipment, evidence D was attending a private party.

Councillor: (unreadable text)

The general credibility of the witness's (**unreadable text**) errors because of the hearsay of Crimits of no prominence taken into account weight of statement.

Page 32 (un readable text) day and event 2

Inconsistencies that are bios for officers to include evidence that favours Application by being unreadable.

Allegation of 15 – 10 boys (**unreadable text**) to talk un relative of conduct.

Fear of reprisals.

LTC when given evidence was to prove sound organisation possibly which D accepts.

If (**unreadable text**) D was polite on his case

Investigation not performed with measurements as it should have been.

Vendetta families highlighted.

Inconsistence's between start of Crimits, complete absinth of follow up is simply worrying.

What other info is wrong that we have not been able to check?

DJ

Mr Justio(UN READABLE TEXT)

Test Not related to police resources.

Was ASBO serious and persistent?

Decrease in activity – “huge decrees since Interim ASBO “but no indication of trends: before – after and previous years.

Pc Elsmore couldn't say why decrease in raves.

Correspondence of consultation - so far this relays wrongfully weak evidence.

Met on points of how

The statutory test in relation to rave into what is required.

DJ

Delivery of judgment @ 15:32pm

DJ

Is satisfied, so that she is sure, that the D did act during dates in such a manner.

ABSBO Granted

Order necessary for reasons:

(1) Nature of the conduct of these parties'

(2) Noise (UN READABLE TEXT) civil(s)

(3) Police officers have to attend in large numbers.

(4) Since interim order there has been a decrease in this type of activity.

(5) Satisfied D has acted in as manner of such conduct that causes harassment alarm, distress.

(6) Conduct (unreadable text) It is necessary to protect residents of Enfield, from anti social acts from Simon Cordell.

DJ

Need to ensure probations are precise to award Asbo application

DEF

D's attendance at raves is not an issue and places unreasonable burden on him for attending parties when 20 people attended and what

appears to be illegal then turns out to be legal, also places D in a difficult position if false steps are made to legality of parties

ASBO must be prevelitive

DJ

D Can carry out legitimate and licensed business.

Point D “or local authority addition.

DJ “To a period of 5 years”

Propitiations are precise and plain

Terms of Order

D

to upset then left room but lawyer present.

Terms

Needs adding

END

- **PAGE 274 of the Applicants Bundle;** please take note to the blocked out section, that of incident and location information relating to cad 2410 entered at 05:35 On 8th June 14;
- **Page 275;** please take note to the blocked out section,
- **Now please take note to Page 276 chapter one line one reference to (A&J Cars)**

Google maps image of A & j Cars also showing Crown Road opposite also known as the old man building rented to Travis Perkins;

<https://www.google.co.uk/maps/@51.649023,-0.0539363,3a,75y,353.77h,87.04t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1spFscetdoQrnTQjIW6gsMHKQ!2e0!7i13312!8i6656>

(Enfield A&J Car’s North London.) To which if it was not for this one peace of truth not being left unblock any Jude would believe a police officer over any citizen, as the last magistrates district Judge did do so. please take a look at a copy of the court transcripts below. **(Court Transcript)**

- **Page number 278 to 283 contained within the applicants bundle is also explicitly linked to:** (cad number 2456: 07th Jun 14) and implicitly to:

CAD 2649: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 2989: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 989: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 3274: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 3754: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 5586: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 7983: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 8190: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 8528: 01 Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 6851: 02ND Jun 14

(Mr Simon Cordell was not present on this date; neither does any police or members of the public say that he was. This is in fact Crown Road, the week before the 6th 7th 8th of accused events at progress way.)

CAD 943: 07th 14

CAD 1012: 07th Jun 14

CAD 1047: 07th Jun 14

This 999 Caller who is a repeat victim caller, was talking about a event 10 mins up the Road opposite Southbury Train Station who lives at **(93 Broadlands Avenue, Enfield)**

<https://www.google.co.uk/maps/place/93+Broadlands+Ave,+Enfield,+Greater+London+EN3+5AG/@51.6511736,-0.0548688,16z/data=!4m2!3m1!1s0x48761f08f37606db:0xabeca8d1453c46e8>

This contaminates all the cads and shows that the police officers are not sure were the people coming out of the train station were going to as the train station is a 2 min walk to Crown road.

This is not right because ATT Loc and INC Loc as well as caller location are blocked out on most cads making it impossible to see what other errors or incorrect truths are being made.

- Supporting evidence that 32 Crown RD (A&J Cars) Land mark was in fact being occupied under section 144 Lasbo. Google earth image street view of front gate with section on

https://www.google.co.uk/maps/@51.6497295,-0.0538353,3a,15y,104.32h,81.37t/data=!3m6!1e1!3m4!1sazWzy_HhHaW6zAbqVnkjvA!2e0!7i13312!8i6656

Supporting Evidence proving that 32 Crown RD was having events every weekend
(Exhibit)

Mr Simon Cordell will state that none of the incident numbers relating to the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 did he acting in an Anti social manner, that was likely to cause harm, alarm or distress, to any person or fix a bow of residence.

List of CAD's and Information Relating To the 7th Contained Within the ASBO Application

- There is 93 incident numbers relating to the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 Mr Simon Cordell is being accused of as listed below.
- A list of Cad / incident numbers including supported relevant articles contained within the bundle are as listed below and that of any that is missing, any of the relevant documentation, so to be able to deafened the client Mr Simon Cordell, from all accusation creating the bases of an ASBO application. A list is indexed below and contained within this document. 1 of 93

CAD 7th June 1012 at 01:53 on 7th June 14,
ESSO STN pages 143 to 146;

FIRST PART ABOVE

Around 2:00am on the 8th Mr Simon Cordell states he was just arriving at progress way and was said to have been seen by police

On page 32 A/Insp Hamill 01566 states at 0200hrs on Sunday 8th June that he did in fact see Mr Simon Cordell for the first time, on the 3rd line from the last sentence.

CAD 1047 Name PC239YE Shinnick (**pages 174 to 178**) at 1:59 on 7th June 14, was a 999 call location, which was a police office calling the Enfield Patrol Site, Call name is PC Shinnick, please allow a officer to call on duty.

Also A/ PS Charles Miles 724ye (**page 31**) explains that this date was the 7th June 2014, Any person can tell by the cad 1047, to which A/Inspector Hamill 201566 states he had created, at the first point of contact, as he dispatched officers to the location of the incident, from this information provided we can tell that this was in fact the 8th June 2014 at 1:59, A/Insp Hamill then states, that the officers that he had sent, had reported back that Mr Simon Cordell and Tyrone Benjamin were present, and goes on to state that officers were not aloud access into the occupied building, due to the demand during the shift and low policing numbers, but the cad incident number 1047 07th June 14 pages 174 to 184, states them officers in attendance who could not gain entry, some how managed to see Mr Simon Cordell and his brother Tyrone Benjamin earlier in the day, to which would be impossible as only Mr Simon Cordell had arrived to visit a friend for the first time at the location and the matter of fact of Tyron Benjamin being in hospital.

POINTS PROVED

- A/Insp Hamill 01566 states at 0200hrs on Sunday the 8th June 2014 and Mr Simon Cordell did in fact arrive at this time.
- A/Insp Hamill 01566 Could not be sure of the fact of the person that he is stating was at the gate did in fact bring Mr Simon Cordell back to the gate, he does not state that she or he came back with Mr Cordell, who would have told A/ Insp Hamill that Mr Simon Cordell was in fact the person she had gone to collect and asked to assist in speaking to police as the event organiser, neither did he take any name(s) or personal details of the gate assistances. He also states that Mr Simon Cordell would not in fact speak to him, so if this was true then why would Mr Simon Cordell have approached him to speak to him as the event organiser and not speak, as for fact he was just arriving.
- No police officers did in fact see Mr Simon Cordell, on the 6th 7th Jun 14
- as the first time Mr Cordell see the police was around 2:00am Sunday the 8th Jun 2014.
- Police would have add cad files all ready by date that was miss any Intel relating to Mr Simon Cordell's were about on the 8th Jun 14 or first point of contact, In relation to progress way this is also inclusive of witness statements of any intelligence relating to Progress Way Cad 1012 7th June at 01:53 on 7th June 14. Pages 143 to 146.
- Mr Simon Cordell's Brother could not have been present as for he was in hospital.
- Mr Simon Cordell did not talk to any police or council as he felt intimidated.
- Mr Cordell was not given any noise abating order from the local council as stated on page 34 by A/Insp Hamill 01566.

(On page 33) A Insp Hamill 201566 states that he see Mr Simon Cordell, at the gates but believed that Mr Simon Cordell was coming from inside the premises, due to the large number of people at the location and due to other reasons and believes of the inspectors own, Mr Simon Cordell states that he remembers clearly, that of the police approaching him, as he was walking to wards the gates, when he was arriving from the Great Cambridge road, and that of the police asking him questions in regards to illegal raves. A Inspector Hamill states that he ask Mr Simon Cordell his name and that he gave him a reply, such as to the answer of "yes" verbally and then A Inspector Hamill states that he asked Mr Cordell the same question again but Mr Cordell would not reply, (chapter one of A Inspector Hamill statement page 33 5th line down;) he then states the 3rd time when Mr Simon Cordell was asked again, but this time by the council officers with inspector Hamill present his name, that he would not reply again, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not speak to any body, he just listened to what was being said to him and complied when he was asked to walk back to were he had just parked his vehicle. The police officer is incorrect in saying that MR Cordell was the person that the gate assistant went and collected, as the event organiser, as Mr Simon Cordell was in fact approaching the occupied building and was visiting his friend. He did state this in his first statement dated (00/00/2015.) Mr Simon Cordell will State that, as he was approaching the ally way were tops tiles is before the entrance gate for progress way as stated by A/Insp Hamill 201566 on (page 33 2nd lines up from the last sentence.) Simon remembers it being dark and a lot of people being present in the ally way. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he saw, who he now knows to be A/ Insp Hamill for the first time, at around 2:00 am on the 8th June 2014 as he was arriving and had not seen a police officer on the date in question, till that point of time, when he had seen A Inspector Hamill talking too other people at the gate than him self as he was approaching, he does remember the police trying to speak to him and that he felt that the police was accusing him of being an organiser, to which he was not, so he choose not to say any think, with out a solicitor being present. The Police and council let Mr Cordell go and he walked across the road to the petrol station, while waiting for his friend to turn up, which he had to give a set of keys back too.

- Cad 169 8th June 14 (is Missing Requested by Mr Simon Cordell)

- **Cad 2291 07th June 14 (Is Missing Requested by Mr Simon Cordell)** are no were to be found apart from on **(page 174)**

Crown Road == There was no Licensable events or private parties on the 1st 2nd apart from Crown Road council freedom of information act to be provided, from local council. **(Exhibit)**

- **(Cad 3151 8th June 14 page 278)** clearly states that the rave / private party was at crown road not progress way and that members of the public were using Southbury train station, to get to this location, which is across the road, grid reference; x **(Easting)** 534960 Y **(Northing)** 196240 and that under oath to the Dj A/Insp concealed the truth true facts of their fabricated and manufacture while engineered evidence, that they support contained within the applicants bundle. Please read court train scrip off A Inspector Hamill below; (This also proofs that all the cads are linked together and corrupt)

Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill –R.O – 11.15am

Statement contained in tab 9-lead

DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday.

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D’S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay of officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day. **(Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)**

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. **(Progress Way)**

- **Cad 3319 8th June 14 page 283**

Southbury train STN /Crown RD **(cad 11822 8th June 14 page 302)**

Southbury STN cad 2410 8th Jun 14 page 276. Also blocked out so no person can see, apart from the makers of the bundle themselves, when creating their application towards Mr Simon Cordell, What evidence there is to support this claim is the mistake of A and J cars Enfield not being blocked out, as listed above in this document. As the same as many of the other cad numbers relating to this ASBO case, to which if it was not for this error A and J cars, being not blocked out like the rest of cad 2410 8th Jun 14, Mr Simon Cordell would never of been able to prove this part of his innocents in the ASBO case being put towards him self.

- **CAD 943 7th June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell.**
- **CAD 2649 1st June 2014 == MISSING** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date, neither was he being accused of in police statements off involvement. **Requested by Mr Simon Cordell.**
- **CAD 2989 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of any involvement. **Requested by Mr Simon Cordell.**
- **CAD 3274 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement. **Requested by Mr Simon Cordell.**

- **CAD 3754 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement.

- **CAD 5586 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement.

- **CAD 7983 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement.

- **CAD 8190 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement.

- **CAD 8528 1st June 2014 == MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell** Crown Road Mr Simon Cordell was not even present on this date as well, neither has he been accused in police statements of involvement.

- **CAD 6851 7th June 2014 MISSING & Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 1012 MISSING Crown Road Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 1380 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 1571 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 2456 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 2906 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 3326 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 4015 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 4809 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 8931 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 10844 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 2525 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 2757 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 3436 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 4322 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 10311 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 3838 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 5571 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 2291 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 2904 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 4598 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **CAD 10462 7th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell**

- **8th June 2014**

CAD 930 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell

CAD 1646 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell

CAD 2456 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell

CAD 2766 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell

CAD 2904 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell

CAD 5644 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell

CAD 1081 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell

CAD 1667 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 2608 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 2796 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 2942 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 3179 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 3350 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 5897 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 749 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 1206 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 1768 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 2654 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 2854 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 2845 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 2948 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 3194 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 3515 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 1341 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 169 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 1631 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 2764 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 2890 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 3132 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 3260 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell
CAD 3946 8th June 2014 MISSING Requested by Mr Simon Cordell

- Only 36 have been provided, this is the list of incident numbers with cads below.
- **CAD1047 7th June 2014 At 01:59** Police 999 officers on duty, was mistaken to believe, that Mr Simon Cordell was with Tyrone Benjamin his brother and that they had been seen together earlier in the day, before any police officers had arrived, to have been able to of seen them or any 999 call, (**cad 1012**) is the first police intelligence in relation to Progress way contained within the first applicants bundle and is time stamped at 01:53 dated 7th June 14.
- **CAD 1323 7th June 2014 at 02:41** I had arrived by 01:50 on the 8th June and had walked out of the side alley leading up to the gate of progress way along side tops tiles, with Inspector Hamill as stated in his statement (**page 33**) he states “we all moved to the bottom of progress way “I then Left in my car after waiting for my friend to give him his key back by 02:20 on the 8th June 2014.
- **CAD 1608 7th June 2014 at 03:34** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 1722 7TH June 2014 at 03:58** I was not present at this time. (**P154**) wrong address.
- **CAD 1816 7th June 2014 at 04:15** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 2141 7th June 2014 at 05:50** I was not present at this time. P160 wrong address, Repeat caller.
- **CAD 2672 7th June 2014 at 08:16** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 10471 7th June 2014 at 22:45** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 2255 7th June 2014 at 06:24** I was not present at this time. P165 wrong address, Repeat caller
- **CAD 3005 7th June 2014 at 9:22** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 5206 7th June 2014 at 13:57** I was not present at this time.

- **CAD 10967 7th June 2014 at 23:25** I was not present at this time. P250 grid no wrong address, Repeat caller
- **CAD 2271 7th June 2014 at 06:27** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller
- **CAD 2601 7th June 2014 at 08:09** I was not present at this time. P187 wrong address, Repeat caller
- **CAD 2854 7th June 2014 at 08:56** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 3037 7th June 2014 at 9:20** I was not present at this time. P179 wrong address Repeat caller.
- **CAD 4323 7th June 2014 at 12:25** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 10393 7th June 2014 at 22:38** I was not present at this time. P225 wrong address.
- **CAD 10506 7th June 2014 At 22:44** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 2637 7th June 2014 at 08:18** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 3252 7th June 2014 at 10:07** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 3986 7th June 2014 at 11:47** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 8841 7th June 2014 at 20:07** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 10742 7th June 2014 at 23:01** I was not present at this time. P246 grid no: wrong address, Repeat caller.

8th June 2014

- **CAD 340 8th June 2014 at 00:29** I was not present at this time. This cad has also got no Att Locn p260.
- **CAD 3151 8th June 2014 at 09:08** I was not present at this time. P278 grid no Crown Road: wrong address.
- **CAD 3319 8th June 2014 at 09:39** I was not present at this time. P283 grid no Crown Road: wrong address.
- **CAD 625 8th June 2014 at 00:54** I was not present at this time.
- **CAD 47 8th June 2014 at 00:00** I was not present at this time. Repeat caller.
- **CAD 793 8th June 2014 at 00:10** I was not present at this time. This cad has also got no Att Locn p268.
- **CAD 2410 8th June 2014 at 05:03** I was not present at this time. This cad has also got no Att Locn p273.

CAD numbers 10471 / 10481 / 10506 of the 7th June 2014 = Please take note every day the call centre starts at CAD 01 and goes up to the average of 10,742 to 15,000 callers per day. (We can tell this by the number of cads incident numbers supplied, within this bundle and the supported evidence supplied such as **(Exhibits 1, 2, 3)**)

On the average with 300 callers per hour as time stamped below.

If **(CAD number / Incident Number 10481 7th June 14)** is the 10,481 call of the 7th June 2014 time stamped 22:47

How can a CAD numbered **(CAD 10506 7th June 14)** externally inputted 25 calls later, have an earlier time stamp of the 7th June 2014 at 22:44 hours.

(CAD number 4323 7th June 2014 at 12:25) is 1 hour and 42 minutes from **(CAD incident 4325 7th June 14)** and only had 33 people call when **(CAD 4323 7th June 14)** should have been on the average of 550 people calling the call centre, as proven in the minutes of the cads below:

All cads relating to the 2nd 1st 6th June are missing. (And are requested by Mr Simon Cordell)

Date	Incident no	number	Time	
7th June 2014	1012	01	01:53	People 35
7th June 2014	1047	02	01:59	Mins 6
7th June 2014	1323	03	02:41	People 286
7th June 2014	1608	04	03:34	Mins 40
7th June 2014	1722	05	03:58	People 245
7th June 2014	1816	06	04:15	Mins 53
7th June 2014	2141	07	05:50	People 114
7th June 2014	2255	08	06:24	Mins 24
7th June 2014	2271	09	06:27	People 94
7th June 2014	2601	10	08:09	Mins 17
7th June 2014	2637;p187 to 190:	11 (Error)	08:18	People 325
7th June 2014	2672;p196 to 198:	12 (Error)	08:16	Mins 1h: 35 Mins Incorrect
7th June 2014	2854	13	08:56	People 114
7th June 2014	3005;p203 to 205:	14 (Error)	09:22	Mins 34
7th June 2014	3037;p179 to 183:	15 (Error)	09:20	People 16
7th June 2014	3252	16	10:07	Mins 3
7th June 2014	3986	17	11:47	People 33
7th June 2014	4323	18	12:25	Mins 1h: 42 Mins Incorrect
7th June 2014	4325	19	Missing	People 36
7th June 2014	5206	20	13:57	Mins 9
7th June 2014	8841	21	20:07	People 45
7th June 2014	10393	22	22:38	Mins 2
7th June 2014	10462	23	-----	People 182
7th June 2014	10471	24	22:45	Mins 40
7th June 2014	10481;p233 to 237:	25 (Error)	22:47	People 151
7th June 2014	10506;p238 to 241:	26 (Error)	22:44	Mins 26 = Incorrect earlier time than the previous incident number
7th June 2014	10742	27	23:01	People -----
7th June 2014	10844	28	Missing	Mins 17
7th June 2014	10967	29	23:25	People 102

END OF List of available cads for the 7th June 2014 exhausted.

• **Pages Numbers 143 to 146 Contained within the Applicants Bundle**

No police sent to Location (check still)

Incident no / CAD.1012 7th June 2014 entered at 01:53 End at 02:03 by c723401 Police officer A/ps Charles Miles states on (page 31) that he see Mr Simon Cordell on Saturday the 7th June 2014 at 0203Hrs, when in fact this was on the 8th June at around 1:50 am Hrs as A/Insp Hamill stats on (page 32)

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Victim

Att Locn: OPPOSITE Progress way Great Cambridge Road / The ESSO Petrol Station.

Inc Locn: OPPOSITE Progress way

Call Locn: **(Blocked out)** Please can this be explained

Cris: Not Crimed (Why was this not Crimed)

Opening: Noise

Repeat Caller: not sure

Has this happened before: = (Yes = No Date or Time)

Explicitly linked to: (CAD no. 943 June 2014 =Missing from file.)

Caller states: some kids have broken into a property and seem to be having a rave no violence just lots of kids.

<>

- Reference to Pages 147 to 151

No police sent to Location check

This CAD is related to;

(P147 CAD 1323 07th Jun 14 at 02:41 P333)

(CAD 10481 07TH June 14 at 22:47 p264)

(CAD 625 08th June 2014 at 00:54) Are all the same caller as 32 Crown Road were a party was happening on the 6TH 7TH 8TH June as well as previous, weeks from back dated month's from the 6th 7th 8th of June 2014 evidence provided from Enfield Local Council freedom of information Act. **(Inclusive of Bundle)**

(CAD 3319 08th June 2014 p 283 to 286) shows another occupied premises having party's on Southbury road, including Progress Way and 32 Crown RD all on the same dates of the **(8th June 2014 on page 284)** which is address **(318-328 Southbury rd.)** Comments state; these sites have a fridgle roof. A meeting, which was held at 129A **(Southbury RD with members of the police and public from address 1 – 350 to 2 – 182 and 1 – 104 Southbury rd , including address on page 285 which includes Lincon Road)**

(Incident no / CAD.1323) 07th June 2014 at 02:41 End at 02:36 by c700591 decision maker 528ye

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Victim

Att Locn: Lincon Road

Inc Locn: Lincon Road

Call Locn: **(Blocked OUT)** Crown Road

Cris: **(Blank)** (Mr Simon Cordell asks why this is blank.)

