

illegal nature such as "the organisation of illegal raves" and that of how a court can be sitting in its civil capacity sitting a criminal case under section 63 of the crime and public disorder act 1998 as a standalone asbo dated 00/00/2014 and associated to the laws of this date as for a cbo asbo application existed and still does and states

The criminal behaviour order (CBO) will replace the ASBO on conviction and the DBO on conviction and will be available in the Crown Court, magistrates' courts, or the youth court. The CBO will be available for the most seriously anti-social individuals and could be applied for on conviction for any criminal offence in any criminal court. The CBO can only be made on the application of the prosecutor (in most cases the Crown Prosecution Service, either at their own initiative or at the request of the police or local authority).

of as permitted under Article 5(2) which clearly states The purpose of this requirement is to enable the person to challenge the lawfulness of their arrest.

This requirement is not only limited to criminal context;

Also that of their being so many inconsistencies contained within the police statements as has been submitted in the response from the defendant Mr. Simon Cordell in receipt to the applicant dated 00/00/2016 which clearly shows that of mutable geographical locations of wide spread incidents on the same date and same time as the one incident that Mr. Simon Cordell has been accused of on the 6<sup>th</sup> 7<sup>th</sup> 8<sup>th</sup> June 2014 which does in fact have all the locations blocked out by members of the metropolitan police force and if it was not for the grid numbers not being blocked out no person other than the developers of the application would have known the true facts as just explained.

Once checked and recognised by any other person in response to the claims I have just quoted, I believe that any other body would also notice many of the irregularities that I have shown to be fact and come to the same conclusion, so in the understanding of the statements just made and the understanding that Mr. Simon Cordell is and was a innocent man from the start of on goings of the Asbo application and knows that he has not committed nor has he had the right to challenge such allegations under the criminal justice acts that represent the United Kingdom Laws and European Treaties. As from the start of the application Mr Simon Cordell feels the need to defend his legal right's against such allegations off illegal statements made by police officers against him self the same as he would if the allegations were made by any member(s) off the public to which no members of the public have mentioned him or a description of his person or any associated company or business names relating to the incidents of such offences creating the bases of a legal conviction of (organising illegal raves) In the understanding of civil and criminal law, Mr Simon Cordell has learnt in the understanding off all criminal cases were some think is alleged to have taken place that is said to have been illegal the correct Police procedure in them circumstances is that a crime will be created under the crime and disorder act 1998 by way of a victim or witness making a report then members of the police will be allocated to the incident and start investigations depending on the matter of relevance to the initial report to the resources available at the time. The investigations may lead to an arrest what will lead the detainee to his or her statutory legal rights. In the early 1980's the police did have the power to take cases to court with out the decision of any other governing body, but now in 2016 the burden relay solely on the cps who are in calibration with Revenue and Customs Prosecution Office and is headed by the Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) who is independent but subject to the superintendence of the Attorney General that is accountable to members of Parliament that do work for the prosecution services. If charged any persons rights are gained under section 24 and 25 which does relate to the rights of any person charged and the minimum standards of criminal procedure. But my case seems to sit in it civil capacity at court with none of the above regulations and my rights being carried out in accordance of the United Kingdom laws; please can you explain this to me? As I have no previous convictions of similar nature neither was the Asbo application a Cbo or Asbo on conviction it is in fact a standalone Asbo and the legal guidance is for the application not to be based upon

At the appeal date that had been set for Feb 22<sup>nd</sup> 23<sup>rd</sup> 24<sup>th</sup> 2016

Legal aid was re granted on the 00/00/2015

On the 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal date set Mr Simon Cordell's acting solicitor explained to him that she could not arrange a barrister till April 2016, due to the past acting barrister being on leave.

Mr. Simon Cordell had many concerns with the applicants case put towards him and had prepared a computer typed copy of an article six that does in fact raise some of the issues of concern that he had with the on goings of the application being put towards himself "a attached file of a copy of what was handed to the judge has been attached as (Exhibit 2 that being of this document being off Exhibit 1)", this was given to the Judge HHJ Pawlaks who refused to read and take note to such human continental rights and ordered that I the applicant Mr. Simon Cordell answer 5 questions A to E by a pre hearing date of the 4<sup>th</sup> April 2016 the questions asked and answered are in another attached file (Exhibit 3) in numeric order to this complaint. On the same day of 22<sup>nd</sup> /4/2016 I again asked in a written letter handed to the judge requesting for the right to a fair trial and in that letter I believed I had proven to him more than beyond reasonable doubt that the developers such as officer pc Steve Elsmore in the making of the Asbo application had manufactured and fabricated such evidence of claims of evidence, I supported this by drawing a table contained within my hand written letter to the right to a fair trial I know this shows the errors in the time stamps too be corrupt, I also explained that I had been held under my free will, as the laws that do represent "the organisation of illegal raves" relating to such a section as section 63 does not account to an in door private house parties unless trespass has taken place and that on the 00/00/0014 at the day of trial at the magistrates court I was not found guilty of such crimes or offences as stated in the transcripts of the day at court and in the barristers submissions to my acting solicitor, also the fact that being of under the licensing act 2003 there is no breach of law when holding such private events in private air when no profit is being made to which the applicant has not adduced any evidence supporting claims of money equalling to profit, the incidents Mr. Simon Cordell is and have been accused of was in fact in private place of residence It was explained to the judge that by not paying attention to the true facts of the case and not putting the police officer under investigation would in fact in danger my life Mr. Simon Cordell as I had been explaining to every person of interest relevant to the ongoing of my accused case load from the start of the case as I felt and still do feel intimidated and at threat, off the police office being given time to edit more evidence in the case to manipulate the truth and take disregard to rules and regulation to avoid a complaint of investigation pending against himself in turn avoiding by method of prolonging disciplinary action in turn taking away my own security off walking down my own home streets for a period of this case to date 19/04/2016 equalling to the time length of start date of application said to have been served in accordance to the united kingdom laws to which a official police complaint was raised as listed in the first chapter of this document is 12<sup>th</sup> September 2014 total days are 00000000 The judge once again asked

held hostage to corrupt officers allegations It was written by my self as I felt I have been if granted by the Jude this would in fact set the new appeal date to be two months after the all ready agreed appeal date of Feb 22<sup>nd</sup>, if the court agrees to such a date, contained within the time