Opening: Noise

- Reference to Pages 147 to 151

Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 June 2014 (p174 to 178)

Caller states:

Can hear load music, it has been going on for two hours.

There was similar problem's a few months ago.

Possibly an illegal rave

<>

- Reference to Pages 152 to 154

No police sent to Location

Incident no / CAD.1722 07th June 2014 at 03:58 End at 04:11 by c717560

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Witness

Att Locn: BLOCKED OUT = Wrong Location

Inc Locn: BLOCKED OUT = Page 154 Incident location released =24 Orchared Terrance = **(next to Ponders End train station.) Mr Simon Cordell asks why this is like this)**

Call Locn: **(Blocked Out)** Mr Simon Cordell asks why this is like this.

Cris: Not Crimed (Mr Simon Cordell asks why this is like this.)

Opening: Suspicious Circumstances

Repeat Caller: No Details

Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 June 2014 (Contaminated as equal as the rest of the incident numbers)

Caller states: Can here smashing glass from a factory

Caller can see one long haired person u/k m or f with rucksack.

◇

- **Reference to Pages 155 to 159**

No police sent to Location check

Incident no / CAD.1816 07th June 2014 at 04:15 End at 04:28 by c720781

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party = (Mr Simon Cordell asks whether this should carry less weight in court)

Att Loen: Progress Way (How can this be possible, as the grid reference should not be in the same grid reference, if coming from a local house as this is an industrial estate.)

Inc Loen: Progress Way

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Opening: Noise

Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 June 2014 ===

Caller states:

Noise coming from a factory passed the Toyota garage off the A10

Has this happened before: =yes

Repeat Caller: = Yes

◇

- **In Reference to Pages 160 to 164 Contained within the applicants bundle**

No police sent to Location

Incident no / CAD.2141 07th June 2014 at 05:50 End at 06:18 by c720781

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third party

Att Loen: Hardy Way Enfield = (This Address is in Gordon Hill Bush Hill Park and is in the wrong Location)

Inc Loen: Hardy Way

Call Loen: Blocked Out

Cris: = Not Crimed

Opening: Noise

Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 June 2014 = (This cad would have to be contaminated as it is linked to Gordon Hill Bush Hill Park and is to far from Progress way.)

Caller states:

Rave behind his house.

Has any think like this happened before: = Yes =

Repeat caller: = Yes =====

◇

- Reference to Pages 165 to 169 contained within the applicants case bundle.

No police sent to Location

Incident no / CAD.2255 07th June 2014 at 06:24 End at 06:31 by c722310

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Third Party =

Att Locn: Leighton Road Bush Hill Park = Wrong Location = same as repeat caller for CAD 2141 7th Jun 14 **(This cad would have to be contaminated as it is linked to Leighton Road Bush Hill Park and is to far from Progress way.)**

Inc Locn: Leighton Road Bush Hill Park

Call Locn: (Blocked Out) (Why is this Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed **(why not)**

Opening: Noise

Repeat caller: = Yes

Explicitly linked to: Cad no. 1047 June 2014 ===

Caller states: Caller states music for 45 mins, believes it is a rave.

(Last time this happened it was coming from the flats at Aylet Croft) This Person is not sure were the music was coming from them self. Believed it was Aylet Croft not Progress Way)

Has any think like this happened before: = yes =

How long ago: = Last summer

◇

• **Reference to Pages 170 to 173**

No police sent to Location again

Incident no / CAD.2271 07th June 2014 at 06:27 End at 06:33 by c722280

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Third Party = **(weight carried)**

Att Locn: Progress Way

Inc Locn: Progress Way **(location is the same as progress way)**

Call Locn: (Blocked Out) =

Cris: = Not Crimed **(why was it not Crimed)**

Opening: ASB Nuisance

Repeat caller: = yes

Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 June 2014 =

Caller states:

There has been a rave going on all night

Has any think like this happened before: = Yes = Happened about a year ago.

◇

• **Reference to Pages 174 to 179**

(Police sent to Location before 1:00 am on the 07th June 2014 and again checked at 3:05:53 07th June 2014 to check all is ok at Progress Way)

Incident no / CAD.1047 07th June 2014 at 01:59 End at 10:56 by c228199

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Name: PC 239YE Shinnick = this is a police officer.

Call Type: Witness Staff on Duty

Att Locn: Progress Way

Inc Locn: (Blocked Out)

Call Locn: Enfield Patrol Centre = this is the Metropolitan police patrol centre

Cris: = **(Blanked Out)**

Opening: Police Generated Source Activity

Explicitly linked to CAD no. 943, 07 June 2014 = **(Missing)**

- CAD no. 943 07 June 2014 = **(Missing)**
- CAD no. 1323, 07 June 2014 = we have This CAD pages 147 to 151
- CAD no. 1380, 07 June 2014 = **(Missing)**
- CAD no. 1571, 07 June 2014 = **(Missing)**

- CAD no. 1608, 07 June 2014 == we have This CAD pages 184 to 186
- CAD no. 1722, 07 June 2014 == we have This CAD pages 152 to159
- CAD no. 1816, 07 June 2014 == we have This CAD
- CAD no. 2141, 07 June 2014 == we have This CAD pages 160 to164
- CAD no. 2255, 07 June 2014 == we have This CAD pages 165 to 169
- CAD no. 2271, 07 June 2014 == we have This CAD pages 179 to173
- CAD no. 2291, 07 June 2014 == (Missing, no were to be found apart from on page 174.)

- **Police Officer Caller states:**

Rave at location organizers Tyrone Benjamin and Simon Cordell have attended earlier there are about 200 people at the location all well natured and there is sufficient fire and safety equipment. Inspector Hamill made aware. Inspector Hamill states that he see me coming out of the gates page 33, to which he Is mistaken as I was just arriving.

- **Point 1:**

Tyrone could not have been present due to his injures; he was involved in an ATR on his moped dated the 10th April 2014. He was air lifted to hospital. He had life changing injuries due to the accident.

- **Point 2:**

On the date of the 7th Mr Simon Cordell did not attended the premises of Progress Way and did do so on the 8th June 2014 but never went in, due to meeting police officers and people in attendance with the police, who Mr Simon Cordell now knows to be council officers, who he had meet as the police were talking to the occupiers of the premises at the front gates, as Mr Simon Cordell approached the occupied building as stated in witness statements.

- **Point 3:**

The police believed that Mr Simon Cordell came from inside the land, CAD 1047 page 174 to 179 notes a call made by a police officer about Mr Simon Cordell and Tyrone being in attendance and seen earlier when cad 1047 clearly states that this the police first point of contact and intelligence about the situation, so how can this be possible as for fact Mr Simon Cordell was first seen when he was arriving to meet a friend.

- **Point 4**

CAD 1047 states 01:59 07th June 2014 page 174 to 179 clearly states that police was not given entry to the premises also noted on (**page 33**) in police statements.

- **Point 5**

CAD 1047 state at 01:59 a call was made and states Police attended on the 7th so this would have been before Mr Cordell attended too progress way to give his friend his keys as he left them at Mr Cordell's flat before hand and needed them back, this is why Mr Simon Cordell's friend had called him.

- **Point 6**

Police statements state (page 32) A / Inspector Hamill 201566 dated 06/08/2014 leading on to the 7th and the 8th of June 2014 was on duty early hours of the 6th going on to the 7th June 2014 and attended progress way. He was back on duty the 7th June 2014 and again attended at around 200 hours with two environment officers he believes he spoke to some body and asked them for an organizer then he Mr Simon Cordell and accused him of being an organiser to which A Insp Hamill admits that Mr Simon Cordell would not speak to any officers, so if Mr Cordell had come to speak to him because some body

had informed him that a police office wanted to speak to him as in pretence as if he was the organizer then Mr Simon Cordell would have been sure to have spoken to him or it would be logical that he would have never approached him in the beginning, Mr Simon Cordell will explain that police are mistaken to take Mr Cordell as to of being the person to which some one had gone to get or he would have come back with that person.

In all the statements it seems the police have there days mixed up they say they me and Tyrone was seen by officers but have never given a name of an officer who was meant to have seen us. But the fact is they could not have seen Mr Cordell and Tyrone walking into the building as they was not there and neither was Tyrone. It was even said at the trial by the applicant that it was not the 7th but early hours of the 8th which was the case I went on the 08th to give my friend his keys as he called me.)

Point 7

The party was advertised on face book, (Evidence) provided that the party started on the 6th June 2014 and this is also proved in (CAD 10967 at 23:35 on 07th June 2014. p250 to 254 on page 252 (Caller states that this happened last night)

◇

- **Reference to Pages 179 to 183**

No police sent to Location

Incident no / CAD.3037 07th June 2014 at 09:20 End at 09:42 by c724202

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Witness

Att Locn: Enfield

Opening: Noise Nuisance

Repeat caller: = Yes

Inc Locn: Enfield Safe House (This location is to far for noise to be from Progress Way, The noise was in fact coming from a party that was on crown road which is much closer, This party was opposite Southbury train station Crown Road related to cads/ incident numbers:

- **CAD: 32 08th June 2014**
- **CAD: 3319 08th June 2014** (south bury road / Crown RD Book 33) pages 283
- **CAD 11822 08th June 2014** (south bury train station /Crown rd) pages 302 to 304
- **CAD 3151 08th June 2014** (south bury road / Crown RD pages 278 to 282)
- **CAD 47 8th June 2014** (safe hall unit, grid 534380,195513 pages 255 to 259)
- **CAD: 2410 08th June 2014** (A&J cars pages 273 to 277 on page 276)
- **CAD: 5206 07TH JUNE 2014** (This has been blocked out of Book 19)
- **CAD: 2456** = All the cads / incident numbers that are in the ASBO folder, when check are Explicitly linked to each other, police (CADS 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Crown Road as well as cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day Crown rd (CADS 340 8th June 14) (CAD 793 8th June 14) (CAD 2410 8th June 14) (CAD 3151 8th June 14) (CAD 3319 8th June 14).
- **CAD 3037 07th June 2014** Enfield Safe Store grid ref 534375,198125 this is miles to far and is closer to Crown Road party. Local council freedom of information act (Exhibit)
- A & j cars CAD number (pages271 to 282) is related to crown rd.

Street name Tynemouth DR linked to CAD 2637

- **CAD: 340 08th June blocked out** book 28
- **CAD: 793 08th June 2014** book 30
- **CAD: 2410 08th June 2014** book 31

- **CAD: 2601 07th June 2014** book 11 Ayley croft house party possible police or bailiff raid has happened before. This is gentlemen explained were he believes the sound is coming from and that is not of Progress Way.
- **CAD: 1722 07th June 2014** Blocked out Linked to cad 1047

Opening: Noise Nuisance

Cris =

Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 June 2014 ===

Caller states:

Many of the cads are missing from the 93 incident numbers I am being accused of to which I only have 36 CADs in regards to this ASBO application, including CADs relating to the 6th that are mentioned including the 7th and the 8th June and all the 1st and 2nd June including Any with the ATT Location and INC location marked as progress way or just simply Blocked out should have been provided so I can stand to my rights in a fair and speedy trial.

<>

- **Reference to Pages 184 to 186**

Book 10 No police sent to Location caller told police aware

Incident no / CAD.1608 07th June 2014 at 03:34 End at 03:37 by c721222

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Third Party

Repeat call: = no

Att Locn: Great Cambridge Road / Progress Way

Inc Locn: Great Cambridge Road / Progress Way

Call Locn: BLOCKED OUT=====

Cris: = BLANK

Opening: Suspicious Circumstances

Explicitly linked to: CAD no. 1047 / 8841 June 2014 === Both CADS are in the ASBO application.

Caller states:

Caller states there is rave going on in a ware house next to his.

<>

- **Reference to Pages 187 to 190**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 2601 07th June 2014 at 08:09 End at 08:15 by c723097

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Rowdy or Inconsiderate behaviour

Att Locn: Cambridge road/Ayley Croft Enfield grid ref 534219,195697 (**Location is wrong for progress way**)

Inc Locn: Cambridge road/Ayley Croft Enfield grid ref 534219,195697 Location is wrong

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: (CAD 2456 07th June 2014) and is (MISSING) and contaminated to Crown Road party on the same day as (Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cads 793 8th June 14) (Cads 2410 8th June 14) (Cads 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: =

Caller states:

At 08:30 Last night on the 6th June 2014 there was a lot of noise and it is till going on.

Has this happened before? No

Caller States:

4 +5 cars are in and out causing a nuisance.

He thinks a raid is going on.

He can see a red Mini with trims on.

There is also a removal lorry.

List of Cads that are found to be related: Cad2456, Cad2637, Cad2255

◇

• **Reference to Pages 190 to 195**

No police sent to Location checked: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 2637 07th June 2014 at 08:18 End at 08:26 by c722296

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Noise

Att Locn: Progress Way Enfield warehouse

Inc Locn: Progress Way Enfield warehouse

Call Locn: BLOCKED OUT=====

Cris: = BLANK

Explicitly linked to (Cads2456 7th June 014), (Cad 303 7th June 2014) and (Cad 3037 7th June) is in the ASBO Application related to (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) which is Missing and believed to be contaminated to a party that was on the day of 32 crown Road related to (Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14)(Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = yes 7/6/2014

Caller states:

Rave is still going on.

Police State they are already aware.

◇

• **Reference to Pages 196 to 198**

No police sent to Location checked:

Incident no / CAD: 2672 07th June 2014 at 08:16 End at 08:33 by c724203

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Burglary other than dwelling (Suspects on premises) same as Cad 3005 7th June 2014 (the time stamp is in Error), police aware since the 6th June 2014

Att Locn: Progress Way Enfield

Inc Locn: Progress Way Enfield

Call Locn: (Blanked out)

Cris: = (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: Explicitly linked to (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) is Missing and contaminated to Progress Way as well as CADs to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day crown rd (Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Blank

Caller states:

(Page 197) caller states reporting an illegal rave = Why burglary if caller states this.

◇

• **Reference to Pages 199 to 202**

No police sent to Location check:

Incident no / CAD: 2854 07th June 2014 at 08:56 End at 08:33 by c724203

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Noise

Att Loen: Progress Way Enfield

Inc Loen: Progress Way Enfield

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: Explicitly linked to (Cads 2456 07th June 2014) and are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day Crown Road (Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cads 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (CAD 3151 8th June 14) (CAD 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Caller states:

Caller states illegal rave is still going on.

◇

• **Reference to Pages 203 to 205**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 3005 07th June 2014 at 09:22 End at 09:29 by c723097

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Burglary other than a Dwelling (Suspects on Premises) Same as (Cad 2672)

Att Loen: Progress Way Enfield

Inc Loen: Progress Way Enfield

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) which is Missing and contaminated to Progress Way as well as Cads to 32 Crown Road party on the same day Crown road (Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Caller states:

That a rave is happening and that there is drugs.

Music still ongoing

◇

• **Reference to Pages 206 to 209**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 3252 07th June 2014 at 10:07 End at 10:18 by c723258

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Rowdy or Inconsiderate behaviour

Att Loen: Progress Way Enfield

Inc Loen: Progress Way Enfield

Call Loen: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = No

Caller states:

Members off the public are urinating and being, inconsiderate with there behaviour.

Caller believes they are taking drugs.

Caller states that the warehouse has been empty over one year. They put the block out side to say the premises are un-occupied.

Caller would like to stay anonymous.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 210 to 213**

No police sent to Location checked: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 3986 07th June 2014 at 11:47 End at 11:52 by c718168

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Noise

Att Lochn: Progress Way

Inc Lochn: Progress Way

Call Lochn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes 2 Years ago

Caller states:

There is an illegal rave in a ware house going on.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 214 to 217**

No police sent to Location checked: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 4323 07th June 2014 at 12:25 End at 12:33 by c723094

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Noise

Att Lochn: Progress Way

Inc Lochn: Progress Way

Call Lochn: BLOCKED OUT=====

Cris: = BLANK

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = First time caller page 216

Caller states:

He claims there is an illegal rave at the rear of his house been going on since 02:00 this morning.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 218 to 220**

No police sent to Location checked: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 5206 07th June 2014 at 13:57 End at 14:05 by c192061

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Rowdy or Inconsiderate behaviour

Att Lochn: (Blocked Out) = Crown RD

Inc Lochn: (Blocked Out) = Crown RD

Call Lochn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blank)

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = No

Caller states:

There is loud music from rear of house.

<>

• **Reference to Pages 221 to 224**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 8841 07th June 2014 at 20:07 End at 20:12 by 079328 / L3144

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Noise

Att Locn: Progress way

Inc Locn: Progress way

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad1608, 2456 7th June 2014) = (Cad 2456 7th June 14) are MISSING (Cad1608) is ok.

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Caller states:

Rave is happing people are climbing over his back garden.

Has this happened before: yes, no date and time?

<>

• **Reference to Pages 225 to 233**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 10393 07th June 2014 at 22:38 End at 22:57 by c723886

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Robbery Bladed Article

Att Locn: Great Cambridge behind Top Tiles

Inc Locn: Great Cambridge behind Top Tiles

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = No

Caller states:

This Gentleman went to an illegal rave and has been robbed by males with knife.

There is a mention of a car jacking but no information, as it has been blocked out.

No response all police cars unavailable.

Caller states:

2 x Black Males

1 x White Male

1 x Mixed Race Male = Mr Simon Cordell was not present at the time of 22:38 till 22:57 noted by police.

Knife was about 6 inches 2 x Black Males held his hand while others took his money = £22 pounds sterling.

Caller wants to stay anon
Police went to scene.

◇

• **Reference to Pages 233 to 237**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Related to P147 (Cad1323 07th Jun 14) at 02:41 P333 (Cad 10481 07th June 14) at 22:47 p264 (Cad 625 08th June 14) at 00:54.

Incident no / CAD: 10481 07th June 2014 at 22:47 End at 22:51 by c722309

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Noise

Att Lochn: (Blocked Out) but is Progress way, GRID REFFRENCE OF 534657, 195453

Inc Lochn: (Blocked Out) but is Progress way, GRID REFFRENCE OF 534657, 195453

Call Lochn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes 07/06/2014

Caller states:

A rave is going on in a factory down the road, the music is very load.

◇

• **Reference to Pages 238 to 241**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 1056 07th June 2014 at 22:44 End at 22:51 by c720782

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Noise

Att Lochn: Progress way

Inc Lochn: Progress way

Call Lochn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Caller states:

Caller states rave has been going on since last night and he can get no sleep.

Has this happened before: = Yes No Date and Time

◇

• **Reference to Pages 242 to 245**

No police sent to Location checked: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 10471 07th June 2014 at 22:44 End at 22:51 by C720782

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Witness

Opening: Noise

Att Lochn: Progress way

Inc Lochn: Progress way

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes 07/06/2014

Caller states:

Noise started again at Progress Way

◇

- **Reference to Pages 246 to 249**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 10742 07th June 2014 at 23:01 End at 23:11 by C101091

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Noise

Att Locn: Lincon RD Enfield

Inc Locn: Lincon RD Enfield

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = yes No Date and Time

P 248 first quarter (Blocked Out)

Caller states:

Believes a ware house has been making noise since last night.

The Local Council is aware.

◇

THIS IS THE LAST 7TH OF JUNE 2014

- **Reference to Pages 250 to 254**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / Cad:10967 07th June 2014 at 23:25 End at 23:38 by C717554

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Noise

Att Locn: Great Cambridge RD Grid 535375,202125 = (the grid number takes you to Cheshunt miles to far.)

Inc Locn: Cambridge RD Grid 535375,202125 ==the grid number takes you to Cheshunt miles to far

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes 6th June 2014

Page 252 (Blocked Out)

Caller states:

Caller says lots of cars turning up and can hear music.

50 people down the back.

◇

PART 3

THIS IS THE FIRST 8th June 14

There are 37 CAD/ Incident numbers for the 8th June 2014, to which there is only 7 in the ASBO application and only Cad Number 47 represents Progress Way, the rest represent 32 Crown RD another premises being occupied under section 144 lazppo 10 minutes away from progress way.

By the statistics, the call centre receives on the 8th June 2014, 300 people call per hour. Cads 2410 and 3151 should equal 741 callers the same as Cads 793 to Cad 2410 Cad 3151 Caller is 3 HOURS: 25 Minutes, Please can this be explained.

Date	Incident no	number	Time		
8th June14	47	01	00:00	Progress Way	People 293
8th June14	340	02	00:29	Crown RD	Mins 29
8th June14	625	03	00:54	Crown RD	People 285
8th June14	793	04	01:10	Crown RD	Mins 24
8th June14	2410	05	05:35	Crown RD	People 168
8th June14	3151	06	09:08	Crown RD	Mins 16
8th June14	3319	07	09:39	Crown RD	People 1617
					Mins 3hours:25mins
					People 168
					Mins 03hours:33mins
					People 325
					Mins 1h: 35mins (Bad)
					People 168
					Mins 31

- **Reference to Pages 255 to 259**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / Cad: 47 /08th June 2014 at 00:00 End at 00:11 by C720796

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Noise

Att Locn: Progress way

Inc Locn: Progress way

Call Locn: (Blocked Out

Cris: = (Blocked Out)

Explicitly linked to (Cad. 169 8th June 2014) and (Cad 2456 June 2014) which is

MISSING: = (Cad169 missing from every were)

Repeat Caller: = Yes 07/06/2014

Caller states:

Caller would like to report an illegal rave that is going on, and has been for the past two hours.

◇

- **Reference to Pages 260 to 263**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / Cad 340 08th June 2014 at 00:29 End at 00:32 by C080128

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Rowdy or inconsiderate Behaviour

Att Locn: (Blocked Out) = No Grid
Inc Locn: (Blocked Out) = Crown Road
Call Locn: (Blocked Out) = Crown Road
Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = No
Caller states:

Illegal rave is happening; this is not the problem people peeing in her garden.

◇

- **Reference to Pages 264 to 267**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Related to P147 (Cad1323 07th Jun 14) at 02:41 P333 (Cad 10481 07TH June 14) at 22:47 (p264 Cad 625)

Incident no / CAD: 625 08th June 2014 at 00:54 End at 01:11 by C060648

Rec by: Ordinarily

Call Type: Victim

Opening: Noise

Att Locn: (Blocked Out) = Crown Road

Inc Locn: (Blocked Out) = Crown Road

Call Locn: Lincoln rd to far wrong

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Caller states:

Loud music has started up again from the estate.

◇

- **Reference to Pages 268 to 272**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / Cad: 793 08th June 2014 at 01:10 End at 01:30 by C722768

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: Rowdy crowd

Att Locn: (Blocked Out) = Crown RD

Inc Locn: (Blocked Out) = Crown RD

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes 07/06/14

Caller states:

Caller wishes to make a noise complaint it is keeping him up.

The same thing happened last night.

◇

• **Reference to Pages 273 to 277**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 2410 08th June 2014 at 05:03 End at 05:43 by C723395

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Witness

Opening: Drugs

Att Locn: (Blocked Out) = Crown Road

Inc Locn: (Blocked Out) = Crown Road

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = Not Crimed

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Happened before: = No

Page 276 == A & J cars Enfield ==Crown rd ==I would not have been able to prove my innocence in this case if it was not for A & J CARS being left in text, and no this is the same fro many of the other Cads contained within the ASBO application.

Caller states:

Drugs are being openly sold all over the street, caller noticed on the way home.

• **Reference to Pages 278 to 282**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 3151 08th June 2014 at 20:07 End at 20:12 by 079328 / L3144

Rec by: Ordinary

Call Type: Third Party

Opening: (Contact Record)

Att Locn: South Bury RD / Crown Rd = Crown RD

Inc Locn: South Bury RD / Crown Rd = Crown RD

Call Locn: (Blocked Out)

Cris: = (Blocked Out)

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: = Yes

Caller states:

Caller States Rave is still going, states she still can not get any sleep, she has contacted environmental health but they say they close at 03:00 hours.

Previous commands noted by police.

• **Reference to Pages 283 To 286**

No police sent to Location check: Bad

Incident no / CAD: 3319 08th June 2014 at 09:39 End at 20:12 by 079328 / L3144

Rec by: Emergency

Call Type: Witness

Opening: Noise

Att Locn: South Bury RD / Crown Rd = Crown RD

Inc Locn: South Bury RD / Crown Rd = Crown RD

Call Locn: 93 BROADLANDS AVENUE, ENFIELD = Wrong location it relates to Crown RD
Caller TEL: ==0208-443-4251

Name: MR Jennings

Cris: = Blanked Out

Explicitly linked to: (Cad 2456 07th June 2014) are MISSING and contaminated to Progress Way as well as (Cads to 32 Crown Rd party on the same day as Crown road Cads 340 8th June 14) (Cad 793 8th June 14) (Cad 2410 8th June 14) (Cad 3151 8th June 14) (Cad 3319 8th June 14.)

Repeat Caller: =

Caller states:

END OF CADS FOR THE 8th June 2014

- **Reference to Pages 2 TO 3 also pages 77 to 94**

5: = 20.06.14

Mr Simon Cordell was involved in the organization of and/ supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at 1 Falcon Park, Neasden Lane, NW10

(A)

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was at home; Address Burncroft avenue Enfield and did not cause any Anti social behaviour. He will also State that he did attended a friends home address, who had hired equipment of him self and that he had hired the equipment in good faith, Mr Simon Cordell will also state that he attended the premises of (1 Falcon Park), this was due to police involvement, after he was contacted by his friend at the time of; 01:00am, Mr Simon Cordell will sate that he was travelling that day in his vehicle for 2 hours of the 5 hours 15 mins before arrival to (1 Falcon park and arrived at around 03:00, as Mr Simon Cordell was asked to collect his equipment. Mr Cordell, will then State that he went home by 05:15 hours and was told by police to collect his equipment at a latter date, to which he did do.

(B)

At no point is Mr Simon Cordell being accused of acting in an anti social manner on the 20:06:14 within the ASBO application.

(C)

There are no Cad numbers in the ASBO application in regards to 1 Falcon park,

(D)

Mr Simon Cordell has never been arrested for any incident, relating to1 Falcon park, as he had no involvement in the organization, neither did he attended on 20:06:14 to the event in question.

(E) Face Book (Evidence)

- **Reference to Pages 2 TO 3**

6: = 19.07.14

Mr Simon Cordell was involved in the organization of and/ supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at Carpet Right Show room on the A10 Great Cambridge Road Enfield.

(A)

(B)

(C)

(D)

- **Reference to Pages 2 TO 3**

7: = 24.07.14

Mr Simon Cordell was involved in the organization of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty ware house on Mill Marsh Lane

- (A)
- (B)
- (C)
- (D)

- **Reference to Pages 2 TO 3**

8: = 24.07.14 Mill Marsh Lane

Mr Simon Cordell admitted to police officers that he organized illegal raves

(A) **Alma Road** INSP Edgoose that he was the organiser of any rave or that he hired sound equipment for the use in raves.

(B) **Carpet Right** INSP Skinner that he was the organiser of any rave on the 19th July 2014

(C) **Ponders end**

(D) Progress way INSP Skinner that he was the organiser of any rave on the 7th 8th June 2014

(E) **Mill Marsh Lane**

- **Reference to Pages 2 TO 3**

9: = 10.08.14

Mr Simon Cordell was involved in the organization of and / or supplied equipment for and / or attended an illegal rave at an empty ware house on Mill Marsh Lane

Mr Simon Cordell further actively sought to encourage a large group of people to breach the peace.

(A) Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not encourage a large group of people to break the front line of the police.

(B) Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not organise any raves at mill marsh lane.

(C) Mr Simon Cordell will state that Mill Marsh Lane does in fact contain warehouses that were being occupied under section 144. (**Evidence Google screen shoots (Evidence of picture taken at the location)**)

(D) Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not have Nitrous oxide and was in fact carry Co2 Canisters)

(E) Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not carry any sound equipment as he was travelling in his car)

Cases and dates mentioned on other pages

INFO REPORT

- **Reference to Pages 107 to 139**

7th April 2013

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had two off road bikes going out on a Sunday with friends off road in, and was pulled over by police officers and wrongfully accused of no insurance and public order. He provided evidence in court and his innocence was proven. This happened at the same point of time, as the proceeding of the ASBO application.

INFO REPORT

- **Reference to Pages 104 to 106**

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he caused; No anti social behaviour.

24th may 2013 at the Old police station

INFO REPORT

- **Reference to Pages 101 to 103 Created by Alan Brown**

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he caused; No Anti Social Behaviour on the 20th April 2014 at 420 Hyde Park

INFO REPORT

- **Reference to Pages 140 to 142 INFO REPORT**

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he caused; No Anti Social Behaviour at Wood Wharf

- **Reference to Pages 5 of the ASBO application as this is the first in the bundle.**

Point 1.

On the 13th of august 2014 the local authority and the police held a consultation meeting in regards to my self Mr Simon Cordell and reached a decision to be taken in this matter.

- Mr Simon Cordell will state that; An anti social behaviour order (ASBO) is / was a civil order made in the United Kingdom against a person who has been shown, on the balance of evidence, to have engaged in anti social behaviour and the order was, introduced by Tony Blair in 1998, within the protocol to create a successful ASBO application it states Voluntary solutions and other remedies should be considered by the applicant prior to the multi agency cases conference regarding ASBO’S. Any of the following voluntary solutions and alternative remedies should be considered prior to an application for an ASBO being considered such as;

Mediation;

Verbal and written warnings from the relevant authorities including Police

Support Packages;

Diversionary schemes and activities;

Rehabilitation;

Criminal investigation;

The above list is not exhausted to it limit.

- Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has never been given the opportunity neither has he been asked to attended this meeting or another prior to this as this would have been the opportunity to talk to him about a pre warning or other actions that could have been taken.

point 2.

- made on page 5 is the statement that there was no conflicting work in progress with the local authority with the name of Mr Simon Cordell, which in fact is a conflict with the aim of the ASBO application under the crime disorder act 1998.

Mr Simon Cordell will (Exhibit) evidence supporting the fact that he was working at Kemp hall, as a Voluntary Worker and that this is leased and owned while under Enfield local Authority Management, at all times.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was working and had the keys and alarm code to the building and was a member on the board while trying to help Debbie the main manager at the time and (**Exhibit**) of emails as well as texts from her on his phone and computer on dates off 00/00/2014 to 00/00/2014.

- **Reference to Pages 1 application**

Point 1.

It is alleged that the Mr Simon Cordell has acted on the dates between Jan 2013 to 10th august 2014 in Enfield in an anti social manner likely to cause harm alarm or distress to one or more person not of the same house hold as him self.

Witness Statement of Steve Elsmore

Witness statement Steve Elsmore who is a police officer attached to the anti social behaviour team, Community Safety unit.

It is to be relied upon by members of the applicant's application under section 1(c) of the crime and disorder act 1998, as amended by the Anti Social Behaviour Act 2003.

- This ASBO application does not meet the criteria and key elements as listed below.
- This is a Stand-alone ASBO application in the Magistrates' Court against Mr Simon Cordell.
- He will State; at no point of time, did he take any part in any form of Anti Social Behaviour that he did cause or was likely to cause, neither did he cause any Anti Social Behaviour that was likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.
- Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not organize any events within this ASBO application.
- Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence that might have caused or was likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.
- At no point have I committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

The PNC holds information in regards to:

Arrests:

Point 1 about Arrests:

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not hold or organize illegal raves and did not on the dates in question. There is no reason; he will also state that he should not be accused of doing so on dates in question in this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has been wrongfully arrested at (CARPET RIGHT) Great Cambridge Road dated 19th Jul 2014 and detained for a possible breach of the peace, that Police have stated ('believed might happen') which at no point was Mr Simon Cordell involved in and that he did not commit any form of Anti Social Behaviour, on the 19 July 2014. He has never been charged and that he was just detained and released with no option of an interview.

A police office Inspector Hill Moore states ("he believed, that by arresting me that no further raves would happen.)

Mr Simon Cordell Will State that he was not involved in the hiring of equipment or organization of any said rave, neither was he on the freehold of the land nor did he attending a rave as he is being accused of.

CAD Incident number 10635 19th Jul 14 pages 291 to 301 on page 294 clearly states that 20 white males and females attended the occupied premises, it also states all the address of the people police officers spoke to on the land contained by police within the building and outer surrounding gates of carpet right.

- **Case Progression**

Point 1 about case progression:

M Simon Cordell will also state that he did not hold or organize illegal raves and that he is of Mix Race British Nationality, so there would be no truth in information leading to case progression held on the police national computer re Mr Simon Cordell on the dates in question.

- **Previous convictions**

Point 1 about previous convictions:

Mr Simon Cordell also reverses his rights of the rehabilitation Act and state time spent is of all convictions on his criminal record. And that he is sure of the fact that of being that he does not have any previous conventions, nor has he been charged with any similar natured offences with relevance to an ASBO application.

There are errors on his PNC record which he has been trying to rectify and there for does not agree with any records of his criminal record

• **Vehicle ownership**

Point 1 about Vehicle ownership:

Mr Simon Cordell inserts and instates his Rights of the Freedom of Movement. As expressed in article 13 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, it asserts that:

A citizen of a state in which that Citizen is present has the liberty to travel, reside in, and/or work in any part of the state where one pleases within the limits of respect for the liberty and rights of others. At no point has Mr Simon Cordell used his vehicles to organize a rave or attended an illegal rave knowingly.

(Mr Simon Cordell challenges the hearsay statements compiled by Steve Elesmore)

"His statement aims to show supporting evidence of the course of behaviour of Mr Simon Cordell acting in an Anti Social Manner).

Steve Elesmore: Provides statements of hearsay obtained by police and witness, been witnessed first hand by officers, been witness by independent witness. Please see a copy of the court transcripts as listed below.

Witness 1 – Inspector Hamill –R.O – 11.15am

Statement contained in tab 9-lead

DEF XEX

Intel would be by open source, checked by an officer but was not done by me.

The rave was taking place indoors.

I have not personal spoken to the owners of the venue.

I only see the D on the Saturday on the evening of the 7th Saturday. (This was in fact early Hours of the 8th around 1:00am.)

I did not go inside, the gates were closed.

I did not see any vehicles.

D’S Van reg is known to the police but I would not personally know.

There were vehicles parked but I did not notice whether defendants van was there.

He was not aware of people squatting in that building at that time.

(Hearsay but officers continues D @ venue but (unreadable text) Officer (unreadable text) Not present here today.)

There was a rave on an adjoining RD but not on that day. (Please Take Note Here of inspector Hamill stating under oath that he was sure all locations were to do with progress way on this date.)

Phone calls received were not relating to Crown Rd Rave on that day.

On the day in question phone calls related to this particular rave. (Progress Way)

Witness – Pc Elsmore – R.O – 14:10 EIC

Tab 6 – pg ?14?

DEF XEX

Council (unreadable text) curfews (unreadable text) that PNC info on statement adds no (unreadable text) plobatory (unreadable text) value of info re: Witness being “afraid of D” What he puts down to the way he worded, but he meant that people actually are afraid of possibly giving evidence in court.

R V CORDELL

4

DEF

Counsel argues that officers statement is designed to cause on evidence reaction of this of no value and speculative in nature.

DJ

How many calls from public did police receive?

Witness

In excess of 15 calls – how many to the same venue and not other address.

Doe’s not know the number of callers that are in relation to each of these occasions.

On page 15 – Allegations re: Millmarsh Lane, evidence from officer not first hand – relied on cads and other Intel.

Query Re: “3 massive nitrous tanks”

DJ

Were did you get such info officer.

Witness

From Page 65 – sergeant King – Crimits Re reports, other Intel but not from people at the venue.

COUNSEL

Officer you signed a statement of truth (unreadable text) to other witness statements.

DJ

We all know that on ASBO apps hearsay is allowed.

R V CORDELL

5

Counsel

Why did officer no and rely on Pc Kings Statements later than on the Crimits reported.

Officer no and involved in taking info from Pc King.

(Confesses he did it.)

He did not notice the discrepancy regarding official statements.

Have heard of Every Decibel Matters – They were advertising and I believe the D knows a member of the above company.

No evidence D is involved in running there operations.

No attempt has been made to speak to directors of company.

No reason to why you didn't /contact the company.

I think from memory have met D once @ Edmonton police station.

(At Page 16 1st paragraph – not consistent to fact that he met him on the 7/6/2014)

All notes with cad number were listed from reports not officers own words – same applies from Cads that had no input.

Has not made attempts too contact owners of premises.

Officers unable to assist courts in relation to why statements were not signed on note books profiles.

Another example of doings put in statements to blacken Mr Cordell's evidence in statement @ point 12, No convictions that of class A drugs unlike what's written in

Statements – another example of untrue cut and past.

DJ

Ill ignore because no convections of class A drugs or supplying is present on the criminal record.

Counsel

You can not assist with witness reliability of info contained, can you?

Can Intel be wrongfully inaccurate? No

Officer

On that particular re post, it appears to be right.

I did not speak to Parcell he is force @ seven boroughs.

I believe he was not included in the email, because Intel (**unreadable text**) Email sent to LDE only.

Searched (**unreadable text**) for info on Cordell's convections.

Moving on to statement on Page 30

Does PO investigating unit have more info than it is letting on?

Officer

No

Are you aware that Miss Cordell has spoken to other officers Re: Rave?

This suggests that you do not want DS Tanner to be examined on these proceedings because she has information Re knowledge of raves and them not being connected to W/D.

Spoke to Pc Tanner but not written what – spoke to (**unreadable text**) this year

You have no recorded that you emailed her but then spoken to her.

Emails have been deleted and no copies keep on record.

Mr Simon Cordell challenges all the witness statements by all officers.

Mr Simon Cordell challenges all the witness statements by all independent witness.

(Mr Simon Cordell challenges the hearsay statements compiled by Steve Elesmore)

"Independent witness to frightened due to reprisal."

At no point Cad relating to the independent witness statements provide any information relating to offences or civil matters that should lead to an ASBO application that, Mr Simon Cordell should be convicted off as he is innocent in regard's to organizing Illegal Raves or acting in a Manner likely to Cause Alarm Harm or Distress."

Mr Simon Cordell requires each witness to be called individually to give evidence at court.

Mr Simon Cordell will challenge the statements made by Steve Elesmore "Simon Cordell Is known to the police to have 28 convections a copy is available of his criminal record"

Mr Cordell feels that this is misleading due to the errors in his criminal record as police are aware. Evidence will be provided as proof of my statement.

Mr Simon Cordell feels that the data from the PNC representing his Criminal Recorded is information not true to its facts and is misleading. Mr Simon Cordell has been checking with the courts and challenged the validity of the PNC record and has been going throw the process of have 5 cases

rectified due to critical errors that have been added in error that have had a major effect on my life even in the ASBO application being put against him self.

BOOK 6

ILLEGAL RAVES:

"Deaths at raves: the most resent was young 15 year old male who died at a rave at Croydon"

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is deeply concerned as well as upset for any life lost and he is heart felt for all effected by this tragedy of a young life lost.

Mr Cordell would like it noted that he was on curfew and had been since June 2013 for a case he has already proved his innocents in.

In regards to the statement below Mr Simon Cordell feel that this is Slander of character and the two statements below should not be justified as (1) he is not a drug pusher or user. (2) he was on curfew and had been since June 2013 and have not done any think wrong to be punished so.

Mr Simon Cordell feels that the statement, (Simon Cordell is free to continue to organize such events, is un-justified.)

"Raves are known for drugs."

"Please take note Mr Simon Cordell states he has nothing to do with drugs, but he has used cannabis for personal use." (It was also said in the court transcripts that this was in error.)

"This type of illegal event can not be tolerated and action must be taken to disrupt these events, and if a court order is not made then Simon Cordell is free to continue to organize such events."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he feels that this is also Slander of his name and darkens his character and is not true as he was on curfew when Andrew Rio sadly passed away and had been since June 2013. Mr Simon Cordell did not put on events on the days in question and does not see how this has any reference towards an Anti Social order being put towards himself self as he did not act in an Anti Social Manner.

Mr Simon Cordell was wrongly put on curfew for an offence and was found not guilty, at the same time of all the ASBO application and does not have internet at his house address this can be checked with any internet provider and the ip attached to any profiles, his mental heath has suffered due to this, as he spent nearly a year on curfew for something he had not done, and just before his curfew was lifted by the court, my Nan became very unwell this had a big effect on him mentality, and he needed some time out, to then be accused of the offence within the application being brought against him self.

In the early June 2014 the family found out that Mr Cordell Nan was terminal ill with cancer, of the liver, spine and ribs. Mr Cordell was spending a great deal of time with his Nan and family, but mentality his health was suffering. So when he was invited out by friends he took their offers. Mr Simon Cordell's Nan passed away on the 30/08/2014.

It was just after this The police came to his address, he states at that point of time he felt a bit unstable, at this time due to what was going on with his life and that of his families and the lost of his Nan.

Mr Cordell will state that he had put everything that he had gained out of life into the development of his company to take his mind of what was going on around him and because of contentious police harassment that he had locked him self away in his flat. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had friends around his flat on this day of the 12/09/2014, who were trying to help him, the police knocked on his front door. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he saw the police as he looked into the keyhole of his front door without opening the front door, as he was not expecting any others that day to his home.

I called out to ask them what they wanted as due to the problems he has had with the police over many years.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was worried at why the police had come to his flat. The police stated on that day that they wanted to talk to him, Mr Simon Cordell took caution as to opening the front door, he opened it a little to see what the police wanted to say to him, knowing his friends was watching for his safety, they then forcefully tried to put some think in to his flat with out showing any ID, to which Mr Cordell closed the door before the police could do so and then told them that he would not accept anything from them.

The police then spoke to each other as to what to do, then Mr Simon Cordell will then state that he heard, one of the officers say just leave it out side by his door, which they did and then left.

Mr Simon Cordell would not allow anyone to get what had been left out side of the front of his flat front door and called his mother and told her what had happened, he was very unstable at this time due to what happened and his friends were trying to claim him down.

Mr Simon Cordell mother picked up what is now understand to be the ASBO application bundle documents. When she picked them up and read what was inside she was shocked to see the data that was in the file, and took it to the police station and collected a lost and found receipt for it (**Exhibit 0000**). Till date 08/02/2016 that folder is still in the police property room. Mr Simon Cordell does believe that this is a beach of the data protection act, as what was within the files held people(s) personal data. The files before being taken to the police station were in fact copied by way of being scanned of all files that was in the bundle. A letter of complaint (**Exhibit 0000**) was handed to the police.

Mr Simon Cordell states he could not be 100% sure of knowing if any documents were missing by the time his mother had picked the documents up. And that he has never been re-served them to date 08/0/2016.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not openly admitted to officers that he runs raves and has even bragged about it to the police.

Mr Simon Cordell will challenge this statement not to be true to its article, What Mr Cordell will state is as for a matter of fact, all that he ever talks about when talking to any person, it is of a good natured law obeying positive and productive future, of his life and business.

- **Reports involving Simon Cordell: Millmarsh Lane: 10th August 2014**

Ref: yert00376728. PS king

(1) Mr Simon Cordell will State that he had no part in any of the "Young people milling around trying to locate the rave." Or who;

(2) "Was found on the North footway just by Gregg's factory."

"At no point did Mr Cordell have any think to do with, organizing any event (s) on the 10th August 2014 nor did he travel with this group of people and that he had no effect in there decisions made on 10th August 2014. Neither did he take part in any Anti Social."

"Strip of concrete completely open air."

"To my understanding and my own vision Tents was present as well as the occupiers, occupying the free hold of the land and the bricked premises located on the land. People were occupying a building on the land one of many attached to the freehold of the land and was being occupied under section 144 LASPO. Mr Simon Cordell will State that he lives in his flat and has done so for eleven years so have no need to live anywhere else unless staying at a friends place of residence.

Police State that "Simon Cordell was at the gate on police arrival."

Mr Cordell will state "At no point did he have any reason to stand on the gate acting as a occupier, organizer or suppler of equipment or was he involved in the organization of any event on the 10'h August 2014.

"Police statement () claims that Mr Simon Cordell's car contained three massive nitrous oxide tanks."

"The vehicle index MA57 LDY Mr Simon Cordell States; he was driving and was in fact carrying empty CO2 cylinders and did have safety stickers for the carriage of gas cylinders, placed on the boot in clear view for all public to see, as this is the regulations when carrying cylinders. Mr Simon Cordell does do so in accordance of the law, known as The International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by road (ADR), implemented by the Carriage Regulations and had broke no laws nor had he cause any Anti Social Behaviour.

"When queried by police it is said that Mr Simon Cordell had admitted that he had seen the news article about the dangerous effects nitrous oxide has and how the government would probably regulate it."

"Mr Simon Cordell does agree to the statement made about talking about Nitrous oxide and the confiscation being partly about a news article and the government actions toward regulating it, at no time. He will also state that he was not asked if the cylinder's he was carry was in fact nitrous oxide, nor was he asked if they were full, because the answer would have been that he was getting them refilled with co2 for welding."

• **Page 3 of 3 of statement made by PS King**

"Police state that Mr. Cordell was told police superintendent had gave authority to seize sound equipment"

"Mr Simon Cordell will State that at no point was any section or peace of paper served to him, nor was he told verbally of the statement above as he was not the occupier to the freehold of land neither an organizer to any event as listed, within the ASBO application or did he supply any equipment, this includes any form of Anti Social Behaviour.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not pack any sound equipment away, as he did not have any to pack away, as for fact he was driving his car, a car Ford Focus which can not carry a large Amplified Sound System, plus as stated by witness statement PS King "3 massive nitrous oxide tanks," This would not fit into his car Index MA57 LDY a Ford Sliver Focus"

"Police officers state that Mr. Cordell started to pack away his equipment"

"This is misleading as noted by police officer, Steve Elsmore in his said witness statement, that Mr Simon Cordell was driving car index MA57LDY, If This is true then how was Mr Simon Cordell, meant to pack away a large Amplified Sound System plus have 3 massive nitrous oxide tanks, all this would not fit into his car."

"Whilst stood waiting for him to leave"

"Mr Simon Cordell will challenge this statement, and will state that he was in his car also that he had no sound system or any involvement in organizing said party including any form of Anti Social Behaviour."

"100 teenagers turned up / going to storm the rave."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that it is not right for him to be blamed for something that he took no action in organizing.

Also that he should not be accountable for other people's decisions unless he had advised other people to have acted in such a manner, or have leaded other people, to conduct them self's in such a negative manner and at no point did he do so. Mr Cordell will state at no point did he cause any Anti Social Behaviour."

"Group throwing cones and general road furniture towards the police."

"Mr Simon Cordell is upset that this has happened, he also state that he was not the organizer neither did he supply any sound equipment, nor should he be accountable for other people's actions; and that he had no involvement in the organization of the event or supplying any equipment. At no time did Mr Simon Cordell act in an Anti Social Manner."

"Again Simon's car was present."

"This proves that Mr Simon Cordell did not have any large Amplified Sound System, as his ford focus index MA57LDY can not hold such equipment because of size. Mr Simon Cordell does in fact own a van and if he was to have been hiring out any of my sound equipment for said party would have done so within the legal constants of the law and in such instance would have been using his own van to carry his equipment in. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not involved in the organization of said party and did not have any equipment to pack away"

"Simons Cordell's attitude is that he is a modern day business man and the actions of the group had nothing to do with him."

"Mr Simon Cordell does agree that he is and still up and coming am to be a modern day business man. As the police are well aware due to the number of times he gets stopped and spoken to by police, in such times he feels that he is always asked what he has been up to in his life by the police and he would reply to police or anyone that had asked me, the same answerer because it had become a routine, when asked such questions. Mr Simon Cordell was in the process of setting up his business. He will state that he had not taken any part in the organizing or the hire of any equipment on Date 10th August 2014."

- **On the 27th July 2014**

Ref: yert00376227 pc chandler:

"Information had been received that a rave would be taken place."

"Mr Simon Cordell believes if sourced by way of a information request this could prove his innocents in the allegations presented in this police statements and believes that the public order unit at Scotland Yard does in fact hold the information to all dates in question contained within this ASBO application, which would prove Mr Simon Cordell was not the organizer,"

"Statement: Police drove down and found the rave."

"Mr Simon Cordell would like to see proof that this was a rave and the answer **‘(has there been anyone charged with holding a rave on this date in question.)’**)

"Statement: of which people at said rave had the keys for."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not the occupier of the land and he did not have any keys to it."

- **Ref: yert00376227 pc chandler:**
"Police spoke to people inside."

"At no point did any police speak to Mr Simon Cordell as he was not involved in any form of the organization of what he is being accused off such as an Illegal rave."

- **Ref: yert00376227 pc chandler:**

"There was a big stack of speakers which was being powered by a van belonging to Simon Cordell."

"Mr Simon Cordell van is a ford transit 2002 this can not power any think above12v and a sound system is 240v, the size of Mr Simon Cordell generator is the size of a transit van and would have been noted down by a police office due to this Mr Simon Cordell exhibit a picture his generator on his mobile trailer as (Exhibit 0000.)

Mr Simon Cordell did not hire any sound equipment, or have any involvement in the birthday party, he will state that he just new some one, who was treating the premises as there home on the date in question and was living in the local squats in and around Enfield around the dates in the ASBO application, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was at the premises as a guest."

Ref: yert00376227 pc chandler:

"The rave accused of it being was a 20th birthday party for one of the occupiers. Not the person Mr Simon Cordell was there to visit."

The police talked to the persons whose birthday party it was. Mr Simon Cordell does not agree with being accused of organizing his birthday party or any form of Anti Social Behaviour on this date in question, Mr Simon Cordell will state it was not his birthday and he did not hire out any equipment, nor was he involved in the organization of any rave."

Ref: yert00376227 pc chandler:

"Police State The rave was organized by Simon Cordell"

Mr Simon Cordell will state that this said rave was not set up him. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has never been charged for the organization of this said rave and believes that if this had been a correct statement that he would have been arrested.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that if this was not his birthday or party, that he was just merely invited due to knowing someone who was living at the premises. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is not homeless and that he does in fact live in his own council flat. Mr Simon Cordell will state that this at no point did he in fact cause any actions that was likely to cause Alarm Harm or Distress.

"Police officers state that this was connected to another rave on Alma Road."

"Alma Road is a road just of Green Street, to which Mr Simon Cordell will state that he lives, with mostly private housing developed on it, there is a few long term companies. And a few businesses and that he does not know of any rave or location along alma road that a Rave has ever taken place, or of any place people have lived as he keeps his private life to him self and only in exceptional circumstances over official governing body(s) of relevance towards them issues, that may be of concern contained within their departments. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has checked face book and applied to Enfield local council to be told no rave has happened on Alma Rd as well, asks please can you supply evidence supporting your claims ‘ ‘ Connected to another rave on Alma RD ‘ ‘.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not involved in any said rave and has never been to a party on Alma Road.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does in fact drive down Alma Road a fair amount due to his Nan Once living just off there and living two roads away.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that that he does also travel down Alma Road to get from his flat and his mothers address.

The only event on Alma Road involving the metropolitan police, that Mr Simon Cordell remembers was when he was pulled over on a Thursday, in his car index MA57 LDY which the case has been added to this ASBO application. Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point was he Anti Social towards the police that pulled him, or he would have been arrested for a section 5 or of a similar offence and he surely would not have walked away, with out even a ticket. He will state that he did in fact shake the police officers hands as he left.

Thursday 24th July 2014, At around 16.25 hours: Alma Road:

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was driving index MA57LDY as he stated down Alma Road and this is a road that he travels down regally.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he uses this road to travel between his mothers house and his own flat, as it is one of the only routes of access between both flat and house, and it is also the fastest route to take. Mr Simon Cordell will state that this Nan also lived just off Alma Road before her resent death. On travelling from his mothers house on Thursday 24th July 2014 from seeing his Nan and mother due to his Nan's illness he was going home to his flat and used Alma Road as a route to travel as he always does do so.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he noticed an unmarked police car, as it was indicating to take a right turn the opposite way from which he was travelling.

The reason he new this to be an unmarked police car was because he new the police officer who was driving from seeing him on active duty within the local area.

As he drove past it changed its indication to the way he had been heading, which was a left direction.

The unmarked police car continued to follow him in turn putting on the blue lights in there vehicle. he pulled over to the left had side of the road opposite the BMW repair centre along Alma Road, on the left hand side of the pavement leading to the back entrance of Durant's park.

A male office got out of the passenger side and approached Mr Simon Cordell drivers door, he un done his car window to a jar asking why he had been pulled over to which the police office replied he was not sure and said his college had instructed him to do so. He then went back to his police car and then reproached his car window with his college the driver of the undercover police car.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was asked again why he had been pulled over to the reply of the driver of the police car pulling out his police truncheon forcing me to get out my car or if he declined his window will be smashed.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he got out of his car as he did not have any think to hide, neither had he committed any traffic or criminal offence, nor was he wanted. The reason given to Mr Simon Cordell was for being stopped, then being accused of driving to close to the car in front of him. This car did not stop nor was it pulled over by police. Mr Simon Cordell will then state that he was then accused of having drugs; He was searched and so was his vehicle and nothing was found.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was asked by police what he had been up to and that he told them that he was setting up his catalogue that he and his friend had been building. That is why Mr Simon Cordell's website was well underway to being completed, and he was trying to establish positive effects within his business in today's society, within the business industry. This was a Thursday at 16:25.

Then once the police had checked every think that they had needed to, everybody parted and shock each others hands and went then, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he made his way home.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he can not understand why the police officers have said that he was driving in this manner as this would have been classed as dangers driving, and he would have been punished accordingly. Mr Simon Cordell will state that there is no way that some one can drive 1'' from the car in front of each others cars bumpers; this would have been clearly in possible. If the males car in front had been stopped or went to the police stating that, Mr Simon Cordell had being do this action, would have be taken against Mr Simon Cordell for YR then surely the police would have taken the persons details in there 101 Book of reports PC EDGOOSE.

- **19th July 2014: Carpet right A10 great Cambridge road Enfield:**

Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner

On this day Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was travelling down the great Cambridge road heading home in his vehicle. When on the other side of the road he saw a man he knows to be homeless detained by the police outside the gates of carpet right. He wanted to make sure he was ok so to do this he had to drive up the road to the traffic light next to the Odeon cinema and turn around, which he did do. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he noticed a car park a few premises before the carpet right named magnet open to the general public, so he parked there as he could see the police had blocked all accesses to the front of the carpet right car park, you can not park out side any premises at this point of the A10 Great Cambridge Road.

Mr Simon Cordell will state; that he locked his vehicle and walk up the pavement towards his friends and the police officer detaining him out side carpet right front gates. On doing so, a police officer approached him and told him, that he was under arrest for breach of the peace, to which he was realized latter from police custody, without any charge or fine for any offence committed, neither was he served any official paper work.

(A) Keys to carpet right are in the premises

(B) Police surrounded front gates and building entrance

(C) police too details of all people present contained within the application and Mr Simon Cordell's, name is not present amongst them.

(E) The 999 caller states it was all white males and females at first point of police intelligence.

(F) The Inspector contradicts himself by stating that Mr Simon Cordell was inside the gates of Carpet Right and then goes on top state otherwise.

• **Ref: yert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"20 people inside premises."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not one of the 20 people inside the free hold of land and at no point of time was he the hired sound system contained on the premises. At no point did he have any involvement in any matter, involving the activities or decisions of others, who were occupying carpet right. If he had been a charge would have been put towards him self."

(CAD 9840 19th July 14 pages 287 to 290)

Incident no 9840 at 20:51 on 19th July 14 by 083891/L2843

Rec by: Ordinary

Call type: Third Party

ATT Locn: 198 Great Cambridge Enfield: Carpet Right

Inc Locn: = Blocked Out

Call Locn: Blocked Out

Opening: Noise (Trespass)

Cris: Not Crimed

Location Based Comments: Blocked Out

Caller States:

- 20:56:06 He has attended the location to find a notice that persons are squatting in the building
- 22:12:53 274ye 10-20 squatters are inside. Police entered to make sure no sound equipment was inside.

• **Pages 291 to 301 CAD 10635 19th July 14 End:**

Incident no 10635 at 22:07 on 19th July 14 by c723688 Incident is Tagged 2 x

Rec by: Ordinary

Call type: Third Party

Inc Locn:= Martinbridge Trading Estate, 240 Lincon RD,

Call Locn: Blocked Out

Opening: Noise (Trespass) (Alcohol)

Cris: Not Crimed

Location Based Comments: Blocked Out pages 292 and 293

Linked to: CADS11644 and 11822 19th Jul 14

Caller States:

About 20 pulling up on to an estate looks like to have an illegal rave

Caller States:

They have brought in alcohol and decks.

Caller States:

He can see them bringing in boxes and are definitely not there to work.

Caller States: (page 294 They are Males and Females all White People, So how can Mr Simon Cordell be getting accused of this as stated in the new skeleton bundle, Insp Skinner states that Mr Simon Cordell was the organiser of an illegal rave, in a premises on the 19th June 2014. page 95 Police state more units please and all people and cars contained on the land on carpet right present)

Has any think ever happened like this before: = Yes No date and time.

Caller States: page 295

There are a number of vehicles here Pages 295 and 296 including 297 of Copy's of DVLA Records from the PNC, Including all the peoples names and addresses, from when checked inside carpet right and Mr Simon Cordell was not one of the people neither is any vehicle he was driving, at that present time in life.

- **CAD 11822 19th Jul 14 PAGES 302 TO 304 Ends:**

Incident number 11822 19th July 14

Rec by: Emergency

Call type: Third Party

ATT Locn: Southbury BR STN

Inc Locn: = Southbury BR STN

Call Locn: Southbury BR STN

Opening: Noise (Noise Nuisance)

Cris: Blanked Out

Location Based Comments: Blocked Out

Linked to Cad10635 19th July 14) and (Cad11644 19th July 14)

Caller States:

Large group outside causing a dist outside the STN and there is at lest 200 people blocking the Rd and pavement.

Caller States:

I do not no what they are doing but need to be moved on.

No More Cads Left for the 19th

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"With sound equipment which they was about to set up."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not hire any sound equipment to this event nor did he organize it, police intelligence will show this, Val Tanner attached to public order unit Scotland yard.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was has since sourced information THAT SHE contacted and accused another person other than him self, of being the organizer and attended addresses leading to this date, Prior. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like to call her to court under oath to prove his innocents in this case.

At no point did he go on the open air land or the premises attached to that land and that the police had said occupiers /potential organizer in the said land including the sound system contained within.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that it has also come to his attention from sourced information, that the public order unit Scotland Yard has information to other dates that are included in within this ASBO application, which will prove further to the facts that he did in fact not commit the offences that he is being accused of within this ASBO application.

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

- **"The main organizer was spoken to by police."**

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is not the main organizer on the 19th July 2014 as-Val-Tanner- attached to the public Order Unit Scotland Yard understands. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not supply any equipment. **(Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like to summons Val-Tanner-attached to the public Order Unit Scotland Yard under oath to his trial)** as he states that he knows she holds evidence of his innocents in regards to the ongoing of the current ASBO application.

Because this so called event and the unit she works for holds information to the date of the 19th and other dates in this ASBO application, as this was explained by her to my mother on the telephone.

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"It is said that Mr Simon Cordell admitted to police that he was an organizing to the party and said he was expecting several hundred people."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that this is not correct as stated the keys were found on the premises and he never was on the premises, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was arrested outside on the pavement as shown in Inspector Douglas Skinner statement and that he could not have left the premises as said by Inspector Douglas Skinner the police had secured the premises before he had arrived."

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"As a result the people inside the venue all left."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he never went in the premises or venue at any time, He mealy stopped out of care off a fellow companion, To be detained by the metropolitan police wrongfully without charge or interview. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he feel this shows the way he has been treated over the years and discriminated by police. He states that the facts are the police had secured the premises, they had a sound system contained in the premises, and occupiers in the premises, one of these people was arrested then de arrested (Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has found this out since he has contacted the director at company house of every decibel matters, who has provided a statement as he was one of the people detained inside the premises, by the police to then latter be released.) Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was outside and was arrested for no reason."

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"Elliot Laidler accused of stating it was his first time he had worked for Cordell."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not know a Elliot Laidler, neither at no point was or has he worked for him, (Please show Mr Simon Cordell Evidence or an invoice that he was working for him), Mr Simon Cordell will state that his company was not running at this point it was still being setup, Mr Simon Cordell did help some charities out with there events in the process to help get his company established.

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"Clearly Mr. Cordell makes a living by organizing raves in Enfield."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has been establishing his company and have not hired any equipment on the 18th or 19th of July 2014 - Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not make a living from organizing illegal raves neither did he cause any Anti social Behaviour on this date."

- **Ref: vert00376024 Inspector skinner**

"Police state that it is possible that Cordell has obtained the key via Security Company or ex employers."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that this is not true as he never went on the land or in the premises or did he hire out any equipment or organize this said event."

- **Ref: yert00376024 inspector skinner P 5 of 5**
6. Cris 1914855/14 CAD 11854

20th June 2014

PC Haworth

"Police discovered a rave being set up at 1 Falcon Park Neasden lane NW10."

PC Haworth.

"Seized from the Dee Jay again."

"MR Simon Cordell will state that he has never been a Dee Jay and does not know how to Dee Jay. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he received a call from a client/ friend asking if he could help him out with a sound system and van which was also asked for, to carry the sound system in. This was a pro bono hire which would lead to hire contracts under a hire agreement. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did take a deposit which was not returned due to a breach of his teams and conditions of agreement and due to his sound system being seized.

MR Simon Cordell will state that he received a phone call dated; 20/06/2014 around 00:00am from the client who told him that there had been some problems with police and was told the police were going to seize the hired sound equipment and hired van. He was very upset but agreed to attend, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he then left his home address, and it took him around 1 hour to get there as the roads were quite.

Upon getting there Mr Simon Cordell will state that he saw a lot of police around the premises, to which Mr Simon Cordell will state that he started to speak to the police. Mr Cordell showed the police the invoice for the hire of his sound system. he was allowed access by police to the building to pack his sound system away. While in the building an inspector come and spoke to him and told him that he was going to seize the sound system and van. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he spoke to the inspector explaining and showing him the invoice, he also giving him a copy.

To which his sound system and van was till seized, to which he was later allowed to collect from the police station after they had done there enquiries, this was a few days later.

At no time was he charged, arrested or served any official paper work from police,

• **Progress Way Enfield EN1: 7th June 2014**

Ref: vert00374531.Pc Shinnick

"Police officer PC Shinnick states he saw Mr Simon Cordell and Tyrone Benjamin and they have set up a rave in the empty warehouse."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that is not true as his Brother was taken to hospital in fear of his life as he had been in an ATR and could not walk and suffered many other damages to himself dated 10/04/2014, He still is having treatment at The Royal London Hospital 16/02/2015 and this will be ongoing, this is a life changing accident.

I did attend progress way but did not going inside. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was on his own at about 01:45 on the 8th June 2014, and police tried to speak to him out side the gate as he was trying to give his friend back a set of keys; he waited at the petrol station across the Road then went home.

• **Ref: vert00374531.Pc Shinnick**

Unit 5 ST Georges Industrial Estate White Heart Lane N17: 25th May 2014

At 23:21 hours.

I am Up to here 00:59 09/02/2016

Ref: yrrt00323197 Pc Hoodlese

Contacted by security guard at the venue stating suspects were on the premises."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he spoke to his friend that he new to have problems due to being homeless at the time and that he had been trying to help out by offering them work from the local council such as Ponders End Festival, Winch more Hill Festival, Lock To Lock and more. There were no profit events Mr Simon Cordell has provided proof of the events that they did engage in.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had also been letting friends stay at his flat and that he cooked them food and other living accessories such as trainers and cloths while giving them a place to sleep and wash. Mr Simon Cordell will state that his friend called him earlier in the day and explained to mr Cordell that he was living at Unit 5 St. Georges Industrial Estate White Heart Lane N 17, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he went and meet him. That he did not hear any alarms nor would he be on any CCTV cameras committing any offence on this date in question. That he did in fact arrive and had ordered food. He used his van to travel from his home to where his friend was staying. Due to storage space and the size of the speakers, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he could not remove the speaker boxes on his own because of the size and weight of each box and used his van as storage on some occasions.

On the 25th May 2014 the police checked the index CX52 JRZ and there were two speaker boxes with no speakers in them that Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had keep in the van. There was no amps decks or any other equipment to power or create a full sound system just 2 speakers that he keep in there for storage. The police could see there was no way to run a sound system and allowed him to leave.' Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is not sure if the people were still allowed to stay in there home by the police.

"Approx 20 young males and females ran out the rear of the premises."

"At no point was Mr Simon Cordell one of the males or females that run out of the building."

Ref: yrrt00323197 Pc Hoodlese

"Approx 20 people claiming to be squatters."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that At no point was he one of the 20 people occupying Unit 5 St. Georges Industrial Estate White Heart Lane N17, living under a section 144 Laspo treating and respecting it as there home, as for fact he was a guest and has his own home.

"Several males were still inside the premises calming to be squatters."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that as said people were occupying the building and that he went home.

Ref: yrrt00323197 Pc Hoodlese

"Police had footage of several suspects causing damage to the security cameras and door locks, Mr Simon Cordell will state that was not one of them people."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point will he be on any of the said camera evidence as he never committed the offences stated, he believes if he were on the security cameras then criminal charges would have been placed on him. At no Time have any charges be placed against him Mr Simon Cordell will state that as he was not one of the suspects causing any damage. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like the security cameras footage, shown in court to prove this.

"At the venue."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that this was a commercial building being occupied under section 144 Laspo. as far as he was aware and had been told by the occupiers they had been living there for weeks before this date, they had there belongings and bedding at the premises."

"On camera opening the venue upon opening the premises."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he will not be on camera, that he was invited into the premises by the occupiers that were living there."

Ref: yrrt00323197 Pc Hoodlese

PAGE 19 is MISSING FROM HERE NOTE

CONTINUE LAST PAGE STEVE 20

- **Ellesmere Street E14**
PC Scott

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he remember that morning very well to be a Sunday as it was pre arranged to meet a few friends at there house' Ellesere street E14, because he had planed to go out with friends on their off road scramblers for the day, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was looking forward to this day very much.

There were two other vans ready to go with bikes in them and the van he was driving index CX52 JRZ, which had two off Road scrambles in the back.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was approached by police and asked to prove insurance which he did by way of insurance certificate.

he was challenged at to the status of my insurance policy being real or up to date and that he had paid for it to be a valid insurance certificate. The reason the police officers were showing issues of concern was because Mr Cordell Insurance policy was not showing on the mid databases, to what he explained was no fault of his own, he explained that he had made many complaints trying to rectify the problem by way of email to his insurance company as well as the police and the MID database, he had done this by making many phone calls and sending many emails while asked the police to check there own system to verify this.

Having his vehicles seized had become a regular event since 2013 Proof attached on weekends he is mostly pulled over by police.

The reason being as the police MID Databases did not show his vehicles as being insured as well as it being a Sunday leading to all insurance companies being closed.

This has left Mr Simon Cordell have to pay the recovery cost as well as other expenses including the loss of day as well as the embarrassment that comes with being punished for some think that he knows he is paying a services for and knows that is not right in the begin.

His van was search for a TV before it was seized and was proved to be false allegations.

Informant had seen a group of male's load a flat screen TV into rear of white ford index CX52 JRZ.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did a TV get put into his van."

"At 14:46 he was arrested for section 5 and no insurance."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is still having many issues with his insurance for this policy with KGM and all the seizer he suffered due to the error in the MID, he has provided proof of insurance, Letter of Indemnity from KGM for Policy Number MT3574694 of his innocents."

- **12th January 2013: Canary Wharf**

"Supplying information to the vehicles involved in gaining entry and carrying equipment."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not supply any sound equipment nor was he involved in the organization of this said event.

This night he was taken to hospital as he was stabbed in the head and his ear and stomach was cut." Medical records have been sent of for by Michael my solicitor in this case.

(BOOK SEVEN UPDATED PAGES 26 TO 30)

21/12/15

Statement of Simon Cordell Further to my statement

Dated 00/00/00

In regards to Steve Elsmore Statement further to his statement

Dated 11th August 2014

Amended on the 14/01/2015

In relation too wards an application for an Anti Social Behaviour Order regarding the defendant Simon Cordell.

Point 1

PC Steve Elsmore States;

On the 5th November 2014 at Highbury Corner Magistrates, that I Simon Cordell made certain representations in regards to my company Too Smooth.

I Simon Cordell State;

I did explain that I was establishing my company and had become a valid member at Kemp Hall Community Centre there for committing my self to working for my local community centre, I did also say that I had been establishing my company brand and reputation, by way of provision of hire under a pro bono agreement with companies working on behalf of them self's, in connection with Enfield Council as they were all licensed outdoor events within the borough of Enfield contained within the local parks and such land marks.

Point 2

Pc Steve Elsmore States;

That he has searched the police systems in reference to my statement made in court, "that I was in fact on curfew and had not been leaving my place of residence.

I Simon Cordell State;

I do agree that I did state that I had been of police curfew during dates 28/06/2013 to 21/05/2014 during court proceedings which I was found not guilty for on the 02/07/2014.

Through out the Interim stage of the ASBO order held at the Magistrates Court, I do not understand how PC Steve Elsmore when checking the Metropolitan police databases could not find my statement to be true,

As on the date of 03/08/2015 at my trial the clerk of the court checked her computer system in front of the DJ and all else present in the trial proceedings and found my statements to be true. I had been on curfew from the 28/06/2013 until the 21/05/2014 a long with other bail conditions.

Point 3

PC Steve Elsmore States;

That he had discussed entertainment licences with the police and Council Licensing Officers and they had informed PC Steve Elsmore, I would not need to apply for licensing if premises was already in place of licensing or that I can apply for A Ten Note if on outdoor land.

I Simon Cordell State;

I had been in negotiations with Lee Valley in regards to Premises and Licensing to hold an out door community event within my local borough which was going well until the court proceeding became too much inclusive of the conditions imposed upon my self.

With regard to Barley Land Farm, due to everything that was going on in 2013, 2014, I had to take a step back from the event I wanted to host at Barley Land Farm, this was going to be picked up after I proved I had not done anything wrong which was the case. Barley Land Farm I did want to host this in 2014, but knew this could not happen as the case I was on lasted over a year, before I was found not guilty. I was due to pick up contract with regard to hosting this for 2015. This was stopped due to this ASBO order and the ongoing court proceedings becoming the priority over all in my life.

I was made manager of club Juice Brimsdown Enfield and trusted with the keys and all operations of the company till the police made this impossible to manage due to being continuously being pulled over out side.

It was agreed for me to be the manager of the lunch of White Sands night club once known as the Beach club Brixton Hill, till the police publicly embarrassed me and shamed my name by arresting me out side at an arranged meeting with the owner, to which I proved my case at court, I had committed a lot of time towards the launch of this venue prior.

Point 3

PC Steve Elsmore States;

The Licensing officer had checked in Steve Elsmore presence that I Mr Cordell has never applied for licensing regarding entertainment.

I Simon Cordell State;

I had no reason at this time of my life to apply to the council as pickets Lock, Barlylands and all festivals, inclusive of Night clubs and community halls I was committing my personal time to while establishing my company and representing my brand, have or had licensing all ready in place, I have also listed a few more companies names I was working with and for below with correspondents.

Lock To Lock

Muswell Hill Festival is a fundraising community event for children with cerebral palsy and their families from across London.

Enfield Town Fire Works

Ponders End Festival

Durant's Park Festival

HD Festival

At the same time I was constructing and in development of my website with help from my mother and friends, hosted at www.TooSmooth.co.uk

Point 4

PC Steve Elsmore States;

That he has spoken to Enfield Council with regards to my self Simon Cordell hiring Generators to them for events also that I had only hired out a human gyroscope to Enfield Scout for the local town fire works display dated 2013.

I Simon Cordell State;

As listed above is the name's of some events I was working within and for at the dates in question mainly before the interim stage and while other ongoing court proceeding progressed to which I was being accused of, to which I rightfully was found not guilty in my plea of innocence.

I had a curfew so could not stay with the equipment over night and as a company getting ready to start to trade I could not afford the higher of an experienced employee, so in turn I lost the contracts and faith in the justice system that was the main contribution towards the key elements need to cause my self to lose the contracts I and others had worked so hard to gain, due to the value of the products it was impossible to comet my self to a contract of hire any longer, at the same time the probationary conditions thought the interim stage imposed that represented the ASBO order made it once again even harder to continue forward than it all ready was.

Point 5

PC Steve Elsmore States;

A company House Check has been conducted under the name Too Smooth and Mr Cordell's post code and there is nothing registered. I am also asked by Steve Elsmore to provide my company number.

I Simon Cordell State;

My company name was registered on the 10/03/2015 and this was shown to the court at trial. I think there was a mistake in how I explained myself and due to this think you believe my company had been registered before this date. However what I was trying to explain was my domains had been registered since 2010, and 2013. The reason my company was not registered in 2013, which it was meant to be was due to the court case and the conditions of bail I was on. I could not do the contracts I had in 2013 so my company was put on hold until after I was found not guilty at court on the 02/07/2014. But then as soon as I had been found not guilty for that case, I then had to deal with this ASBO order.

Point 6

PC Steve Elsmore States;

On Wednesday 10th 2014, in regards to obtaining Mr Simon Cordell's role also inclusive of any more information that could be obtained relating to him at Kemp Hall Community Hall. Diana Johnson hall manager was unavailable due to being sick, PC Elsmore spoke to assistant Hailey "Football Team Manager" who stated she was not sure of Mr Cordell role and had not seen him for about Two moths in advance to the last meeting to which he had attended due to being ill.

I Simon Cordell State;

Regards Kemp hall, I Simon Cordell was given a Business card by a friend of a woman name as Luvinia De-Terville. Her business card represented a company known as Dems event management who provides licensed out door and indoor events, so I called the number in hope of making good relations relating to professional business possibilities, a meeting was agreed and went well.

I was later contacted by Dems Management to help with the on goings of a charity event in aid of a charity called Bliss that helps premature babies, this event had been cancelled and was supposed to have taken place at another community hall other than Kemp Hall to which she had lost her deposit. I arranged another meeting with her and asked her to bring all documents for the event so I could see if there was away to rectify the problems, I took on the project to re launch the event at a new location and Kemp Hall was chosen, a meeting was arranged at Kemp hall for 19th September 2014 with Diana hall manager and Dem, at the meeting I noticed the community halls absinth of articles of association and the down full in the maintenance of the hall, it was explained by Diana that she was having issues with managing the hall due to a lack of communication with committee members and local Authority and that the hall was absent of licensing and no constitution was in place neither funding, on taking a tour of the hall I took a list of problems I could fore see for holding an event for Dem's and that could be rectified for Diana. I prioritised the list as I was going around here is some of the problems I listed.

No lights in girls/ woman's toilets / this was winter so it was dark early.

No Baby changing mat

Girl's toilet door no hinges.

Boys / Mans toilets no lights

Décor dull

Guttering out side Missing

Front Car park needs cleaning

Rear fence broken

No safer foods controls for regulated provision of food or sale of alcohol

No fridge controls

Combustible papers in fridge's no temperature controls

Electrical fuse board needs testing and cables 3 double plug sockets are burnt out

Stage dangers and needs maintenance.

Dance stage in main hall need reconstructing

No internet

No telephone

No CCTV 8 cameras not working

Kitchen facilities out of date

New 1 new PC missing a grant was issued by local authority for 8 new laptops

No sound Equipment

No TV facility

Pool table Broken

Tennis table broken

The list went on, I agreed to come back and help out where I could, and did do so at my own expense, I fixed most of the listed above over time and a lot more to which I still have all the notes of and information relating to the contract work. I have and provide evidence of Diana hall manager thanking me for my help and that she had not meet any one in 15 years that she could trust with the keys and management of the hall and because of this she had neglected time with her own family in the aid of keeping the hall running for the local children and community. This was because she trusted me with full management of the hall under her supervision. I can provide the information.

I also do not understand why PC Steven Elsmore would want to obtain more information as to my role at Kempe Hall and why he would speak to someone he does not know about me and any role I have at Kempe Hall. If the person who currently run Kempe hall was not there he should have asked for a

number to contract her on, not gone about speaking about me to someone else he did not know what role they had in Kempe hall.

Point 7

PC Steve Elsmore States;

On Sunday 23/11/2014 police stopped the following two males who were seen walking around an industrial estate Stockings Water Lane Enfield at 01:10 hours.

Both males seem to be under the influence of drugs.

On page 28 the CRIMINT reference states the other male, This has been blacked out, it also states that this person was living with my self Simon Cordell.

I Simon Cordell State;

On the 22/11/2014 I had been at my home address with a friend named Josh who was homeless when my mobile phone rang a person claiming to be a police officer spoke to my self he called me unexpectedly it was around 23:45 hours to 00:20 hours I got the call.

This person said they had been at my flat earlier but could not get the entrance code to my front door, they had returned to the police station to call me and get the door code, to which I thought and knew to be out of place I asked for the police officers badge number to which the person speaking would not give it to me, making me not believe this was a police officer I was in fact speaking to I would not give the door code out, on putting the phone down I called the police and asked if it was them asking me for my entrance code to which the reply was no.

This worried me even more because I live in a communal building that does not have an intercom system or CCTV. You must know the door entry code to the main entrance and you can get to my front door.

This made me and my friend scared, and could not understand how someone had got my number and called and wanted the main door code.

I called my mother who told me and Josh to come to her house, my mother had been cooking something to eat so I turned everything off and left my flat with Josh.

We walked along Green Street, towards Brimsdown train station going towards the river lee.

I had called my sister and she agreed to pick us up in a cab at Ponders End train station as it was raining very badly.

As we was walking the police pulled us over they said they were the police care team and asked us what we were doing, I told the police what had happened about the call and was told it was them that had called me for the door code.

They said that they had been at my flat early and could not get in, so had gone back to the police station to get my number to call me to get my door code.

I asked why they wanted my door code and why they wanted to see me, they would not tell me.

We both got searched by the police and then let go, me and my friend Josh was not under the influence of drugs, and the police never found anything on us when they searched us both. They asked Josh why a boy from East London was in North London and he told them to see his friend.

I called my mother again and was really upset as the police was not leaving me alone, I had done nothing wrong and never went out of my flat any more, but the police kept coming to my flat when they wanted.

When we got to Ponders End my sister was waiting in a cab, which we got into and went to my mother's home, my sister then left in the cab to go home.

My mother was really upset and made a call to the police at 02:04 to find out what was going on and why the police kept turning up at my flat CAD 1129:23/11/2014.

She was told that I called the police, she asked me and Josh if we had called the police which me and Josh replied no to, I told her I had only called the police after the police had called me. She carried on talking to the police on the phone.

Calls that were made on the 23/11/2014 by my mother to police due to what happened on the 23/11/2014.

02:04 lasted 12:00
 13:57 lasted 07:00
 14:52 lasted 04:00

Please see print out of my mums phone bill with times and date of the 23/11/2014
 CAD numbers 1129:23/11/2014. and the email that was sent to my solicitor by my mother.

Point 8

Pc Steve Elsmore States;

On Friday 19th September 2014 at approximately 14:15 AT Kemp Hall

I Simon Cordell State;

This date Friday 19th September 2014, I was at Kemp Hall with Luvinia De-Terville we were due to have a meeting with Diana hall manager in regards to the higher of the hall for the charity Bliss. Yes I agree the police did come to Kemp Hall while I and Luvinia De-Terville were there in the meeting with Diana hall manager about hiring the hall for the bliss charity.

I did speak to them as they spoke to me; I told them why we were there about hiring the hall for the bliss charity the police could also see we were in a meeting. They seemed interested about the charity, and I went on to say about my goals for my company and showed the police a project I was working on, on my laptop, the police did ask some things which I told them.

I do not see how the police was utterly bemused I was not the only person who was talking and mostly it was the manageress talking to the police, as the police was there to speak to her and our meeting had run over and we were waiting for the police to leave to carry on talking to the hall manageress.

I did not tell the police I had 3 lockups, the police know where I keep my equipment and that is not in lockups.

I do not understand why PC Steve Elsmore has gone on in his statement to say about GMG members nor do I understand why gang members have been included in his statement. Nor do I understand why he has included in his statement about issues with Kempe Hall.

I had done nothing wrong and had nothing at this time to do with Kempe Hall I was there about hiring the hall for a charity event run by Dems.

I also do not understand why the police when got the opportunity did they start to ask the manageress tactfully how long she had known me, they knew already the reason I was at the hall and that was for a meeting about hiring the hall for a charity event.

Shortly after this date the police started to publicly make a bad example of me and started to harass me by pulling me over as I was attending the hall one method was to strip searching me in there van in the front car park in front of all the children and other community members that I was there trying to help which is not mentioned and there are no Cad's relating to within the ASBO application, the police also attended the hall more than the twice mentioned by Steve Elsmore and the once I mention when I was

publicly embraced by the police in relation to questions regarding my self, I gave up and walked away as I did with the night clubs, as the police were set out to destroy all myself and every one had worked so hard to gain.

PC Steven Elsmore Updated statement dated the 26/06/2015

PC Steven Elsmore again says about Kempe hall page 30B as said above I stopped going to Kempe Hall around Dec 2014 due to what the police was doing, but was still getting emails from them I believe they did not take my email out of the email list. The police are aware of this as I have had more calls that the police have been there asking about me. PC Steven Elsmore states that Kempe Hall was taken back into possession of the council due to the way in which it was being run.

This is not correct it was taken back due to accounting not being completed on time that Enfield council was asking for. Please see email dated 21/01/2015 from Monica.Kaur@enfieldhomes.org Also please see email dated 17/02/2015 from Monica.Kaur@enfieldhomes.org and Simon.James@enfieldhomes.org

Also please see Letter dated 25th February 2015 From Simon James.

So by 18th March 2015 Kempe Hall was already back in Enfield Councils possession when the police did a search of the grounds and found a firearm. And I had not been at Kempe Hall since Dec 2014.

Book 8

Witness Statement

Made By A/PS Charles Miles 724YE

Dated :02/8/2014

Accusations Dated: 7th June 2014

Time 02:03 hours

Progress Way EN1

A/PS Charles Miles 724YE "On SATURDAY the 7th JUNE 2014 I was on duty in full uniform, working as YE3N section Supervisor.

A/PS Charles Miles 724YE "At 0203Hrs approximately I attended a disused warehouse at Progress Way EN1, where an illegal rave was being held. I attended with Inspector Hamill VEIN and representatives from the Environmental Health Office at Enfield Council, approaching the gates and asking to 'speak with the organizer."

A/PS Charles Miles 724YE "There I spoke with a man who I recognized as Simon Cordell, from previous illegal rave events on Enfield Borough. I would describe him as a light skinned black male, AA35 and at the time he was wearing a white long sleeved T shirt and Grey bottoms, he is approximately f509 tall and of medium build. He refused to provide his details to the council representatives in order that a noise abatement order could be served, however he was provided with a copy. Approximately 10 minutes later we left the scene having risk assessed the incident."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was attending an occupied building that was being lived in under section 144 LASPO around the time of the 8th June 2014 as a visitor. And not on the 7th June 2014. His intentions were to drop keys to a friend which had been left at his flat. When he approached progress way a man he now no to be a police office from the statements provided, approached him while he was walking down a public foot path leading to the occupied building. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was accused of being an organizer to which he gave no replay and

decided at this point to cross the road and call his friend to come out side to give him his keys back, to which he had, came to visit.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he then left and headed home and at no point did he except any paper work of any person(s) nor did he give his name or personal details to any body for his personal details to be on any official headed piece of paper, to which in the statement he is being accused of being presented to him.

It is also noted that in A/Insp Hamill 201566 statement that he did not note that a copy of the paper work had not been handed to anyone. Which Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is sure he would have noted in his statement. As from his statement he was the main person dealing with this matter.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like to ask for any noise abatement order made on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014"

It is also noted that police statement were written on the 02/08/2014, 26 days after therefore Mr Simon Cordell is asking for a copy of the 101 book.

A/PS Charles Miles 724YE " states that he returned to the venue approximately two hours later, he again asked to speak with the organiser however none came forward, he asked the two men on the door, who appeared to be party goers to let him in to have a look around. He walked around and there was extremely loud drum and bass music playing, with approximately 100 people dancing. Party goers observed him in Police uniform and ran away into the large open area, presumably because of drug misuse matters - there was significant evidence to suggest illegal drugs were being used such as discarded self seal bags, and empty canisters consistent with 'laughing gas' use."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "As officers where not permitted access into the venue it is unknown to the extent of drug and alcohol abuse which may or may not have taken place within."

A/PS CHARLES MILES 724YE "At approximately 06:30Hrs we received a call to nearby Woodgrange Gardens, to reports of a male assaulted. Following an initial investigation this individual matched the description of a male earlier observed on the warehouse roof. It appeared that he had fallen off of the roof and into some bushes and his injuries were consistent with a fall from height. He was heavily under the influence of alcohol and quite probably illegal drugs. He went to North Middlesex Hospital with the London Ambulance Service."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "At 05:04hrs CAD 2290 8th June police were called to a male assaulted in the street. Officers and LAS have attended the location of WoodGrange Avenue, where the male had injuries of suspected broken wrists and a bloody mouth, he initially stated that he had been attacked from behind but on investigation it transpired that this male had been one of the people seen on the roof earlier and had fallen whilst getting down."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that it was only ever noted by PS 92YE that 1 male was seen on the roof, but if the call came 05:04hrs CAD 2290 how is it his statement it says a call came in at 06:30Hrs this is 1 hour and 26 mins after the first call was made and A/Insp Hamill 201566 had sent officers to the location.

Witness statement

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did notice when approaching the building a Section 144 Laspo notice was in place, in turn meaning occupiers were occupying the free hold of the land sleeping in the commercial building and treating it as their home." Him self,

Mr Simon Cordell will state; Please take note "No body could have spoken to him or his brother Tyrone Benjamin, or see Tyrone as his brother Tyrone Benjamin was involved in an ATR involving, a vehicle LRO9BMV he was knocked of his moped on the 10th April 2014 the injuries his brother occurred has changed his life for ever. On the 07/06/2014 Mr Simon Cordells brother Tyrone Benjamin could not walk, he was Air lifted to The Royal London Hospital. Mr Simon Cordell will disagree strongly that his brother was at this event dated 06th 07th 08th June 2014 or any case in question presented within this ASBO application, nor did he attend. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he arrived

at progress way about 01:45am on the 8th but on his own and on arrival police spoke to him out side the front gates and he then left and went home.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that allegations of misleading information is being held under his and his brothers name on the police national Computer, and he has been trying to get this rectified, He has provided his brothers medical notes as proof of this as well as stated many other facts and provided a copy of the Police National Computer and the errors that have tarnished his life agreed by the courts. He would also like to make it noted that the police already have on there system the people they were prettying while he was on curfew for some of the cases within this ASBO application and that the police had contacted other people leading up to dates of the incident numbers but not Mr Simon Cordell in relation to illegal raves. The public order team has confirmed on the phone to his mother and Essex police have too.

It has taken months to gather this information relating to the dates within this ASBO application so that Mr Simon Cordell can clear his name.

Book 9

Witness Statement

A/Inspector Hamill 201566

Friday 6th June 2014

It is noted that your statement was written on the 06/08/2014 this is 62 days after the fact, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is therefore asking for a copy of your 101 book."

At no point did Mr Simon Cordell take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was did not organize any events within this ASBO application.

And at no point in time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he at no point has he committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 On Friday 6th June 2014 when on duty in full uniform working as the Duty Officer for the Borough of Enfield. Was working between the hours of 2200hrs to 0700hrs.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "During the early hours of the 7th June I was made aware of a potential Rave that was in progress in a discussed Industrial Building on Progress Way."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "I have had a CAD created reference 1047I 7June dispatched officers to the location to access numbers, crowd dynamics and gather information around times the event is likely to run until ----and also to make contact or identify the potential organiser. Officers have reported back that Tyrone Benjamin and Simon Cordell where at location and to be the believed the event organisers, there were approximately 200 people in attendance, the event was covered by security officers who had stated that they were volunteers and not licensed through SIA. Officers have spoken with staff to confirm that all fire escapes where clear, that there were sufficient fire extinguishers in place and that there were first aid kits available."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that this incorrect and not to be true as his brother had medical injuries stopping him from being mobile or transported. Evidence will be supplied.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not in attendance to attained any rave in fact he was dropping keys to a friend as they had been left at his address when he was there last."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Police Officers have reported back: The police sent by inspector Hamill reported back to him and said they had spoken to Security officers at the gate of progress way, who stated that they were volunteers not security as believed by police offices. "Who made this statement?"

If they were believed by police officers to be security, but had said they were volunteers, what makes the police sure beyond reasonable doubt that the people in question presented to be security acting as volunteers could have in fact off been the organisers. As Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not the organiser neither did he hire any sound equipment nor did he take part in any form of organization on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 or act in an Anti Social Manner. In the new skeleton argument the inspector clearly states that he now trust the security guards when officers state that they believed they were security but said they were volunteers and looked like party goers.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Police Officers have reported back: Staff was forthcoming with information, but refused to allow offices inside the venue."

"As stated they never believed the information provide by said staff at the gates of progress way to be true, as it was believed the security was to be presenting them self's as volunteers, so why would any information provided to officers can be classed and stated as forthcoming be classed as to be true, if not believed to be true by the person writing the statement in the beginning, as said by police officers, the people at the gate also refused to allow police officers inside the venue."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Due to call demand during the shift and low policing numbers it was inappropriate to enter the premises to seize the equipment and close the event, but he deployed officers to conduct regular visits to the venue, where number at their peek where 500 but reported to be quite and peaceful."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not involved in any event or Anti Social Behaviour on the 6th 7th 8th June2014, he only went to drop some keys off to a friend that he had a call from due to him leaving his keys at his address the last time he was there and his friend needed them back."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Local authority noise team were contacted reference T548832. The event was expected to run until 0700hrs on Saturday 7th June, with plans for the event to continue again later in the evening on the 7th June. During the course of the shift we received a total of calls from local residence complaining about the noise of the rave."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "On Saturday 7th June 2014 I was again on duty in full uniform working as the Borough's Duty Officer for the hours of 2200hrs to 0700hrs, as with the previous evening I was made aware again of a Rave at an empty Warehouse of Progress Way. As with the previous evening, I have posted officers to make regular visits to the venue to access numbers, crowd dynamics and general intelligence around the event.

During the course of the number numbers at the event were around 300.

At 02:00hrs I have attended the venue with A/PS Miles and two environmental officers.

The entrance to the venue was located off progress way, down the side off "Tops Tiles". The warehouse was at the bottom of this side road behind a metal gate, the gate padlock had been removed and security officers were opening the gate to allow access. As Insp Hamill and A/PS Miles and the EO have approached the gate they have closed the gate preventing us access."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that for Inspector Hamill: To be able to state the gate padlock had been removed." By this statement made Inspector Hamill was this close to pay this much attention to such an object as a pad lock on the gate, he then states a security officer was opening the gate to allow access. Followed by they have closed the gate, with so many people walking in and out of such numbers of 300 people in attendance Mr Simon Cordell will state that he believes the inspector see Mr Simon Cordell and took his own believes.

A/In s p Hamill 201566 "I have introduced myself and asked to speak with the event organisers, to which a member of staff has disappeared into the venue and returned with a male who I would describe as light skinned black male, Approximate age of 35, wearing a white long sleeved t-shirt, grey bottoms. I recognized this male as Simon Cordell.

Inspector Hamill introduced him self and asked if we could speak at the bottom of the' road where the noise levels would allow us to talk. We have all moved to the bottom of Progress Way where I have introduced myself and explained the purpose of the visit and asked "It's Simon isn't it?" to which he has replied "Yes" I have then further asked "Simon Cordell" to which he has indicted that it was but not verbally confirmed the answer. I have introduced the two EO's the Simon who have explained the purpose of their visit and the fact that they were going to severe a noise abatement order, they have produced the paperwork and asked the male for his name to which he has refused to provide his details, It was explained that without the name of a person from the venue the EO's are unable to serve the paperwork. As we have been unable to progress this line of action, I have made the request to Simon Cordell to turn the music down."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that Inspector Hamill: States he approached the gate and spoke to security, but the police are not sure if they are security, the day before the people called themselves as volunteers. The case is the police did not know who they was they could have been security/volunteers or organisers. The police only believed Tyrone Benjamin and Simon Cordell could have been the organisers, which is not the case.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that Inspector Hamill: Asked to speak to an organiser and has said that a member of said staff disappeared into the occupied building. For a male Inspector Hamill recognised to be Simon Cordell to approach him. This could not have been the case as, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not in the building and that he was walking up to the building when he was approach by Inspector Hamill and others.

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does remember this day 08th June 2014 a friend who had stayed at his who had forgotten to take his set of keys with him, when he left his flat prior to the 06th and 07th 8th June 2014.

He will state that his friend had contacted him and told him that he need his keys back and wanted him to meet him at progress way were he had been residing and asked Mr Simon Cordell to drop the keys to him.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was given a post code and had never been to this location before. That he travelled by car and parked outside a company that he remembers to be tops tiles, as he approached he could hear music, after finding the address given to him he had to walk down a side ally leading to the front gates to be seen by a man he now know to be Inspector Hamill from the statement provided he asked him his name to which mr Simon Cordell will state that he gave no reply to his question.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that as he see the police leave the people on the gate he was already chatting to him and asked Mr Simon Cordell to follow him to the road side which he did, at no time did Mr Simon Cordell talk to any police officers or any other person(s) as he felt he had not done any think wrong and new how the police was with him and he just did not want any problems.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was the police officer was with other people, who Mr Simon Cordell now know to be environmental officers due to the statements. he remember feeling like he was being accused of being an organiser by the way in which the police officer was talking to him. This is the reason he did not want to talk to the police as he new how they was with him from over many years of being harassed by the police.

UP TO HERE SO FAR 03:58 09/02/2016

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he just wanted to leave so he decided at this point to cross the road to the local petrol station and call his friend to come out side to give him his keys back.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no time did he speak to police and give any details and did not take any paper work from anyone, the police did not follow him across the road to the petrol station where he called his friend to come and get his keys.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did notice sound coming from said occupied building and at this point in time he would like every one to make a note that he did not hire any sound equipment or any other form of equipment or neither was he involved in the organisation of any events on dates of the 6th 7th or the 8th June 2014.

He then gave his friend their keys and headed home, at no point did Mr Simon Cordell except any paper work of any person nor did he give his name or personal details to any other body, for his personal details to be on any official piece of paper.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like to ask for said paperwork Noise abatement order" A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill: Asked "It's Simon isn't it?" to which he replied "yes he then further asked "Simon Cordell" to which he has indicated that it was but not verbally confirmed the answer."

Iam up to here now 11:12 09/02/16

"As stated above at no point did Mr Cordell speak to any police office to give his name and does not under stand how he could have done so in a none verbally manner as he did not shake his head or shake the police offices hand to indicate this to be true. Police states that MR Simon Cordell replied yes than states but would not verbally confirm the answer"

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill: To which Mr Simon Cordell refused to provide his details." "He further verifies I did not in fact speak to him"

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill: It was explained that without the name of a person from the venue the EO's are unable to serve the paperwork. As we have been unable to progress this line of action."

The police see me walking up to the front gates from pc Shinick statements time stamped 01:59 7th June 14 but this was on the 8th June 2014 and knows that Mr Simon Cordell was in attendance with Inspector Hamill at 02:00 hours on the 8th June 2014 and that Mr Simon Cordell walked back to were his car was parked on the Great Cambridge Road Enfield, if Mr Simon Cordell had walked into the building it would have been in there notes. The police also understand that this party had been going on since the 6th June 2014.

And know that Mr Simon Cordell did not speak to any one as said in police witness statement, so how could he have accepted any form of paper work as no one new his details.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill states: I have made the request to Simon Cordell to turn the music down."

"I had left the grounds and waited in the petrol station for my friend to come out of progress way to me next to the petrol station and get his keys, then left and went home."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill states: During the course of the 8th of June 2014 we had approximately 40 calls complaining about the noise."

"I would like to make note that I only attended on the 08th for about 30 mins max and left to go home. The Cads that have been provided are contaminated with cads such as 1046 progress way and 32 crown rd, the same as CAD 2456 both the 6th 7th 8th June 2014, and are the main two cads representing the opening to all the CAD numbers Linked.

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill states: During the course of the shift police had contact with several groups that had been attending at the Rave all of which where extremely intoxicated and there

behaviour had clearly been using drugs which they all confirm they had used but on police contact did not have any drugs on them."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he travel with any of the said people, nor did he invite them to any place or attended to supply any equipment or source of entertainment for them or any drinks or drugs."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill states: as officers were not permitted access into the venue it is unknown the extent of drugs and alcohol abuse which may or may not have taken place within."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that police say that no officers were allowed in the building yet police officer A/PS Charles Miles 724YE says people allowed him to enter but you have not been told nothing about this, in your reports from the police officers."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "Inspector Hamill states: A call from CAD 2410 of the 8th June received at 05:35hrs stated that drug's were openly being sold."

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not go in to the occupied building neither does he sell drugs or advise or in courage any other person to do so"

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "At 03:10hrs on the 8th June PS 92YE noticed a male from the roof of the adjoining building to the venue. The venue backs onto that of the police parade site which did mean as officers entre and left the premises they had a full and unobstructed view of the rear of the rave premises, officers have attended the venue, however the male had already come down of the roof. Staff where given advice as to ensuring that people do not get onto the roof again."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had no involvement in this said event on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 and does not feel that he should be held responsible."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 "As noted the police arrived at 03:10hrs to deal with the matter of a person on a near by roof of the occupied building located in progress way, however the male had already come down from the roof.

"Staff occupying another building was notified.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time, would he have been notified as he was not in attendance on the 6th 7th June 2014."

A/Insp Hamill 201566 states" at 05:04hrs CAD 2290 8th June 2014 police were called to a male assaulted in the street. Officers and LAS have attended the location of wood Grange Avenue, were the male had injuries of suspected broken wrist and a bloody mouth, he initially stated that he had been attacked from behind but on investigation it transpired that this male had been one of the people seen on the roof earlier and had fallen whilst getting down."

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he finds a time laps in the statements made by police PS 92YE attended at 03:10hrs and noted the boy had come down from a roof in Wood Grange Avenue the rear of Progress Way and then Police spoke to staff at progress way.

But CAD number 2290 8th June at 05:04 states the same boy is in wood Grange Avenue again and made a 999 call making a claim of assault 01:54 mins after and is believed to be the man fallen of the roof at 03:10 who was seen getting down safely and police state that they can see the roof top clearly from there police service centre.

It is also noted A/PS CHARLES MILES 724YE "statement at approximately 06:30Hrs we received a call to nearby Woodgrange Gardens, to reports of a male assaulted. Following an initial investigation this individual matched the description of a male earlier observed on the warehouse roof. It appeared that he had fallen off of the roof and into some bushes and his injuries were consistent with a fall from height. He was heavily under the influence of alcohol and quite probably illegal drugs. He went to North Middlesex Hospital with the London Ambulance Service."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that these times do not match up as in A/Insp Hamill 201566 statement he says he sent someone to the attack at 05:04 CAD 2290 and in A/PS CHARLES MILES 724YE statement he said the call did not happen until 06:30Hrs.

Was it 5 or 6 hundred hours or at 1 hundred hours and if it was at 100 hours why did police leave him to go and speak to people at the gate of progress way, if his injuries were so server he had to go to the hospital at 5 Or 6 hundred hours?

Mr Simon Cordell will state that the police already have on there systems, the persons name they were in contact with leading up to this. The public Order Unit at Scotland Yard would hold the information. And also the police in Essex would have information.

It has taken Mr Cordell and his mother months to gather information in regards to the dates in this ASBO application, and he feels that the police already hold the information that he is being accused off.

This has come at a time when he was very unstable in his health due to the police actions toward him self over many years, and also the lost of his Nan’s life which no one should have had to deal with in the manner she passed away, when Mr Simon Cordell should have been taking time with his family and trying to deal with his own health, while dealing with his brothers health, and personal family problems.

BOOK 10

WITNESS STATEMENT

Book 8

Statement taken by PC Donald Mcmikan

Dated 14 August 2014.

In regards to dates: 6th 7th 8th June 2014

This statement refers to an illegal rave which took place between 6th June and 8th June 2014 on the industrial Estate near Woodgrange Avenue.

On Thursday 14th August 2014, I spoke with a resident who lives in Woodgrange Avenue, Enfield. The resident is an elderly female and both she and her husband are retired.

She has stated that on Saturday 7th June 2014, she contacted Police regarding a rave that was happening on the industrial estate close to her home address. Her reason for contacting Police was because the music noise was horrendously loud and this was disturbing their peace and had been going on for sometime. She states that both her and her husband were extremely distressed about this whole incident because something similar had happened in the past.

She states that lots of youths had been jumping over fences and she was very concerned and frightened about this and feared that something would happen to them or one of their neighbours. ‘This made them both extremely anxious, nervous and made them worry.

This lady is worried that an incident like this could happen again. She did not want to provide Police with a direct statement as she is frightened that the organizers could trace where they live and make their lives even more of a misery.

She is extremely concerned that something like this may happen again in the future. I Simon Cordell State:

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

He will state that he did not organize any events within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he at no point of time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

At no point has Mr Simon Cordell committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not supply any equipment on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014.

• **BOOK 11**

WITNESS STATEMENT

Book 9

Statement made by: PC Donald Mcillen 759YE

Police officer

Dated:14 August 2014

Referring from phone caller taken.6th 7th 8th June 2014

Regards Unit 6 Progress way

Victim off statement is to remain anonymous

This statement refers to an illegal Rave which took place 6th 7th 8th June 2014. On Thursday 14th August 2014 police spoke to a resident in Woodgrange Avenue N9 who wished not to be named and remain anonymous.

The resident stated that the rave/Party at Progress Way started on the Friday 6th of June and ended on the Sunday 8th June 2014.

He stated that he and his wife had contacted the Police numerous times regarding the level of noise.

This was so loud that he and his wife had to go and sleep in a different part of the house.

He mentioned that an Ambulance had to attend an incident that happened in the street, apparently someone had fallen off a roof and the ambulance could not gain access. The ambulance men had to attend on foot.

He states that he had discussions with local neighbors during that weekend, who stated that youths had been climbing over fences, and causing damage to the fences.

He stated that this whole incident caused both him and his wife a great deal of distress over this particular weekend.

I Simon Cordell State:

At no point did I take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

I did not organize any events within this ASBO application.

At no time did I encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he at no point of time did he committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not supply any equipment on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014.

- **BOOK 12**

- **Doglas Skinner Duty officer**

Statement made dated 15/08/2014

States, On Saturday 19th July 2014 he was on active duty as a officer for Enfield borough.

At 2210hrs

Doglas Skinner made his first statement 29 days after the 7th June, and has made additions to his statements 3 months 4 days after. In total 4 month 5 days ==70 days after said incident.

Doglas Skinner states: 20 people pulling into an estate, the information thought was the 20 people were tried to set up a rave.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he at no point of time, was he one of the 20 people talked about.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not take part in organising any event on the 19th 20th July 2014 or supply equipment and did not attend the occupied premises to rave. In fact he was pulled over as he see a friend being detained out side carpet right and at this time he had been helping with food and washing cloths for homeless people.

The CAD number of the call that came in referred to in his statement to 20 people pulling into an estate, the caller states 20 males and females are all white people and the address are listed in the CAD, with names and DVLA records of vehicles.

Doglas Skinner states: The crowd was by an empty building called carpet right and had gained entry to the rear premises.

If the building had not been occupied under section 144 LASPO the 20 people seen and contained in the premises would have been arrested for trespassing or burglary and was not in fact arrested. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was arrested out side the old carpet right and had taking no part in any activity that happened in the premises, of the old carpet right 198 Great Cambridge Road Enfield Town Enl Luj.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point was he one of the people or vans referred to on the land of carpet right or was he attending a rave, neither was he acting in an Anti Social Manner."

Doglas Skinner states: Sent officers to the scene to stop any one else gaining entry to the premises. " This was the 1st set of officers sent to The old carpet right 198 Great Cambridge Road Enfield Town Enl luj

Doglas Skinner: Made his way to the scene.

"2nd set of officer's who attended the scene was Doglas Skinner Duty officer."

Doglas Skinner states: There was a metal gate across the entry to the car park but this had a thick chain and a padlock around it so that it could not be opened.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time had he been to this location before the date in question and he did not put any lock chain or padlock on any gate and at no point of time did he instruct any person to do so.

Doglas Skinner states: He walked around to the rear of the premises where there were several vehicles and about 15 persons.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time was he one of the 15 people or vehicles being mentioned in this Doglas Skinner statement"

Doglas Skinner states: I saw a large black box which had sound speakers and sound system inside them.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he hire any sound equipment to any body on the 19th 8 2014 neither did take part in any event organized on the 19th 8 2014."

Doglas Skinner states: I received a call from our control room stating they believed up to 100 people were going to arrive at south bury road train station to attended a rave at this location. As a result to this intelligence I believed that the premises were going to be used for a rave.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state please take note to the statement above being paragraph one dated 15/8/2014 of witness statement by Doglas Skinner now please take note to witness statement Doglas Skinner dated 15/8/2014 paragraph three,

"Dugles skinner I explained to him, him referring to Mr Simon Cordell that police were expecting 100 plus people to turn up at Southbury road were the rave was happening" While speaking out side Carpet right.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would like to know the CAD number and to receive the transcripts of the call made of intelligence of 100 people attending."

Doglas Skinner states: I saw a male I knew to be Simon Cordell who came out of the building.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he go on the land or in the premises related to Carpet Right."As stated by Doglus skinner the police had contained all occupiers and sound system and vehicles on the land and in the premises, as well as having police officers at the front gates stopping

people gaining entry to the premises otherwise mentioned in statements as the old carpet right along the a10.

“take note to the statement above being in paragraph two dated 15/8/2014 of witness statement by Douglas Skinner now please take note to witness statement Douglas Skinner dated 15/8/2014 paragraph three, " Out side carpet Right I spoke to Cordell " This is right I did speak to Inspector Doglus out side the old carpet right 198 Great Cambridge Road Enfield Town EN1 1 UJ.

Douglas Skinner: He admitted that he was just organising a party for some friends and that was all. Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he organize this party as he felt that he was being accused of doing and at no point would he have said that he did do so, as he had just stopped to help a friend who he see getting detained by the police and at no point from his arrival was any person permitted by police to go on the land.

Douglas Skinner states: I explained to him I was holding him responsible, Him referring to Simon Cordell.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he at no point time should get held responsible for any offence that he has not committed.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not involved in organising or hiring any equipment on the 19th 8 2014.

He will state that he approached carpet right when the police had it contained, stopping access to any Person’s other than police officers gaining entry.

He was not one of the 20 people being accused of looking for venues in paragraph one dated 15/8/2014 in witness statement made by Douglas Skinner as his name would have been noted in police books as every one else's on the land should have been.

He was not any of the accused people on the land or in the building as Douglas Skinner approached the rear of carpet right.

Douglas Skinner states: Simon Cordell was arrested and detained.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he continued to try and state his point that he had nothing to do with the event.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he believes, that it was unjustified that he had been detained and only him self not even the sound system on the land. As stated in the statement provided police officers had people detained in the land and building. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he approached carpet right after the problem had been contained by 2nd set of officer’s.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he Police offices as well as his closer friends who he see being detained Named Nash Tate who is willing to come to court, see him walking down the foot to his aid of friends at Carpet Right. After he parked his vehicle in the car park, which belongs to a company called magnet three company's down from carpet right premises.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was on a pubic foot path, as he approached the officer and his friends, who were being detained and that he never had any sound system or equipment and at no point was he involved in the supply of equipment or organisation of any event 19th July 2014. The premises was contained by the police stopping entry in and out as stated in the statements at no point did he attempted or did Mr Simon Cordell agree to take part in any event on the 19th June 2014.

- **BOOK 13 missing**

- **BOOK 14**

Statement of Jason Ames
Police office 206011
Statement made 15/08/2014

Referring to date 09 August 2014

Millmarsh Lane

Officer Jason Ames States: on the 9th August 2014 he was driving a marked police car in the company of A/INSP King at 2221 hours.

Officer Jason Ames States: they were informed of CAD 9717 which relates to intelligence received that states there was likely to be an illegal open air rave.

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he attending the occupied premises to which he had been to before to visit a friends, who were living and residing on the premises at Millmarsh lane in an occupied building and out back tents, who are an occupation, witch is a collective of people. He Understands that they had been treating the premises as their home since 16/05/2014, on the 15/02/2015 Mr Simon Cordell will state that he remembers this day clearly as he had been invited to a friend's private birthday party who live on the private self contained land in question along Millmarsh Lane.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he requests to see all information in regards to CAD 9717 as he believes this may contain evidence of his innocents in the events in question.

Officer Jason Aims States: The intelligence received started that there was likely to be an open Air rave.

Mill Mars Lane is 20,000 Square feet self contained land with 4 large commercial premises within. I have provided evidence supporting this and this location is in fact in (Private Air) as well as in (Open Air) and was being lived in as accepted by police Under section 144 LASPO or Trespass would have taken place.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he cause Anti Social Behaviour on this date"

I did not not organize or take part in an illegal open air rave, that was likely to take place, as stated by way of being accused in Officer Jason Aim's statements. The occupier's who was living on the land were treating the premises as there home and was in private Air. The occupiers were living in accordance to the law, living in tents and the occupied attached building on the land.

The term open air rave was used by Jason aims, on stead of on land in open air while attached to (Private Air) as defined by section 63 CDA, to which is a mistake as it was in private air on land.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not arrested for any criminal offence or neither did any person take civil action against him self as he did not cause any Anti Social Behaviour.

Officer Jason Ames States the key elements are present for a rave, he accused occupiers.

It could not be possible to create an illegal rave especially with no power supply being present.

Officer Jason Ames States: The intelligence received stated that there was likely to be an illegal open air rave.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he organize or take part in a illegal open air rave that was likely to take place, as stated by way of being accused in Officer Jason Ames Statements. The occupiers living on the land were treating it as there home to his knowledge from doing research in effect to this case the land and therefore the buildings on the land are private, counselled and contained by way of security gates from the general public. Occupiers were living under section 144 Laspo and treating the premises as there home.

The closest/house to the occupied site is 1 mile/away.

Officer Jason Ames States: He attended Millmarsh Lane at 2232 hours.

Officer Jason Ames States: He could see small pockets of young people walking east along Millmarsh lane. " Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time was he one of the people in question or did he organize the accused rave of being. He was invited to a birthday party as noted in the statement within this application made by Aaron King Dated 15/08/2014 Of the officer stating it was a birthday party, Which as stated by Mr Simon Cordell "He was invited to"

On the 9th august 2014 Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not encouraged or neither did he invite other people or take part in actions that may have led to a open air rave in the region of Millmarsh Lane. Or does he no the people referred to.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he take part in any organisation or supplying of equipment towards any rave on the 09/08/2014.

Officer Jason Ames States: We worked out these youths were making there way to an open air rave. Mr Simon Cordell will state that this was a private birthday party to which he was invited and never believed to be a illegal rave until police notified him that the key elements were believed to be in place and stopped the private birthday party to which he had been invited to, this was on private land contained by security gates to the premises.

Officer Jason Ames States: This area appeared to be the ground on which a building used to stand. "There was an occupied building at the rear of the land. The land in question is a forecourt to the occupied building."

Officer Jason Ames States: It was fenced off and the front gates were chained shut with a motorcycle chain and padlock.

Officer Jason Ames States: He could here music coming from the venue.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that no sound could be played as there was no power, "The land was fenced off and the front, gates were chained shut with a motorcycle chain and padlock as in police statement made by Aaron King dated 15/08/2014 referring to the 9th August 2014 " I explained to Mr Cordell that he needed to come on the site to see what was going on for all he new he could be damaging it or steeling from it. Mr Simon Cordell state at this time the occupiers of the land was present and had been from the start of police arrival and he was a guest as explained on the 9th August 2014. Aaron King states: Eventually after promising he would not remove anyone squatting/ occupying the land that were treating it as there home under a section 144 Laspo. Aaron King and PC Ames could come in if they also treated it as the occupiers of the land do, as there private home of residence, as noted in statements provided there was no power or generator present to the self contained private Land and premises. Any amplified music on the 9th June was coming from the next door premises in fact from a car.

Officer Jason Ames States: I could see small numbers inside and a couple of tents.

Officer Jason Ames States: We exited our vehicle and approached the gates in order to speak with the organizer.

Officer Jason Ames States: Manning the gate was a mixed race man I know to be Simon Cordell.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he remembers this day very clearly and what happened. It was a Saturday and he had been looking forward to this day as he was visiting a friend of his, at were he was living, Mr Cordell latter found out it was one of his friend birthday and they were having a get together of friends and family. As he attend the premises in question on the 9th august it was about 8pm. he stayed and had some birthday cake and dinner, until the point of police arrival when in fact he was sitting in a car Index MA57LDY 200 yards from the gates within the self contained land, he remembers this because as he arrived because he had been invited the gates were unlocked as his vehicle and him self gained access as a visitor, by the occupiers of the land.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that as stated he had been invited to attend a friend's birthday party not a illegal rave by a man who lived at Millmarsh Lane.

Officer Jason Ames States: I was aware of a lot of intelligence on our indices that suggests Cordell is known to be the organizer of most of the raves that have been happening in the Enfield area.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has never been arrested and charged and feels that this is slander of definition of character, and for such here say to be admissible as court evidence or reference of character is criminal and unjustified, no weight should be taken.

Officer Jason Ames States: We asked if we could come in to the venue and speak to him. Cordell refused initially starting that there was no rave.

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was just a visitor and had no right with out consent of the occupiers to unlock the gate, at no point did he have the key to the gate, To which the occupiers use to unlock the gates to allow access for the police to come in.

Officer Jason Ames States: He stated that it was a private "conference."

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did say he had also gone to have a conference with his friends in regards to get the empty co2 gas cylinders he was carrying to be re filled as well as to attend to see his friends.

Officer Jason Ames States: He stated that there have been a few people camping on the land as they had no were to go. The people were in fact the occupiers of the land and building on the premises, who were at the gate on police arrival.

Officer Jason Ames States: He stated that they are having a few friends over for a private party.

Officer Jason Ames States: After persuasion Cordell allowed A/Insp King to gain entry to survey the area.

Officer Jason Ames States: Inside he could see around 20-30 people milling around, 2 small tents, a large set of speakers and sound system and a supply of bottled water. AT no point did I take part or organise a birthday party or a illegal rave or bring any equipment leading to a large sound system on said premises as it would not fit in my car Index MA57LDY a ford focus as mentioned in police statement for me to be driving on the 9th June 2014.

Officer Jason Ames States: Cordell was informed that the rave was going to be closed down and despite a slight resistance to this by him trying to quote legislation to us he agreed to pack up and leave. Yes when asked to leave by police.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did get into index Ma57Ldy and go home to his flat 109 Burncroft avenue Enfield to be he lives and reside every night.

Officer Jason Ames States: Cordell was informed that the rave was going to be closed down and despite a slight resistance to this by him trying to quote legislation to us he agreed to pack up and leave. "At no point would he go against police directions"

Officer Jason Ames States: He was reluctant but co-operated at this stage.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point would he go against police directions"

Officer Jason Ames States: The venue had more or less emptied but the organisers were still packing their equipment away.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he have any equipment in fact by this time he had left to go home but got detained by way of a police road block at the top of Millmarsh avenue soon to be realized with other members of the public.

Officer Jason Ames States: Approximately 100 people arrived in Millmarsh Lane at the same time.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that as stated at no point of time did he take part in organising any event on the 9th June 2014 he did in fact travel alone to attend a friends birthday party not an illegal rave as he is being accused of and at the point mentioned did he meet any of the people in question out of the 100 people or advise them to attend.

Officer Jason Ames States: This appeared odd to me that so many people turned up all at once.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that as stated above he was just attending a friend's birthday party not a illegal rave as suspected of it being.

Officer Jason Ames States: The crowd appeared to be angry at the fact that police had interrupted their evening and were shouting and advancing at officers.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did go to Millmarsh lane driving index MA57LDY in a silver ford focus on his own to attend a friends Birthday party. He has been to Millmarsh Lane before the date in question. His reason for this is he had been invited to do so at any time. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had been invited to a birthday party at no point was he attending a illegal rave, neither at any point did he take part in the organisation of this birthday party or supply any equipment and that he was present only as a civil citizen up holding the UK Law.

Officer Jason Ames States: One of the group shouted lets just storm it."

Officer Jason Ames States: Cordell appeared to have realized that this crowd was in attendance and half emerged from the venue and appeared to be encouraging the crowd to act up and try to false their way into the site.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point would he knowingly encourage such behaviour as to in danger others, as this is not who he is, so the believe that he appeared to take actions, such as stated that he would in fact in danger life's of others would not be true to it statement' of facts.

Officer Jason Ames States: Officer Jason Ames States: there were also reports of missiles being thrown at officers.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that as stated above he travelled alone and was in attendance as a visitor of a friends birthday party and no point of time on the 9 /8/2014 did he take part in the hiring of any equipment or organisation of an open air rave as stated, or did he have any influence or encourage any others to any events that occurred on the 9th June 2014

Officer Jason Ames States: A male and a female that was present did not back down and leave, they were arrested by officers.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not know who the people are that officer Jason Ames refers to as the male and female, who got arrested neither did he have any involvement in the events leading to their arrest.

Officer Jason Ames States:

The events from the 9th June 2014 have a negative impact on Enfield Borough and a strain on police forces across London's 33 boroughs'.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did I cause any Anti social behaviour.

BOOK 15

Statement of AAaron King,
Police officer PS 91YE,

Statement made 15/08/14,
Referring to 9th August 2014
Mill Marsh Lane

Officer AAaron King States: On Saturday 9th August 2014 I was on duty in full uniform posted as Acting Inspector. Shortly before 2230hrs I was informed via our GPC that Intel had been received via social media that there was going to be a large illegal rave somewhere in the region of Millmarsh Lane, Enfield, EN3. I was advised that this was being advertised on Face book by "Every Decibel Matters" who run unlicensed events.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he understands that the information received by police via social media, was that there was going to be a large illegal rave, this was said to be some were in the region of Mill Marsh Lane, Enfield En3. This intelligence was passed to police Intel Unit public order team, who had been in contact with the director of

Every Decibel matters, prior to the information being pasted on to AAaron King, police had attended a location and had spoken to members of the public in regards to the private birthday party to which Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not present, it then got stopped and moved to the location to were he was at to no arrangement of his own. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is not a director to this company; neither was he working for the company name every Decibel Matters on this date.

Officer AAaron King States: At this time I was in company with Ps Ames 123YE and we made our way to the location. On route, I informed the control room of what was potentially occurring and accepted the offer; from some units to attend the location to assist me. On arrival in Millmarsh" Lane it was obvious that something was about to happen. There were a number, of groups of teenagers who were milling around clearly looking for something.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not one of the people in question; neither did he take part in any Anti social behaviour, organising or should he be accountable for other peoples actions..

Officer AAaron King States: After a brief search I noticed two metal gates next to the Greggs Factory which suddenly closed as we passed them. We stopped and I got out and approached the gates. Although dark, street lighting was on and I could see a male was using a chain and lock to secure the gates.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not the person locking the gate and he did not have a key as he was not an occupier of the land and that he was just a visitor. Who was sitting in his car Ma57ldy parked next to the gates as the police arrived.

He could hear music coming from further inside.

There was no power source and the music was coming from a car related to the same land in another ware house owned by the same land lord as the land connected to this incident being rented out.

Officer AAaron King States: Stood by the gate I immediately noticed an IC3 male who I know to be Simon CORDELL. I recognised Mr. Cordell as I have previously spoken to him recently at illegal raves where I have seen him setting up sound equipment and subsequently taking it away.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has nether been arrested or charged for illegal raves.

Officer AAaron King States: when confronted by Police...I explained to Mr. Cordell why we were there but he immediately denied it was a rave. Mr. Cordell stated first it was a private conference but then said it was a birthday party.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was there to have a conference with a friend who lived at the premises at the same time another occupier of the land agreed to have a friend's private birthday party at the location, to no involvement of his own.

Officer AAaron King States: When asked about permission to be there he stated friends were squatting on the land and they had said he could stay.

This is true.

Officer AAaron King States: I explained to Mr. Cordell that I needed to come onto the site to see what was going on as for all I knew he could be damaging it or stealing from it. Eventually after promising I would not remove anyone squatting and only myself and Pc Ames would come in, Mr. Cordell agreed that we could come in.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did get involved and speak to the police as they new him by name and had already chosen to involve him.

Officer AAaron King States: Near to the gate was a silver Ford Focus index MA57LDY which I knew was Mr. Cordell's, -The boot was open and I noticed it contained three large thin industrial gas bottles. From experience I knew this was likely to contain nitrous oxide which is currently used on the rave scene as a legal high. As we passed the car Mr. Cordell quickly lowered the boot. I queried Mr. Cordell about the gas and pointed out that it was on the news earlier how Nitrous

oxide was dangerous and Mr. Cordell stated that the Government would probably ban it soon like everything else.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does remember talking to the police in regards to Nitrous Oxide but at no point did he cause any Anti Social Behaviour or was he breaking the Law.

Officer Aaron King States: Mr Cordell was polite and showed us around the site which appeared to be a large concreted area that was completely open to the air.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that this location was being occupied under section 144 and also has self contained warehouse on it, evidence supplied in case bundles this is not open to air land.

Officer Aaron King States: There was a large sound system to the rear which was amplified though I could not see any power source.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he this proves the fact that music could not have been made by any one spoken to by police.

Officer AAaron King States: There was a number of people wearing yellow hi-vis jackets who Mr. Cordell stated were first aiders and there was a pallet of water near to the sound system as well as a couple of tents closer to the gates.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that a female who had just past her first aid test, who is a occupier of the land was present, wearing a yellow hi vest jacket as it was cold and a load of yellow hi - vest jackets had been donated and he does remember everybody

present talking about her doing so. "

Up to here so far 09/02/2016 13:32

Officer AAaron King States: I could see no obvious Toilet facilities nor shelter from what had been forecast as a stormy night. In Side the venue mostly just stood around in small groups were about 30 people, mostly teenagers.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that no police officer's walked into the part of the building being occupied were there was running water and toilets.

Officer AAaron King States: Mr Cordell stated he was an entrepreneur and was awaiting licenses from the council so that he would soon be legitimate.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was and still does intended to create a festival if this ASBO case stops darkening his name.

Officer AAaron King States: When I explained all the "ingredients" for a rave were present Mr. Cordell began to try and argue his point that it was not a rave and that it was a private party. I spoke at length with Mr. Cordell explaining the legal situation and how by definition this was a rave and that ultimately there were too few people present at the time to stop police and so on this occasion I could act and close the rave.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour neither did he organize or hire any equipment or was he attending a rave on the 9th June 2014 in regards to the allegations presented within the ASBO application, he did attended a friends birthday dinner party as a guest.

Officer AAaron King States: Whilst on an industrial estate it was my opinion that such was the proximity to local housing and my knowledge of the volume music is played and the duration it is played for, often throughout the weekend that a rave would constitute serious disruption.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that Google Earth shows the closest house to Mill Marsh Lane the premises in question, to be one mile from the closest house. **(Exhibit)**

Officer AAaron King States: Mr. Cordell was clearly not happy but did not want his equipment seized so agreed to start packing up the sound equipment.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that as noted by officers and officer AAaron King he was present in a ford focus and with three empty welding cylinders, so he could not have been carrying any sound equipment as this would not have fitted into his vehicle.

Officer AAaron King States: Whilst talking with Mr. Cordell there were small groups of teenagers arriving at the site and entering via a break in the fence, (the gates still being shut at this time). I got Ps Ames to get units to us to prevent further people trespassing on the land and to discourage people from attending the location and exited the venue to a wait.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he should not be accountable for other people's actions that he took no part in. For people to further be trespassing some one would have had to be arrested for trespass in the beginning, who is this person.

Officer AAaron King States: Mr. Cordell's exit with the sound equipment. Whilst waiting I radioed for the on-call Superintendent so I could get the various Rave legislation approved so that I could seize the sound equipment and enforce a rave cordon on Millmarsh Lane to prevent people entering.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that Inspector AAaron has been told this third party and he knows that he has stated the true facts in his statement's of truth, that Mr Simon Cordell was present in a car and would not able to carry such large sound equipment.

Officer AAaron King States: Whilst stood by the venue a number of people began leaving, most were laughing but the odd one was blaming police for stopping the event. Suddenly there was a huge number of mainly teenagers walking towards me from the direction of Mollison Aveneue. Apparently this group had all arrived together from the nearby railway station. Straight away some of this group headed straight towards us saying they were going to storm the place. I had been joined by a few team officers and we advised them that the rave had been closed down and they would not be allowed to enter. There was some verbal confrontation but the large group which was up to 100 strong moved off round the corner with some overheard saying they would break in round the corner.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he take part in any one else's Anti Social Behaviour and he did not cause Anti social Behaviour.

Officer AAaron King States: As they began to move off Mr. Cordell stood by the break in the fence and shouted words to the effect of, "Come on, there is more of you". And he quickly went up to Mr Cordell and told him to stop or he would arrest him to prevent a breach of the peace. At this Mr Cordell went back and stayed away. Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time would he say this and he would never in danger another person's life in such a manner. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he would never encourage activities that would lead to incitement of a riot, and as there was more than 12 people present he know if this statement was true he would have been arrested under offences contrary to section's 5, 4A, 4, of the Criminal Justice Act 1967 and or section 91.

Officer AAaron King States: The large group did indeed try to get into adjoining premises that they thought led to the rave venue hut were stopped by officers and moved off back into Millmarsh lane, although one officer Pc Wale was injured- during a struggle. T requested the attendance of as many units as possible including dogs and TSG as the group were becoming more hostile towards officers despite there being no music now and being informed of the closure. A short while later officers I had positioned at the junction radioed that there was now an even bigger crowd advancing on them. I arrived at the junction to see a very large number of people, now upto 200 walking with purpose towards officers stood in the road. Suddenly objects began to get thrown from the crowd towards police. I saw traffic cones, cone lights, bottles and stones begin to land near Officers so that they had to quickly move out of the way. I again heard phrases similar to "storm them". Fearing imminent violence I drew and extended my baton as did my colleagues. I could hear shouts of "get back" but the crowd continued to throw items, some of which were landing on cars that had been temporarily stopped due to the group. We had been joined by two dog units who took the lead in dispersing the crowd. At this point there were two arrests to my left and along with the dogs this seemed to make the crowd withdraw. I told my officers and

the dogs not to follow the crowd as they were now by the train station with nowhere to go as the barriers were down. There was a tense stand off for some time but the group eventually got onto trains and left the area.

Officer AAaron King says I could hear shouts;

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was not the person shouting or causing any Anti Social Behaviour neither did he take part in the organisation of the private birthday party.

Officer AAaron King States: I was informed by another unit that Mr Cordell had also left with his equipment.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that this proves police were told third party, but all ready new Mr Simon Cordell was in his car that was full because he was carrying cylinder bottles in accordance to the law of The CARRIDGE OF DANGRESS GOODS CDG.

Officer AAaron King States: I tasked arriving TSG with local reassurance patrols but shortly after they started I was advised that most of the group were wandering around near to Ponders End. I tasked TSG with following this group and was informed by their Inspector that their unmarked unit had overheard talk that the' rave was now going to be South West of the original location.

I WAS NOT INVOLVED IN THE ORGANISATION OF ILLEGALE RAVES
NEITHER WAS I ARRESTED AND GIVEN THE RIGHT TO DEFFANED MY
SELF.

I was aware that TSG subsequently saw Simon Cordell by the Crown lane Industrial Estate where he has held a rave before and had stopped the group from forcibly breaking into this location.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that this is two occupied building of 6 within a 2 mile radius, that were all being occupied in Enfield, within the same Local Borough that he has lived in a resided in since his Birth, and he does not think that it is right for police to say who he can and cant have as friends or as associates.

Officer AAaron King States: Finally after close to three hours later, the group dispersed and I was informed that social media was indicating the rave would now be Epping Forest.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not go to Epping forest on this date.

Officer AAaron King States The whole incident took a vast number of resources to police and there were two arrests for drugs possession and two for drunk and disorderly behaviour. One officer was injured with a deep cut to his elbow requiring first aid by the Police FME and emergency calls whilst answered were subject to delay.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is sorry to here that any police officers had been hurt and understand the offenders faced criminal prosecution for the offences they had caused.

BOOK 16

- **Statement of Aaron King**
Dated 07/09/2014

Further to his statement Dated 15/08/2014

Regarding Saturday 9th August 2014

AAaron King state's: Further to his statement Dated 15/08/2014 Regarding An illegal rave on Saturday 9th August 2014

The version of events declared in the statement of Aaron King Dated 07/09/2014 and 15/08/14 are both in correct and misleading to each other as pointed out;

Aaron King states: I could see a male was using a chain to lock and secure the gates.

" Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point was he this person, as there was no reason for me to have a key as he was just a visitor."

"As Aaron king states I could see a male was using a chain and lock to secure the gates he then states, while stood at the gates i immediately noticed an ic3 male who I no to be Simon Cordell,

Mr Simon Cordell will state that to which is true as he was sitting in the car index MA57LDY parked close to the gates, when approached from the street, As noted by AAaron king Near to the gate was a silver Ford Focus index MA57LDY, which he new was Mr Cordell's. This statement was made 15/08/2014 seven days after the occurrence of accused events referred to on the 9th August 2014 then another statement was made to amendments of this statement dated 07/09/2014 stating they no it was Mr Simon Cordell locking the gate a mix ic3 male who they no to be him self. which is a contradiction of events that have been noted on two different dates by the same police officer leading to events within his and there witness statements, that Mr Simon Cordell is being accused in that should not justified towards an Asbo application and should not have no effect on him self by way off effecting his civil liberty's human rights or acting as a bad marker in his name of reference, to which he feel punished for and now in turn has effected his life.

AAaron King state's: I have been asked to clarify the role that Mr Simon Cordell had during the incident.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not see how any person can preserve his role off being an organizer, as he was only being helpful and polite and curites, in his friends place of residence towards the police, while being a invited visitor. It was his friends birthday and he had been invited for dinner. At no point did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, nor did he organize or hire any equipment and he was not in attending to a rave on the 9th June 2014.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did attend a friend's birthday dinner party as a guest.

Aaron King states: as a male quickly locked the gates upon apparently seeing my marked police vehicle. This male was Mr. Cordell

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he could not have locked the gates as he was only a guest and at no point in time had the keys to the lock on the gates.

Aaron King states: It was initially Mr. Cordell who said he could not entre and it was him who was very much in charge of deciding if police were going to be let in.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he was asked by police if he would let them in to which he explained he was not the occupier and never had any keys. At this point in time one of the occupiers went of to get the keys and let the police in.

- **BOOK 17**
- **WITNESS STATEMENT**

Statement of PC Donald Mcmillan 759YE

Dated: 19th August 2014

Police officer

Unit 6 Progress Way

Referring to 6th 7th 8th June 2014

This statement refers to an illegal rave which took place between 6th June and 8th June 2014 on the industrial Estate near Woodgrange Avenue.

On Thursday 14th August 2014, police spoke with a resident who lives in Woodgrange Avenue, Enfield. The resident is an elderly female and both she and her husband are retired.

She has stated that on Saturday 7th June 2014, she contacted Police regarding a rave that was happening on the industrial estate close to her home address. Her reason for contacting Police was because the music noise was horrendously loud and this was disturbing their peace and had been going on for sometime. She states that both her and her husband were extremely distressed about this whole incident because something similar had happened in the past.

She states that lots of youths had been jumping over fences and she was very concerned and frightened about this and feared that something would happen to them or one of their neighbours. This made them both extremely anxious, nervous and made them worry. This lady is worried that an incident like this could happen again. She did not want to provide Police with a direct statement as she is frightened that the organizers could trace where they live and make their lives even more of a misery. She is extremely concerned that something like this may happen again in the future.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point in time did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

And he did not organize any events within this ASBO application.

At no time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point of time has he committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this Asbo application.

He will state that he did not supply any equipment on the 6th 7th / 8th June 2014

- **BOOK 18**
- **-WITNESS STATEMENT**

Statement of Jhon Andrews

Police Officer

Dated: 19/08/2014

Reference to 6th 7th 8th June 2014

Refers to an illegal Rave which took place between 6th June and 8th June 2014. On Thursday 14th August 2014, I spoke to a resident in WOODGRANGE AVENUE N9, who wished not to be named and remain anonymous.

The resident stated that the rave/Party at Progress Way started on the Friday 6th of June and ended on the Sunday 8th June 2014.

He stated that he and his wife had contacted the Police numerous times regarding the level of noise. This was so loud that he and his wife had to go and sleep in a different part of the house.

He mentioned that an Ambulance had to attend an incident that happened in the street, apparently someone had fallen off a roof and the ambulance could not gain access. The ambulance men had to attend on foot.

He states that he had discussions with local neighbours during that weekend, who stated that youths had been climbing over fences, and causing damage to the fences.

He stated that this whole incident caused both him and his wife a great deal of distress over this particular weekend

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point in time did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not organize any events within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point in time has he committed or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not supply any equipment on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014.

- **BOOK 19**

I am a resident living at Wood Grange Gardens and have lived at this address for 28 years. I am retired and live with my wife, who suffers from dizziness? As a result of the rave that took place at the warehouse, my wife and I have suffered as a result of my wife's conditions, As the noise is so bad that even though my house is double glazed the noise penetrates through the wall. On the last occasion we had people spilling out from the rave onto the Rd and they are very noisy. This is a lonely area but things change when the party is on.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour, causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not organize any events within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no time did he encourage any other person's to commit any offence causing or likely to cause harassment, alarm or distress.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point has he been convicted or been rightfully arrested and charged for an offence to one of a similar nature presented within this ASBO application.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not supply any equipment on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014.

- **BOOK 20**

Statement off: Eric Baker

Police Officer 219382

Dated 19/08/2014

He is a police officer in London Borough of Enfield and has been tasked to contact residents of the Borough who had called police to inform them of an illegal rave that took place over Friday 7th June 2014 and Saturday 8th June 2014, in a warehouse in Progress Way Enfield

On Tuesday 19th August 2014 I contacted the caller of the CAD 10471/07June 2014 by telephone, who was happy to give an impact statement regarding how illegal rave effected her and her husband over the above dates mentioned.

The caller wishes to remain anonymous. I will refer to her as complainant "A" The original notes taken from the below statement are present in my pocket book serial 370/14, page 1.

Complainant "a" said it was a warm evening and we had to keep the windows shut because of the noise. The next day we could not even go out into the garden because of the noise. It kept me and my husband up all night, and made us very anxious the next day. The illegal rave totally ruined our weakened" This concluded what complainant 'A' said regarding this matter.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he take part in any form of Anti Social behaviour and he did not organize or hire any equipment or was he attending a rave on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014th.

- **BOOK 21**
- **Statement:pc Edgoose**
- **Dated: 31st August 2014**

Alma Rd

Referring to: Thursday 24th July 2014

Officer Pc Edgoose States: On THURSDAY 24th JULY 2014 I was on duty in plain clothes as operator of an unmarked police vehicle in company with APS 212YE MARTIN, PC 151YE ROBERTSON, and PC 229YE O'NEILL. At around 1625 hours on Alma Road EN3 we had cause to stop a silver Ford Focus VRM MA57LDY due to the manner of its driving. The driver was a male I know to be Simon CORDELL dob21/01/1981.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has no disputes with reference to statement made by pc Edgoose above, apart from the manner to which MR Simon Cordell is being accused of driving.

Officer Pc Edgoose States: I know him as I have dealt with on a number of previous occasions. He was initially hostile about having been stopped, but once he had calmed down he engaged in conversation with us.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point was he acting in an Anti Social Manner

Officer Pc Edgoose States: He stated that he is staying out of trouble now, and he does not get involved in any of the things he used to.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he has not caused any offence since he was much younger; and that he just gets accused and harassed by members of the metropolitan police a lot.

Officer Pc Edgoose States: He stated that he has 4 brand new speakers at home which are suitable for use at raves, but he does not use them and has offered to lend them to any "youngsters" to use.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he had been on curfew for one year for a case he proved his innocents in and had been working hard in his Local community trying to make a positive effect towards his self and other that he could help, so he had been spending his time building his company and would not link him self to illegal raves, Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did say he had been getting his equipment ready and proposals for pickets lock and barley lands ready and had been in contact with both venues. Mr Simon Cordell will state that had also been working at his local community hall as well as Muswell Hill festival ponders end festival lock to lock festival and Enfield town festival and would have been talking about such on goings only and had been working with the youngsters from Kemp Hall Community Hall.

Officer Pc Edgoose States: He went on to say that they are not interested though as these days they just want to steal everything.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that the people he meet appreciated the work he was doing for them so he does not see why this would be said.

Officer Pc Edgoose States: He said he gets inundated with requests to run raves all the time, but he doesn't get involved now. He claims to have 20,000 followers on one social media site, and 70,000 on another. He said he could organize a rave and get 20,000 people at it with no problems whatsoever.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that the word Rave has been used and he does not see how this relates to the conversation on the day or his activities as he was talking about the hard work he had been committing him self to, constrictive legal work and for the term Rave to be used with out the key elements is an injustice, which if true would have lead to criminal convection, as the term illegal rave is of an illegal formality and his PNC Criminal Record and his other recollection of events in his life state otherwise. Mr Simon Cordell will state that did not cause any Anti social behaviour on this date in question.

Officer Pc Edgoose States: He gets requests from anarchist type groups to run raves for them.

Ile went on to say that he had been asked by Occupy London, Black Block and other anarchist type groups to run a rave at Notting Hill Carnival for them so that they could cause carnage and mayhem, but he had refused.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he disputes that he would say this as he knows that he is not black neither is he white. Mr Simon Cordell will state that he is mixed race of British Nationality and that he has neither heard of a group called Black Block, neither would he promote verbally of such a group the same as he would not verbally promote such anarchist type groups such as the kkk because he has been created by both.

Officer Pc Edgoose States: Whilst on public order duty at Notting Hill Carnival I saw Mr. CORDELL walking through the area I was deployed around TAVISTOCK ROAD. He was pushing a wheelie bin, and he was approached by members of a group of around 10 - 20 people who had been waiting at a junction near our location. This group had been playing drum and bass music and had told officers they were heading to an event but were awaiting the location. It was somewhere between 2200 2300 hours when I had seen the group, and Mr. Cordell.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he cause any Anti Social Behaviour or Alarm harm or distress on the date in question.

- BOOK 22

Statement: Pc 577ye

Dated: 12th September 2014

109 Burncroft Avenue

Referring to: Friday 12th September 2014

On Friday 12th September 2014 I attended the address of Simon Cordell in Burncroft Avenue EN3 with A/PS 556YE PETRUCCI, PCSO NASSEER and PCSO TILLEY. I knocked on Simon Cordell's front door at 1230 hours and he opened the door and asked what we wanted; I asked him if he was Simon Cordell, to which he replied, "Yeah." I stated to him that I was here to issue him with a summons to attend Highbury Corner Magistrates Court on 6th October 2014 at 1:30pm. Mr. Cordell stated, "What is this for?" I informed him that it was for an ASBO; I showed him the summons and the folder and as I went to hand him the folder and the summons Cordell stated, "I am not accepting that, I'm not having that." Cordell then placed the folder on the floor, outside his door, in the hallway. I stated to him that he does not have to accept it and that I have already informed him of the date, time and where to go. Mr. Cordell then shut the door before I could hand him the summons, so I posted it through his letter box. Mr. Cordell was also told to inform his solicitor of this.

Mr. Cordell was a light skinned, mixed race male, with short black hair and was of medium build.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that On this date he caused not Anti Social Behaviour that might lead to Harm Alarm or Distress to any other person.

Mr Simon Cordell will state that he disputes the fact that he was served the Anti Social Folder Paper Bundle as it was not handed to him self at no point of time. **(Copt of Complaint Sent)**

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this down for Simon Cordell to a incident that happen 12/09/2014 around the Time off around 12:00pm Of concern to all of many factors such as British Standards relevant to good business practice.

Human Rights, Laws protecting our community governed by the United Kingdom well as many other relevant factors. as of date prior explained in this chapter what happened leading up to events today at address. 109 Burncroft Avenue EN3 7JQ on the 12/09/2014 Mr. Simon Cordell was at home making plans for positive future development in regards to his company and future proposals as well as relevant documents and data,

To the surprise of a knock on his front door, this was a surprise because he has no intercom and was expecting no visitors.

So with this all explained he was cautious to open the door as he approached the door with caution of un-expected visitors he looked into the keyhole on his front door, He could see it was the police through his keyhole. He asked them without opening the door what was wanted of him, they said they needed to talk to him. At this point Mr. Simon Cordell opened his door a little to see what the police wanted to talk to him about, once the door was opened a little they then said to him that they wanted to serve some documents on him at which point Mr. Simon Cordell replied he was not willing to accept anything and closed the door.

Upon closing his door he told the police he was not being rude but he was not willing to accept receipt of any documents due to him having learning difficulties as noted on the police national police system and other governing services, which he then heard the lady police officer say through the closed door I was again looking through the keyhole watching what the police officers was doing I heard the " Lady police officer say what should we do to the man police officer said just put it on the floor in front of the door and he took some letters from the lady police officer and posted them into my letter box" The Man police officer posted 4 pages of papers in Mr. Simon Cordell letter box and the lady police officer put a large blue file on Mr. Simon Cordell front door step outside. My son then called me and told me what had happened but due to a death in the family I was unable to attend his address until today the 13/09/2014 when I got to Mr. Simon Cordell address I saw the blue folder that the police had left at his front door which was in plain view of anyone. It had been opened and left open so anyone could have looked into it.

i was shocked to see that inside the document there was full details of Mr. Simon Cordell and also other people names under the data protection act the police should have never left this folder outside Mr. Simon Cordell address which would give anyone access to it. I am going to the police station to hand this back to them as it was never served on Mr. Simon Cordell and he will not accept it from the police. I am not sure if any papers are missing from the folder Cl. I said it 'was opened on the floor when got there.

I believe that the police when Mr. Simon Cordell did not accept the documents they should have took them back with them and arranged for signed delivery or tried to again serve them on Mr. Simon Cordell as the file is far to big to put into a letter box.

This is also a complaint due to the data protection issues that the police could have avoided by not leaving the folder on a door step that anyone had access to. The folder would have never fitted in a letter box and I do not feel that the police putting 4 bits of paper in a letter box is serving anyone the full paper work which should have been done and not just left it on the door step for anyone to see and read and take data out of it if they so wished, this is a breach of the data protection act.

PNC PAGES 52 TO 62

UP TO HERE all police BOOKS are done THEN 13 THAT ARE MISSING BECAUSE OF MY COMPUTER YOU HAVE.

BOOK 13

Douglas Skinner made his first statement 29 days after the 7th June. and has made additions to his statements 3 months 4 days after. In total 4 month 5 days ==70 days after. Take note to the three misleading facts Mr Simon Cordell has highlighted facts that he believe are of key relevance to his innocents in the ASBO application presented made by Douglas

Douglas Skinner:

Dated 09/09/2014

Addition to 15th /08/2014

Referring to 07th /June /2014

Douglas Skinner:

Has been asked to clarify how I know that Simon Cordell is an organizer of raves.

“Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not no a Douglas Skinner, I do not no a Douglas Skinner.”

And do not see how he can clarify that he is the organizer of illegal raves because this is not true, and at no point was he setting up a rave on 6th 7th 8th June 2014.

Douglas Skinner:

I have known of Simon Cordell for over 20 years.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he does not no a Douglas Skinner as stated:

Douglas Skinner will state he:

Was tasked to speak to the organizer to see how long it would be carrying on for.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that on the 6th June Inspector Hamill sent officers to the expected to be rave in the occupied building under section 144 Laspo, to see how long it would be carrying on for, to which police reported back that they spoke to organisers on the gate who were acting as security as well stating to be just volunteers police state who were quite forth coming with information. The police officer also state they see my younger brother and my self present, which at no point can be true for both me myself Simon Cordell and my brother Tyrone Benjamin on the 7th June 14,

On the 6th June me and a friend was in my flat 109 Burncroft Avenue. Around the time of the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 my brother Tyrone Benjamin was in a critical state of injury due to a road ATR on his motor cycle medical injury and could not have attended progress way, evidence has been request by my solicitor.

Inspector Hamill states that at no point did the police gain entry to the occupied building neither did he him self or any other officers dated the 6th 7th June 2014.

On the 7th June Inspector Charles 724ye states hae and Inspector Hamill attaned Progress way at 10:03pm to which stating in there statement presented within this ASBO application was in fact June 8th June 2014,

"while waiting for a female to get the organizer that Inspector Hamill and A/ps Charles were already talking to on the gate acting as security or volunteers as well, while waiting they noticed Mr Simon Cordell approaching progress way and asked him to walk back to the street the way he had just come from. Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he speak to any officers on the 7th June 2014, and on the 8th June 2014 no female ask him to speak to police as a organizer or supplier of sound equipment. Mr Simon Cordell will state that that he never attended a rave or caused any Anti social behaviour.

Douglas Skinner:

I waked to the location referring to premises in progress way and see a white van.
"Mr Simon Cordell will state that at no point did he drive into the occupied land under a section 144 Laspo, otherwise referred to as progress way on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 and he does not understand how any body can state other wise, as this would not be true or correct.

Doglas Skinner: In side this van was a male I no to be Cordell.

"If taken that Cordell is referred to myself as Simon Cordell I did not talk to any police on the 6th 7th 8th June 2014 as stated in inspector Hamill statement made on the 06/08/2014 referring to the 7th June 2014."

Doglas Skinner: As I got closer to the van he got out and walked over towards me.

"Mr Simon Cordell will state that he did not get out of his van on the 7th 8th June 2014 and was not approach by pc Doglas Skinner Leading towards the premises in question on the 7th 8th June 2014 in progress way and does remember police officers and councillors officers walking towards him out side the gate as he was approaching and asked by police to walk the way leading back to were he had just come from back to the a10 great Cambridge road."

Doglas Skinner: On the 7th It was not Mr Simon Cordell as stated who shock his hand and said hello and talked to him about how he remembered him as a youngest over twenty years ago as he had already left.

Doglas Skinner:

END OF ALL POLICE AND PUBLIC WITNESS STATEMENTS